arXiv:0707.1742v2 [math.NT] 21 May 2008

RELATIVE LOG CONVERGENT COHOMOLOGY AND RELATIVE RIGID COHOMOLOGY I

ATSUSHI SHIHO

Abstract. In this paper, we develop the theory of relative log convergent cohomology. We prove the coherence of relative log convergent cohomology in certain case by using the comparison theorem between relative log convergent cohomlogy and relative log crystalline cohomology, and we relates relative log convergent cohomology to relative rigid cohomology to show the validity of Berthelot's conjecture on the coherence and the overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology for proper smooth families when they admit nice proper log smooth compactification to which the coefficient extends logarithmically.

Contents

Introduction 1
Convention 3
1. Relative log crystalline cohomology 4
2. Relative log convergent cohomology (I) 15
3. Relative log convergent cohomology (II) 32
4. Relative log analytic cohomology 41
5. Relative log analytic cohomology and relative rigid cohomology 49
References 68

Introduction

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let V be a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic with residue field k. For a scheme X of finite type over k, theory of convergent cohomology of X over V is developped in [O1] and [O2]. In [O2], Ogus proved two comparison theorems concerning convergent cohomology: One is the comparison theorem between convergent cohomology and crystalline cohomology for smooth schemes over k and the other one is the comparison theorem between convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology for proper smooth schemes over k. As a consequence, he deduced the finiteness of rigid cohomology for proper smooth schemes over k with coefficient (which is an overconvergent isocrystal).

The theory of convergent cohomology is extended to the case of log schemes in [O3], [S1], [S2]. In the paper [S2], we proved the comparison between log convergent cohomology and log crystalline cohomology for log smooth log schemes over k and the comparison between log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology for proper log smooth log schemes over k under certain condition on coefficients. As a consequence, we proved the finiteness of rigid cohomology of a smooth k-scheme X with coefficient $\mathcal{E}$ (which is an overconvergent

---

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 14F30.

F-isocrystal) when X admits a proper log smooth compactification to which $\mathcal{E}$ extends logarithmically. (Note that, soon after the paper [S2] appeared, the finiteness of rigid cohomology with coefficient is proved without any assumption by Kedlaya [Ke2]. However, we think that the approach in [S2] is still interesting. Recently Kedlaya has given a proof of a conjecture proposed in [S2], which also implies the finiteness of rigid cohomology in general case. See [Ke3] and his subsequent papers for detail.)

The purpose of this paper is to develop the theory of log convergent cohomology in relative situation. (We also remark here that the field k in the main body of this paper is not necessarily perfect before the Frobenius structure comes in.) We prove the comparison theorem between relative log convergent cohomology and relative log crystalline cohomlogy and then we relates relative log convergent cohomology to the relative rigid cohomology (defined in [C-T], see also [Be2]). As a consequence of the former result, we deduce the coherence and the log convergence of the relative log convergent cohomology in certain case and by combining it with the latter result, we deduce the coherence and the overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology for proper smooth families when they admit nice proper log smooth compactification to which the coefficient (which is an overconvergent isocrystal) extends logarithmically. Note that, in relative situation, we need to develop the theory of 'log' convergent cohomology even to treat only proper smooth families because we will need 'log structure along boundary' of the given family to prove the coherence and the overconvergence.

The coherence and the overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology for proper smooth families is conjectured by Berthelot ([Be2], see also [Ts2]). (However, we would like to note that we can formulate the conjecture in several ways. For the discussion on the statement of the conjecture, see Section 5.) Our result gives an affirmative answer to a version of this conjecture under certain assumption. This conjecture of Berthelot has been known in the case when the given family lifts to proper smooth families of formal schemes ([Be2], [Ts2]) and in the case where a given family is a family of abelian varieties and the coefficient is trivial ([E]). There is also an result ([M-Tr]) treating the case when the base scheme of the family is a smooth curve and the coefficient is trivial, whose proof depends on an unpublished result of Kedlaya ([Ke1, 6.1]). A weaker conjecture (generic coherence and generic overconvergence) is known in the case of proper smooth families of curves ([Ts2]). Our result seems to be applicable to many cases where the given family is not necessarily liftable (after any etale base change) to a family of formal schemes.

Let us explain the content of this paper in more detail. In Section 1, we give a review of the relative log crystalline cohomology (defined in [Ka]) and prove the finiteness and the base change property of it for proper log smooth integral morphisms which are slightly stronger than the known results in the literature. In Section 2, we give the definition and basic properties of relative log convergent cohomology and we prove the relative version of log convergent Poincaré lemma and the comparison theorem with relative log crystalline cohomology for log smooth morphisms. Some of the results in this section are also considered in [N-S]. Although the proofs are basically the same as the absolute case (given in [S2, Chapter 2]), we give (a sketch of) proofs by two reasons: One is for the completeness of this paper and the other is to point out that some parts of the proofs in [S1], [S2] can be generalized and simplified. In particular, in this paper, there are no assumptions in the statement of theorems which require the log schemes to be 'of Zariski type' (for definition, see [S2, 1.1.1]). We also give correction to some errors in [S2]. In

Section 3, we prove the finiteness and the base change property of relative log convergent cohomology for proper log smooth integral morphisms 'having log smooth parameter' (for definition, see Definition 3.4). We prove it by using the comparison theorem with relative log crystalline cohomology proved in the previous section, but we need a little argument here, because relative log crystalline cohomology is defined only in the case where the base log scheme admits a PD-closed immersion into a log (formal) scheme, while we do not need PD-structure in the case of relative log convergent cohomology. In Section 4, we introduce an analytic variant of relative log convergent cohomology (which we call relative log analytic cohomology) and compare it with relative log convergent cohomology for proper log smooth integral morphisms having log smooth paramter. In relative case, relative log analytic cohomology is a sheaf of certain rigid analytic space, while relative log convergent cohomology is a sheaf on certain formal scheme. We prove that the latter is nothing but the specialization of the former in appropriate situation. From this result, we deduce the coherence and the log convergence of relative log analytic cohomology. In Section 5, after giving a review of relative rigid cohomology (defined in [C-T]), we prove the comparison theorem between relative log analytic cohomology and relative rigid cohomology for proper log smooth integral morphisms having log smooth parameter. This implies the coherence and the overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology for proper smooth families when they admit a proper log smooth integral compactification having log smooth parameter to which the given coefficient (which is an overconvergent isocrystal) extends logarithmically.

We plan to write the following topics in forthcoming papers: First, we plan to establish variants of relative log convergent cohomology and relative rigid cohomology to prove (a version of) Berthelot's conjecture in general case. Second, we plan to discuss on the generic coherence and the generic overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology for non-smooth, non-proper families.

The author would like to thank Pierre Berthelot for pointing out first that the construction of log tubular neighborhood in [S2] can be done without 'of Zariski type' hypothesis. He would like to thank Bruno Chiarellotto and Marianna Fornasiero for pointing out the same thing: Discussion with them on their paper [C-F] was helpful also to him. He would like to thank to Yukiyoshi Nakkajima for allowing him to include some results which have overlaps with the joint work [N-S] in this paper. He would like to thank to Kazuya Kato for inviting him to give a talk at a conference held at Kyoto University, and to Nobuo Tsuzuki and Makoto Matsumoto for inviting him to give a talk at a conference held at Hiroshima University. The author is partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and JSPS Core-to-Core program 18005 whose representative is Makoto Matsumoto.

Convention

(1) Throughout this paper, k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$, W is a fixed Cohen ring of k and K is the fraction field of W. We fix a p-adic fine log formal scheme $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}})$ separated and topologically of finite type over $\mathrm{Spf}\, W$ as a base log formal scheme. (Note that $\mathcal{B}$ is Noetherian.) We denote the scheme $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ by (B, M_B). We denote the category of fine log (not necessarily p-adic) formal schemes which are separated and topologically of finite type over $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}})$ by $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and denote the full subcategory of

(LFS/$\mathcal{B}$) which consists of p-adic ones by (pLFS/$\mathcal{B}$). We denote the full subcategory of (LFS/$\mathcal{B}$) which consists of usual fine log schemes by (LS/$\mathcal{B}$) and the full subcategory which consists of fine log schemes over (B, M_B) by (LS/B). We call an object in (LFS/$\mathcal{B}$) a fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme, an object in (pLFS/$\mathcal{B}$) a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme, an object in (LS/$\mathcal{B}$) a fine log $\mathcal{B}$-scheme and an object in (LS/B) a fine log B-scheme. (When log structure is trivial, we omit the term 'fine log'.) Note that, in Section 5, we will impose more assumptions on $\mathcal{B}$.
(2) For a formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme T, we denote the rigid analytic space assocated to T by T_K.
(3) In this paper, we freely use terminologies concerning log structures defined in [Ka], [S1] and [S2]. For a fine log (formal) scheme (X, M_X), $(X, M_X)_{\mathrm{triv}}$ denotes the maximal open sub (formal) scheme of X on which the log structure M_X is trivial. A morphism $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y)$ is said to be strict if $f^*M_Y = M_X$ holds.
(4) For a site $\mathcal{S}$, we denote the topos associated to $\mathcal{S}$ by $\mathcal{S}^\sim$.
(5) Fiber products of log formal schemes are completed unless otherwise stated. On the other hand, the completed tensor product of topological modules are denoted by $\hat{\otimes}$ to distinguish with the usual tensor product $\otimes$.

1. Relative log crystalline cohomology

In this section, first we give a review of the definition and the basic properties of log crystalline site and log crystalline cohomology (which are defined by Kato [Ka]) and then we prove the finiteness and base change property of log crystalline cohomology when the coefficient is a locally free isocrystal. In the case where the coefficient is an isocrystal which comes from a locally free crystal, corresponding results are deduced from the results of Kato [Ka] (the log version of the results of Berthelot [Be1]) fairly easily, but the results here are slightly stronger than them since a locally free isocrystal does not necessarily come from a locally free crystal. After that, we define the relative version of HPD-(iso)stratification (which are introduced in [S1, 4.3.1] in the absolute case) and prove a relation with (iso)crystals.

First we fix the notation concerning localization of categories and (system of) sheaves on p-adic formal schemes.

Definition 1.1. *For an additive category $\mathcal{C}$, let us define the category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in the following way: An object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the same as that of $\mathcal{C}$ and the set of homomorphisms is defined by*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}}(X, Y) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y).$$

When we regard an object X in $\mathcal{C}$ as an object in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote it by $\mathbb{Q} \otimes X$.

Definition 1.2. (1) *Let T be a p-adic formal scheme and let T_n be the closed subscheme of T defined by $p^n\mathcal{O}_T$. Then we define the category $\mathrm{M}(T)$ as the category of projective systems $(M_n)_n$, where M_n is an $\mathcal{O}_{T_n}$-module of finite presentation satisfying $M_{n+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_{n+1}}} \mathcal{O}_{T_n} = M_n$.*

(2) *Let T be a Noetherian p-adic formal scheme. Then we denote the category of coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_T$-modules by $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_T)$ and let $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ be the category of sheaves of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$-modules on T which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ for some $F \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_T)$. We call an object of $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ an isocoherent sheaf on T.*

For a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme T, it is known ([Gr-D1, 10.10.3], [O1, 1.2]) that there are canonical equivalences of categories $\mathrm{M}(T) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_T), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_T) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. So we have $\mathrm{M}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_T)$.

Remark 1.3. In [S1, §4.3], we used the category $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_T)$ for non-Noetherian T at some points, but this is not correct: We should have used the category $\mathrm{M}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ instead. For this reason, we will correct some of the arguments in [S1, §4.3] in Definition 1.21 – Proposition 1.24 below.

Now we recall the definition of log crystalline site and relative log crystalline cohomology. Assume we are given a diagram

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{1.1}$$

where f is a morphism in (LS/B), $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is an object in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and ι is the exact closed immersion defined by $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Denote the canonical PD-structure on $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ by γ. (Note that $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$ is a subcategory of $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. So we allow the case where $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a fine log $\mathcal{B}$-scheme.)

Definition 1.4. *With the above notation, we define the log crystalline site* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ *of* $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *as follows: An object is* $T := ((U, M_U), (T, M_T), i, \delta)$, *where* (U, M_U) *is a fine log scheme strict etale over* (X, M_X) *and* (T, M_T) *is a fine log scheme over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ *for some* n. $i : (U, M_U) \hookrightarrow (T, M_T)$ *is an exact closed immersion over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *and* δ *is a PD-structure on* $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_T \to \mathcal{O}_U)$ *which is compatible with* γ. *The morphism is defined in natural way and the coverings are the ones induced from etale coverings of* T. *We denote the sheaf on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ *defined by* $T \mapsto \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ *by* $\mathcal{O}_{X/\mathcal{Y}}$.

We denote the right derived functor (resp. the q-th right derived functor) of the functor

$$(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}; \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto (U \mapsto \Gamma((\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U/U)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{F}))$$

by $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$ *(resp.* $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$*). We call* $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ *the q-th relative log crystalline cohomology of* $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *with coefficient* $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 1.5. The q-th relative log crystalline cohomology defined above is usually called the q-th log crystalline cohomology. We put the word 'relative' just to emphasize that $\mathcal{Y}$ is not necessarily equal to $\mathrm{Spf}\, W$.

Remark 1.6. $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$) is usually denoted by $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},*}\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},*}\mathcal{F}$). However, we decided to put the subscript 'crys' in this paper because we treat many other relative cohomology theories later in this paper.

Remark 1.7. Let $(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}})\,(\bullet \in \Lambda)$ be a diagram (indexed by a small category Λ) of fine log B-schemes over (Y, M_Y). Then we can define the log crystalline topos $(X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ of $(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ as the topos associated to the diagram of topoi $\{(X^{(\lambda)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. (see [SD], [Be1, V.3.4].)

Next we recall the notion of (iso)crystals:

Definition 1.8. *Let the notation be as in Definition 1.4.*

1. *A sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *of* $\mathcal{O}_{X/\mathcal{Y}}$*-modules on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ *is called a crystal if, for any morphism* $\varphi : T' \longrightarrow T$ *in* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, *the canonical homomorphism of sheaves* $\varphi^*\mathcal{F}_T \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{T'}$ *is an isomorphism. (Here* $\mathcal{F}_T, \mathcal{F}_{T'}$ *denotes the sheaf on* $T_{\mathrm{Zar}}, T'_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ *induced by* $\mathcal{F}$.*)*

(2) *A crystal $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be of finite presentation (resp. locally free of finite type) if $\mathcal{F}_T$ is an $\mathcal{O}_T$-module of finite presentation (resp. a locally free $\mathcal{O}_T$-module of finite type) for any $T \in (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. We denote the category of crystals of finite presentation on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ by $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$.*

(3) *A category of isocrystals $I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is defined to be the category $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

(4) *For $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \in I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$, we put*

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}, \quad R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$$

and we call $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ the q-th relative log crystalline cohomology of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ with coefficient $\mathcal{E}$.

Next we define the notion of local freeness of isocrystals. To do this, first we define the notion of local freeness in the category $M(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Definition 1.9. *Let T be a p-adic formal scheme. Then $M \in \mathrm{M}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is called locally free if M is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{T_n}^{\oplus r})_n$ (where $T_n := T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$) for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ Zariski locally on T. When T is a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme, we say an object M in $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ a locally free $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$-module if the corresponding object in $M(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is locally free.*

Remark 1.10. When T is an affine p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme, $M \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ is locally free if and only if it is associated to a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \Gamma(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$-module. Contrary to the name, a locally free $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$-module in the sense of Definition 1.9 does *not* necessarily has the form $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus r}$ Zariski locally on T. $M \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ is a locally free $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$-module if and only if the corresponding coherent sheaf on the rigid analytic space T_K is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{T_K}$-module with respect to the Grothendieck topology on T_K.

Next we define the notion of a p-adic system in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ as follows:

Definition 1.11. *Let the notation be as above. A projective system of objects in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ of the form $\{((U, M_U), (T_n, M_{T_n}), i_n, \delta_n)\}_n$ is called a p-adic system in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ if $(T, M_T) := \varinjlim_n (T_n, M_{T_n})$ is a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme satisfying $(T, M_T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} = (T_n, M_{T_n})$.*

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a crystal of finite type on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ and let $T := \{((U, M_U), (T_n, M_{T_n}), i_n, \delta_n)\}_n$ be a p-adic system in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{T_n})_n$ forms an object in $\mathrm{M}(T)$, which we denote by $\mathcal{F}_T$. So, for an isocrystal $\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ and a p-adic system T in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, we can associate the object $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}_T$ in $\mathrm{M}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{E}_T$.

Definition 1.12. *An isocrystal $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is called locally free if, for any p-adic system $T := \{((U, M_U), (T_n, M_{T_n}), i_n, \delta_n)\}_n$ in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, $\mathcal{E}_T \in \mathrm{M}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is locally free in the sense of Definition 1.9.*

Remark 1.13. In the previous papers [S1], [S2], $M \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_T)$ is called locally free if it has the form $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T^{\oplus r}$ Zariski locally on T and an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ is called locally free if each $\mathcal{E}_T$ has the form $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_T$ Zariski locally on T. But these definition are not good for this paper because the imposed condition is too strong. So we change the definition here.

Remark 1.14. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a locally free crystal of finite type, then $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is a locally free isocrystal. However, we do not know if, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$, there exists a locally free crystal of finite type $\mathcal{F}$ satisfying $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$. We are doubtful for this claim.

In the rest of this section, for a p-adic formal log scheme (S, M_S), we denote the log scheme $(S, M_S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ by (S_n, M_{S_n}). Now we recall several basic properties of log crystalline site and relative log crystalline cohomology.

Assume we are given the diagram (1.1) and assume for the moment that (X, M_X) admits a closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Let (D, M_D) be the p-adically completed log PD-envelope of (X, M_X) in $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$. Let MC_n be the category of $\mathcal{O}_{D_n}$-modules of finite type with integrable quasi-nilpotent (in the sense of [O4, p.19]) log connection on $(\mathcal{P}_n, M_{\mathcal{P}_n})/(\mathcal{Y}_n, M_{\mathcal{Y}_n})$ which is compatible with the canonical connection on $\mathcal{O}_{D_n}$, and let MC be the category of projective systems $\{(M_n, \nabla_n)\}_n$ of objects in MC_n such that $(M_{n+1}, \nabla_{n+1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} = (M_n, \nabla_n)$ holds. Then we have an equivalence of categories ([Ka, 6.2]) of the following form:

(1.2)
$$C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \cong \mathrm{MC}, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \{(\mathcal{F}_{D_n}, \nabla_n : \mathcal{F}_{D_n} \to \mathcal{F}_{D_n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \omega^1_{\mathcal{P}_n/\mathcal{Y}_n})\}_n.$$

If we have $(D, M_D) = (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ (this is the case when we have $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$), we have the equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{MC} \cong \begin{pmatrix} \text{coherent sheaves with quasi-nilpotent integrable} \\ \text{formal log connection on } (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1.3}$$

which is given by $\{(M_n, \nabla_n)\}_n \mapsto (\varprojlim_n M_n, \varprojlim_n \nabla_n)$. Note that $\nabla_n : \mathcal{F}_{D_n} \to \mathcal{F}_{D_n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \omega^1_{\mathcal{P}_n/\mathcal{Y}_n}$ naturally extends to the complex of the form $\mathcal{F}_{D_n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{P}_n/\mathcal{Y}_n}$ which is compatible with respect to n. We define the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(X/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F})$ associated to $\mathcal{F}$ as the complex $\varprojlim_n(\mathcal{F}_{D_n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{P}_n/\mathcal{Y}_n})$. Then, by [Ka, 6.4] (see also [S2, 3.1.4]), we have $\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}) = R\tilde{u}_*\mathcal{F}$ (where $\tilde{u} : (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}} \longrightarrow X^\sim_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ is the projection). Then we have the quasi-isomorphism $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F})$. For an isocrystal $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, we define the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$ by $\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F})$. So we have the quasi-isomorphism $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E} = Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$.

Even if (X, M_X) does not admit the closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ as above, we always have an embedding system ([H-Ka, 2.18])

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g^{(\bullet)}} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}). \tag{1.4}$$

For any abelian sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ in $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, let us denote the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to $(X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ by $\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}$. Then we have the quasi-isomorphism (called cohomological descent) $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\cong} Rg^{(\bullet)}_*\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}$, where the morphism of topoi $(X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}} \longrightarrow (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ is also denoted by $g^{(\bullet)}$. Hence, for a crystal $\mathcal{F}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$, we have

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = Rf_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)} = R(f \circ g^{(\bullet)})_*\mathrm{DR}(D^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}), \tag{1.5}$$

where $(D^{(\bullet)}, M_{D^{(\bullet)}})$ denotes the p-adically completed log PD envelope of $(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}})$ in $(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$. The same formula holds also in the case of isocrystals.

We recall another construction which induces the formula like (1.5). Assume given a diagram (1.1) with f log smooth. Then, by [Ka, 3.14], we have an open covering $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} X_j$ by finite number of affine subschemes and exact closed immersions $i_j : (X_j, M_{X_j}) := (X_j, M_X|_{X_j}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j})\,(j \in J)$ into a fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j})$ formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that $\mathcal{P}_j$ is affine and that $(\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X_j, M_X|_{X_j})$ holds. For a non-empty subset $L \subseteq J$, let (X_L, M_{X_L}) (resp. $(\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L})$) be the fiber product of (X_j, M_{X_j})'s (resp. $(\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j})$'s) for $j \in L$ over (X, M_X) (resp. $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$), and let $i_L : (X_L, M_{X_L}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L})$ be the closed immersion induced by i_j's $(j \in L)$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}})$) be the disjoint union of (X_L, M_{X_L})'s (resp. $(\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L})$'s) for $L \subseteq J$ with $|L| = m+1$, and let $i^{(m)} : (X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}})$ be the disjoint union of i_L's with $|L| = m+1$. (Note that $X^{(m)}$ is empty for $m \geq |J| =: N+1$.) Now let Δ_N^+ be the category such that the objects are the sets $[m] := \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, m\}$ with $0 \leq m \leq N$ and that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Delta_N^+}([m], [m'])$ is the set of strictly increasing maps $[m] \to [m']$. Then, if we fix a total order on J, we can regard $(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) := \{(X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}})\}_{0 \leq m \leq N}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) := \{(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}})\}_{0 \leq m \leq N}$) naturally as a diagram of fine log B-schemes (resp. fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes) indexed by Δ_N^+ in the following way: To each $[m] \in \Delta_N^+$, we associate the fine log B-scheme $(X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}})$ (resp. fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}})$). For a morphism $\alpha : [m'] \to [m]$ in Δ_N^+ and a subset $L \subseteq J$ with $|L| = m+1$, we define the subset $L'_\alpha \subseteq L$ to be the inverse image of $\alpha([m'])$ by the unique order-preserving isomorphism $L \overset{\simeq}{\to} [m]$, where the order on $[m]$ is the canonical one. Then we define the morphism $\alpha^* : (X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}}) \longrightarrow (X^{(m')}, M_{X^{(m')}})$ (resp. $\alpha^* : (\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(m')}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m')}})$) as the composite

$$(X^{(m)}, M_{X^{(m)}}) = \coprod_{L \subseteq J, |L| = m+1} (X_L, M_{X_L}) \overset{\coprod \mathrm{pr}_{L'_\alpha \subseteq L}}{\longrightarrow} \coprod_{L \subseteq J, |L| = m'+1} (X_L, M_{X_L}) = (X^{(m')}, M_{X^{(m')}})$$

$$\text{(resp. } (\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}) = \coprod_{L \subseteq J, |L| = m+1} (\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L})$$

$$\overset{\coprod \mathrm{pr}_{L'_\alpha \subseteq L}}{\longrightarrow} \coprod_{L \subseteq J, |L| = m'+1} (\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L}) = (\mathcal{P}^{(m')}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m')}})\,),$$

where

$$\mathrm{pr}_{L'_\alpha \subseteq L} : (X_L, M_{X_L}) = \prod_{j \in L, (X, M_X)} (X_j, M_{X_j}) \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in L'_\alpha, (X, M_X)} (X_j, M_{X_j}) = (X_{L'_\alpha}, M_{X_{L'_\alpha}})$$

$$\text{(resp. } \mathrm{pr}_{L'_\alpha \subseteq L} : (\mathcal{P}_L, M_{\mathcal{P}_L}) = \prod_{j \in L, (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j}) \longrightarrow \prod_{j \in L'_\alpha, (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j}) = (\mathcal{P}_{L'_\alpha}, M_{\mathcal{P}_{L'_\alpha}}))$$

is the projection associated to the inclusion $L'_\alpha \subseteq L$. Then we have a canonical diagram

$$(X, M_X) \overset{g^{(\bullet)}}{\longleftarrow} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \tag{1.6}$$

over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and by [Be1, V 3.4.8], we have again the formula

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = Rf_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)} = R(f \circ g^{(\bullet)})_* \mathrm{DR}(D^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}), \tag{1.7}$$

where the notations are as in (1.5). The formula (1.7) has the advantage that the right hand side has only finitely many terms.

Keep the situation of the diagram 1.1 with f log smooth. Then, locally on X, we have an exact closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ formally smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ satisfying $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$. Then we have equivalences of categories (1.2), (1.3). Hence $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ is an abelian category locally on X, because so is the right hand side in (1.3). Since $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ satisfies the descent property for Zariski covering of X, this implies that $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ is an abelian category globally.

Now we prove a finiteness property of relative log crystalline cohomology when the coefficient is a locally free isocrystal:

Theorem 1.15. *Assume given a diagram* (1.1) *and assume moreover that f is proper and log smooth. Then, for an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$, the relative log crystalline cohomology $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is an isocoherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$ for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and it is zero for sufficiently large q.*

Theorem 1.16. *With the above notation, assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free isocrystal and assume moreover that either f is integral or Y is regular. Then $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules, that is, it is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of locally free $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules* (*in the sense of Definition 1.9*) *Zariski locally on $\mathcal{Y}$.*

Remark 1.17. When $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{F}$ for a locally free crystal $\mathcal{F}$ of finite type, Theorems 1.15 and 1.16 are known by [Be-O, 7.24.3].

Proof of Theorem 1.15. To prove the theorem, we may assume that $\mathcal{Y}$ is affine. Let $\mathcal{Y}'$ be the closed subscheme of $\mathcal{Y}$ defined by the ideal $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \,|\, p^n x = 0 \,\text{for some}\, n > 0\}$, let $(X', M_{X'}) \longrightarrow (Y', M_{Y'}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ be the base change of the diagram (1.1) by the exact closed immersion $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and let $\mathcal{E}'$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ to $(X'/\mathcal{Y}')^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. Then, by using a variant of (1.5) for isocrystals, we can see the quasi-isomorphism $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E} = i_* Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}'$, where i denotes the closed immersion $\mathcal{Y}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Noting the fact that i_* induces the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \cong \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$, we see that we may replace $\mathcal{Y}$ by $\mathcal{Y}'$ to prove the theorem. So we may assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ has no p-torsion.

Let us take a diagram

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g^{(\bullet)}} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

as (1.6) and take a crystal $\mathcal{F}$ of finite presentation on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ satisfying $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ induces an object $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}$ in $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}})$. Since $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ is Noetherian, there exists a natural number a satisfying

$$\{x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \,|\, p^n x = 0 \,\text{for some}\, n\} = \{x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \,|\, p^a x = 0\}.$$

Then let us put $\mathcal{F}' := \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Ker}(p^a : \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F})$, where cokernel and kernel are taken in the category $C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. Then, by using the equivalences of categories (1.2) and (1.3), we have

$$\mathcal{F}'_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}/\{x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \,|\, p^a x = 0\} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}/\{x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} \,|\, p^n x = 0 \,\text{for some}\, n\}.$$

Since we have $\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{F}'$, we may replace $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{F}'$, that is, we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}$ has no p-torsion.

Let us denote the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $(X/\mathcal{Y}_n)^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ by $\mathcal{F}_n$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_n$ to $(X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_n)^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ by $\mathcal{F}_n^{(\bullet)}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, take the open immersion $\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ of fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes satisfying $\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)} \times_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}} X^{(0)} = X^{(m)}$. Then $\mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}$ induces the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)})$. It is compatible with respect to n and we have the quasi-isomorphism

(1.8)

$$Rf_{X^{(m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_n^{(m)} = R(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) = (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)})$$

which is also compatible with respect to n. (Note however that the above quasi-isomorphism is not compatible with respect to m.)

Now we prove the following claim:

claim. Let the notation be as above. Then:

(1) We have the canonical quasi-isomorphism

$$(Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_n) \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{\cong} Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_{n-1},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_{n-1}.$$

(2) We have the distinguished triangle

$$Rf_{X/Y,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{p^{n-1}} Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_{n-1},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Proof of claim. First we prove (1). The construction of the map is standard and we omit it. To prove that the map is a quasi-isomorphism, we may replace $X, \mathcal{F}$ by $X^{(\bullet)}, \mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}$ by the first equality in (1.7) and then by $X^{(m)}, \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$. So, by (1.8), we are reduced to showing that the map

(1.9)

$$(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}} \longrightarrow (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{(m)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ has no p-torsion, it is flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. So $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ and it implies the quasi-isomorphism

$$(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}} = (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Next, since $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}$ has no p-torsion, $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. So the each term of $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ and then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \\
&= (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \\
&= (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{(m)}).
\end{aligned}$$

So the map (1.9) is a quasi-isomorphism and we have proved the assertion (1).

Next we prove (2). We can construct the first two maps easily, by using (1.5). To prove the assertion, we may replace $X, \mathcal{F}$ by $X^{(m)}, \mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ as in the proof of (1). Then we are reduced to showing the existence of the distinguished triangle of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_1^{(0,m)}/Y, \mathcal{F}_1^{(m)}) &\xrightarrow{p^{n-1}} (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \\
&\longrightarrow (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_{n-1}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}^{(m)}) \xrightarrow{+1} .
\end{aligned}$$

We can construct this trangle by applying $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \otimes^L_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}}$ to the triangle $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p^{n-1}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{+1}$, since $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}}$ is flat over $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. So we have proved the assertion (2). $\square$

By claim (2) and induction, we see that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_n$ is bounded and it has finitely generated cohomologies for any n. (Note that, in the case $n=1$, we have $Rf_{X/Y,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(X/Y,\mathcal{F}_X)$. So it is bounded and it has finitely generated cohomologies since f is proper.) By this and claim (1), we see that the family $\{Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_n\}_n$ forms a consistent system in the sense of [Be-O, B.4]. Hence, by [Be-O, B.9], we see that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = R\varprojlim Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_n$ is bounded above (hence bounded) and has finitely generated cohomologies. Hence $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is bounded and has isocoherent cohomologies. So we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 1.16. First let us consider the case Y is regular. We may assume that Y is affine. In this case, $Rf_{X/Y,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F} = Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(X/Y,\mathcal{F}_X)$ is a bounded complex of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules with coherent cohomologies. So it is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. Now note that, since Y is affine and regular, every coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module has a resolution of finite length by locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-module of finite rank. So $Rf_{X/Y,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of locally free $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules of finite rank, that is, it is a perfect complex of $\mathcal{O}_Y$-modules. From this fact and [Be-O, B.10], we see that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. So we are done.

Next let us consider the case where f is integral. By Theorem 1.15, we know that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is bounded and it has isocoherent cohomologies. So it is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of isocoherent sheaves locally on $\mathcal{Y}$. Hence, to prove that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules, it suffices to prove that $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of flat $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules.

Let the notation be as in the proof of Theorem 1.15 and put $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} := \mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}$. Then, to prove the above assertion, we may replace $X, \mathcal{E}$ by $X^{(m)}, \mathcal{E}^{(m)}$. Then, since we have $Rf_{X^{(m)}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}^{(m)} := \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{F}^{(m)}) = (f\circ g^{(m)})_*(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{F}^{(m)}))$, it suffices to prove that $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}\otimes\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}})$ $(i\in\mathbb{N})$ are flat $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. Now let us note that $(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is formally log smooth by definition and integral because so is f. So $\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}$ is flat over $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}\otimes\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}}$ $(i\in\mathbb{N})$ are locally free $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-modules, we can deduce that $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}\otimes\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}})$ $(i\in\mathbb{N})$ are flat $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. So we are done. □

Remark 1.18. In the case $\mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Spf}\,W$, Theorem 1.15 implies the finite-dimensionality of the (absolute) log crystalline cohomology $H^i((X/W)^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}},\mathcal{E})$. It is already used in [O2] and [S2, 3.1.5], but we could not find a precise reference before.

Next we prove the base change property.

Theorem 1.19. *Assume we are given a diagram*

(1.10)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, M_Y) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f is proper log smooth integral, ι is the exact closed immersion defined by the ideal sheaf $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ and the squares are Cartesian. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on

$(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$*, we have the quasi-isomorphism*

$$L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Remark 1.20. When $\mathcal{E}$ has the form $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ for a locally free crystal of finite type $\mathcal{F}$, Theorem 1.19 is essentially known by [Be-O, 7.8] plus limit argument.

Proof. First, by the same argument as the proof of Theorem 1.15, we may assume that $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'$ are affine and have no p-torsion. Let us take a diagram

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g^{(\bullet)}} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \overset{i^{(\bullet)}}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

as in (1.6) and take a crystal $\mathcal{F}$ of finite presentation on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$ satisfying $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}$ has no p-torsion. (It is possible by the proof of Theorem 1.15.) Let $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(\bullet)}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.15 and denote the map $\varphi \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} : (\mathcal{Y}'_n, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_n}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_n, M_{\mathcal{Y}_n})$ simply by φ_n.

The map $L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*_\bullet\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is defined in a standard way (see [Be-O, 7.8], [Be1, V 3.5.2]). This map induces the map

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (R\varprojlim L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_\bullet) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet}\varphi^*_\bullet\mathcal{F}_\bullet. \tag{1.11}$$

(Note that $L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to the complex of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\bullet}$-modules. So it admits a $\varprojlim$-acyclic resolution and so we can apply the functor $R\varprojlim$ to it.) The right hand side of (1.11) is quasi-isomorphic to to $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{F} = Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E}$ by [Be-O, 7.22] and the left hand side is calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (R\varprojlim L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_\bullet) \\
&= \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (R\varprojlim L\varphi^*_\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\bullet} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F})) \qquad \text{([Be-O, B.5])} \\
&= \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (R\varprojlim(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet} \otimes^L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}} L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F})) \\
&= \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}) \qquad \text{([Be-O, B.9])} \\
&= L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned}$$

So it suffices to show that the map (1.11) is a quasi-isomorphism. If we denote the cone $\mathrm{Cone}(L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}_\bullet \longrightarrow Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*_\bullet\mathcal{F}_\bullet)$ by $C_\bullet$, it suffices to prove the equality $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet = 0$ in derived category.

Let $(X'^{(\bullet)}, M_{X'^{(\bullet)}}) := (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \times_{(X,M_X)} (X', M_{X'})$, $(\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}) := (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ and $g'^{(\bullet)} := g^{(\bullet)} \times_{(X,M_X)} (X', M_{X'})$. Then we have

$$C_\bullet = \mathrm{Cone}(L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}_\bullet \longrightarrow Rf_{X'^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*_\bullet\mathcal{F}^{(\bullet)}_\bullet).$$

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let us put $C^{(m)}_\bullet := \mathrm{Cone}(L\varphi^*_\bullet Rf_{X^{(m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n,\mathrm{crys}*}\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_\bullet \longrightarrow Rf_{X'^{(m)}/\mathcal{Y}'_\bullet,\mathrm{crys}*}\varphi^*_\bullet\mathcal{F}^{(m)}_\bullet)$. To prove the equality $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet = 0$, it suffices to prove the equality $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C^{(m)}_\bullet = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, because we have $C^{(m)}_\bullet = 0$ for sufficiently large m. Let us take a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}})$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.15 and put $(\mathcal{P}'^{(0,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}'^{(0,m)}}) := (\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$. To prove the claim, we may assume moreover that $\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}$ is affine, $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$ is a free $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-module of finite rank and $\omega^1_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-module. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{(m)} &\cong \mathrm{Cone}(L{\varphi_n}^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \longrightarrow (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}'^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}'_n, {\varphi_n}^*\mathcal{F}_n^{(m)})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Cone}(L{\varphi_n}^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) \longrightarrow {\varphi_n}^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)})). \end{aligned}$$

(The second isomorphism follows from affine base change.)

For a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$, let us put $\mathcal{M}_n := \mathcal{M}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ and put

$$C_\bullet^{(m)}(\mathcal{M}) := \mathrm{Cone}(L{\varphi_\bullet}^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{M}_\bullet \longrightarrow {\varphi_\bullet}^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{M}_\bullet).$$

Then, to prove the equality $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet^{(m)} = 0$, it suffices to prove the equality $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet^{(m)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ for any p-torsion free coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M}$ is locally free (in the sense of Definition 1.9), because $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}^{(m)})$ is a bounded complex consisting of such modules and we have $\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}_n, \mathcal{F}_n^{(m)}) = \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}^{(m)})\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}$.

Let us fix a p-torsion free coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M}$ is locally free and take a resolution $\mathcal{N}^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{M}$ by finitely generated free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}}$-modules. (It is possible since $\mathcal{P}^{(0,m)}$ is affine.) Then, since $\mathcal{M}$ is p-torsion free, the induced diagram $\mathcal{N}_n^\bullet \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow 0$ gives a resolution of $\mathcal{M}_n$ by finitely generated free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_n^{(0,m)}}$-modules. So

$$(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{N}_n^\bullet \longrightarrow (f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{M}_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and each $(f\circ g^{(m)})_*\mathcal{N}_n^q$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_n}$-module. Applying φ_n^* and noting the equality $\varphi_n^*(f\circ g^{(m)})_* = (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_n}^*$, we obtain the diagram

$$(f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_n}^*\mathcal{N}_n^\bullet \longrightarrow (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_n}^*\mathcal{M}_n$$

and the cone of it is a representative of $C_n^{(m)}(\mathcal{M})$, which is compatible with respect to n. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet^{(m)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ =&\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim \mathrm{Cone}((f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_\bullet}^*\mathcal{N}_\bullet^\bullet \longrightarrow (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_\bullet}^*\mathcal{M}_\bullet) \\ =&\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \varprojlim{}_n \mathrm{Cone}((f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_n}^*\mathcal{N}_n^\bullet \longrightarrow (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*{\varphi'_n}^*\mathcal{M}_n) \\ =&\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (f\circ g'^{(m)})_*\mathrm{Cone}(\varphi'^*\mathcal{N}^\bullet \longrightarrow \varphi'^*\mathcal{M}) \\ =&(f\circ g'^{(m)})_*\mathrm{Cone}(\varphi'^*(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{N}^\bullet) \longrightarrow \varphi'^*(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M})) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(The second equality comes from the quasi-coherence and the surjectivity of the transition maps for each term and the final equality comes from the local freeness of $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{M}$.) So we have proved the equality $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R\varprojlim C_\bullet^{(m)}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$ and so we are done. □

In the rest of this section, we introduce the relative version of HPD-(iso)stratification ([O2], [S1, 4.3]) and prove a relation with (iso)crystals.

First we define the notion of HPD-(iso)stratification (cf. [S1, 4.3.1]):

Definition 1.21. *Let* $(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *be as in* (1.1) *and let* $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ *be a closed immersion into a* p*-adic fine log formal* $\mathcal{B}$*-scheme over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$*. Let* $D(i)\,(i = 0, 1, 2)$ *be the* p*-adically completed log PD-envelope of* (X, M_X) *in the* $(i+1)$*-fold fiber product of* $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$*. Then we have the projections* $p_i : D(1) \longrightarrow D(0)\,(i = 1, 2)$*,* $p_{ij} : D(2) \longrightarrow D(1)\,(1 \le i < j \le 3)$ *and the diagonal map* $\Delta : D(0) \longrightarrow$

$D(1)$. Then we define an HPD-strafinication (resp. HPD-isostratification) on an object E in $\mathrm{M}(D(0))$ (resp. $\mathrm{M}(D(0))_{\mathbb{Q}}$) as an isomorphism $\epsilon : p_2^* E \xrightarrow{\cong} p_1^* E$ satisfying $\Delta(\epsilon) = \mathrm{id}$ and $p_{12}^*(\epsilon) \circ p_{23}^*(\epsilon) = p_{13}^*(\epsilon)$. We denote the category of objects in $\mathrm{M}(D(0))$ (resp. $\mathrm{M}(D(0))_{\mathbb{Q}}$) endowed with HPD-stratification (resp. HPD-isostratification) by $\mathrm{HPD}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (resp. $\mathrm{HPDI}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$).

Let the notations be as above and assume that $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Then we have the canonical equivalence of categories ([Ka, §6])

$$C_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPD}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$

and it naturally induces the fully-faithful functor

$$\Lambda : I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPDI}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}).$$

As in the absolute case ([S1, 4.3.2]), we have the following:

Proposition 1.22. *If $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$ holds, Λ is an equivalence of categories.*

The proposition follows from the following lemma (cf. [S1, 4.3.3]):

Lemma 1.23. *With the above notation, let $(\mathcal{U}, M_{\mathcal{U}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ be a strict open immersion ($\mathcal{U}$ may be empty) and put $(U, M_U) := (X, M_X) \times_{(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})} (\mathcal{U}, M_{\mathcal{U}})$. Let (E, ϵ) be an object in $\mathrm{HPDI}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$, let (L', ϵ') be an object in $\mathrm{HPD}((U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}))$ such that $L' \in \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})$ has no p-torsion, and assume given an isomorphism $\alpha : (E, \epsilon)|_{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{Q} \otimes L', \mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon')$ in $\mathrm{HPDI}((U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. Then there exists an object (L'', ϵ'') in $\mathrm{HPD}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ with the isomorphism $(\mathbb{Q} \otimes L'', \mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon'') \cong (E, \epsilon)$ such that $L'' \in \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})$ has no p-torsion and that α is induced by an isomorphism $(L'', \epsilon'')|_{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\cong} (L', \epsilon)$ in $\mathrm{HPD}((U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [S1, 4.3.3]. First note the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{M}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}), \mathrm{M}(\mathcal{Z})_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ for $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{U}$. In this proof, we regard L', E as an object of $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})$, respectively. Take a coherent sheaf L without p-torsion on $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathbb{Q} \otimes L = E$ holds. By the argument in [S1, p.602 lines 16–23], we may assume that $L|_{\mathcal{U}} \cong L'$ holds via α.

Assume for the moment that $\mathcal{P}$ is affine and put $\mathcal{P} = \mathrm{Spf}\, A$. If we define $D(1)$ as in Definition 1.21, then $D(1)$ is affine over $\mathcal{P}$ and so it is also affine. Put $D(1) := \mathrm{Spf}\, B(1)$. Then it is known that $B(1)$ is flat over A ([Ka]). Denote $\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, E), \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, L)$ by E_A, L_A. Then ϵ naturally induces the isomorphism

$$\epsilon_A : B(1) \otimes_A E_A \xrightarrow{\cong} E_A \otimes_A B(1).$$

(Note that, since $B(1)$ is flat over A and E_A is isocoherent, $B(1) \otimes_A E_A$ is isomorphic to $B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A E_A$ and so on. See for example [Ga-Ra, 7.1.6].) Let $\theta_A : E_A \longrightarrow E_A \otimes_A B(1)$ be the map defined by $\theta_A(x) := \epsilon(1 \otimes x)$ and let us define L''_A by $L''_A := \theta^{-1}(L_A \otimes_A B(1))$. Then L''_A is an A-module and by the argument in [S1, p.602 line 31 –p.604 line 16], we have the following:

1. L''_A is a coherent sub A-module of L_A satisfying $\mathbb{Q} \otimes L''_A = E_A$.
2. $\epsilon_A(B(1) \otimes_A L''_A) \subseteq L''_A \otimes_A B(1)$ holds.

Now we prove that ϵ induces the isomorphism $\epsilon''_A : B(1) \otimes_A L''_A \xrightarrow{\cong} L''_A \otimes_A B(1)$. (It is implicitely used in [S1] and [O2], but the proof was omitted there.) To see this, it suffices to prove that $C := \mathrm{Coker}(\epsilon''_A)$ is zero. Since we have $B(1) \otimes_A L''_A = B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A L''_A$ and $L''_A \otimes_A B(1) = L''_A \hat{\otimes}_A B(1)$, it suffices to prove $C/p^n C = 0$ for any n. Note that $A \otimes_{\Delta^*, B(1)} \epsilon''_A$ is, by definition, the identity map $L''_A \longrightarrow L''_A$. So we have $A \otimes_{\Delta^*, B(1)} C = 0$, hence $(A/p^n A) \otimes_{\Delta^*, B(1)/p^n B(1)} (C/p^n C) = 0$. Since $\mathrm{Ker}(\Delta^* : B(1)/p^n B(1) \longrightarrow A/p^n A)$ is a nil-ideal and $C/p^n C$ is finitely generated, it implies $C/p^n C = 0$. So ϵ''_A is an isomorphism. The pair (L''_A, ϵ''_A) naturally induces an object in $\mathrm{HPD}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (denoted also by (L''_A, ϵ''_A)) satisfying $\mathbb{Q} \otimes (L''_A, \epsilon''_A) = (E, \epsilon)$.

Now let us remove the condition that $\mathcal{P}$ is affine. For an affine open sub formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}\, A$ of $\mathcal{P}$, we can define (L''_A, ϵ''_A) by the method of the previous paragraph. Now let $\mathrm{Spf}\, A' \subseteq \mathrm{Spf}\, A \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ be open immersions. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L''_{A'} &= \theta_{A'}^{-1}(L_{A'} \otimes_{A'} (B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A A')) \\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(E_{A'} \xrightarrow{\theta_{A'}} E_{A'} \otimes_{A'} (B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A A') \longrightarrow (E_{A'}/L_{A'}) \otimes_{A'} (B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A A')) \\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(E_{A'} \xrightarrow{\theta_{A'}} E_{A'} \otimes_{A'} (B(1) \hat{\otimes}_A A') \longrightarrow (E_{A'}/L_{A'}) \otimes_{A'} (B(1) \otimes_A A')) \ \ (E_{A'}/L_{A'} \text{ is } p\text{-torsion}) \\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(E_A \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\theta_A \otimes_A A'} E_A \otimes_A B(1) \otimes_A A' \longrightarrow (E_A/L_A) \otimes_A B(1) \otimes_A A') \\
&= \mathrm{Ker}(E_A \xrightarrow{\theta_A} E_A \otimes_A B(1) \longrightarrow (E_A/L_A) \otimes_A B(1)) \otimes_A A' \\
&= \theta_A^{-1}(L_A \otimes_A B(1)) \otimes_A A' = L''_A \otimes_A A'.
\end{aligned}$$

So the construction of (L''_A, ϵ''_A) in the previous paragraph is compatible with respect to the open immersion $\mathrm{Spf}\, A' \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spf}\, A$. Moreover, if we have $\mathrm{Spf}\, A \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$L_A \subseteq \theta^{-1}(L_A \otimes_A B(1)) = L''_A,$$

since $L|_{\mathcal{U}} \cong L'$ is stable under ϵ. So we have $L_A = L''_A$ in this case. Therefore, we can glue (L''_A, ϵ''_A)'s to define globally an object (L'', ϵ'') in $\mathrm{HPD}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ which satisfies $\mathbb{Q} \otimes (L'', \epsilon'') = (E, \epsilon)$ and $(L'', \epsilon'')|_{\mathcal{U}} \cong (L', \epsilon')$ via α. So we are done. □

By the same argument as in [O2, 0.7.5] and [S1, 4.3.4], we have the following (we omit the proof):

Proposition 1.24. *Let $(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be as in (1.1) with f log smooth. Then the descent for finite Zariski open coverings holds for the category $I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$.*

2. Relative log convergent cohomology (I)

In this section, first we give the definition and some basic properties of relative log convergent site. Then we give a proof of the relative version of log convergent Poincaré lemma. After that, we give a proof of the comparison theorem between relative log convergent cohomology and relative log crystalline cohomology. Some of the results in this section are studied also in [N-S]. The proofs are basically the same as the absolute case (given in [S2]), but we would like to point out that some of the proofs are slightly generalized or slightly simplified than those given in [S2]. Also, we correct some errors in [S2].

First we give a definition of pre-widenings, widenings and enlargements, following the absolute case ([S2, 2.1.1, 2.1.8, 2.1.9, 2.1.11]):

Definition 2.1. *Let* $f : (\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *be a morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. *Define the category* $\mathcal{Q}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ *of quadruples on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *as follows: The objects are the data* $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$, *where* $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *is an object in* $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$, (Z, M_Z) *is an object in* $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$, i *is a closed immersion* $(Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *and* z *is a morphism* $(Z, M_Z) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})$ *in* $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. *We define a morphism of quadruples on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *in an obvious way.* (*See* [S2, 2.1.8].)

Definition 2.2. *Let* $f : (\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *be as above.*
(1) *A quadruple* $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *is called a pre-widening on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *if* $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *is in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$.
(2) *A quadruple* $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *is called a widening on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *if* i *is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion.* (*That is,* Z *is a scheme of definition of* $\mathcal{Z}$ *via* i.)
(3) *A (pre)-widening* $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *is said to be exact if* i *is exact. It is said to be affine if* $\mathcal{Z}$, z *and the structure morphism* $\mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ *are affine.*
(4) *A quadruple* $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *is called an enlargement if it is both pre-widening and widening, it is exact and* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is flat over* $\mathrm{Spf}\, W$.

Remark 2.3. In [S2, 2.1.11], we should have added the condition that T is flat over $\mathrm{Spf}\, V$.

We often denote a pre-widening, a widening or an enlargement $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ simply by $((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z))$ or $\mathcal{Z}$. For a pre-widening $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$, we define the associated widening by the quadruple $((\hat{\mathcal{Z}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{Z}}}), (Z, M_Z), \hat{i}, z)$, where $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the completion of $\mathcal{Z}$ along Z and $\hat{i}$ is the closed immersion $(Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{Z}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{Z}}})$ induced by i.

We define relative log convergent site and isocrystals on it as follows:

Definition 2.4. *Let* τ *be one of the words* $\{\mathrm{Zar}(= \mathrm{Zariski}), \mathrm{et}(= \mathrm{etale})\}$. *For a morphism* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, *we define the log convergent site* $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ *of* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *with respect to* τ*-topology as follows: The objects are the enlargements* $\mathcal{Z}$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *and the morphisms are the morphism of enlargements. A family of morphisms*

$$\{((\mathcal{Z}_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Z}_\lambda}), (Z_\lambda, M_{Z_\lambda}), i_\lambda, z_\lambda) \longrightarrow ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$$

is a covering if the morphisms $(\mathcal{Z}_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Z}_\lambda}) \to (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ *are strict, form a covering of* $\mathcal{Z}$ *with respect to* τ*-topology and* $(Z_\lambda, M_{Z_\lambda})$ *is canonically isomorphic to* $(\mathcal{Z}_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Z}_\lambda}) \times_{(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), i} (Z, M_Z)$. *When the log structures are trivial, we omit the superscript* $^{\log}$ *in* $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$. *We denote the right derived functor* (*resp. the* q*-th right derived functor*) *of the functor*

$$(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}};\ \mathcal{E} \mapsto (U \mapsto \Gamma((\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} U/U)^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}, \mathcal{E}))$$

by $Rf_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ (*resp.* $R^q f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$). *We call* $R^q f_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ *the* q*-th relative log convergent cohomology of* $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *with coefficient* $\mathcal{E}$. *We denote the sheaf on* $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ *defined by* $\mathcal{Z} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ (*resp.* $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$) *by* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$ (*resp.* $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}$).

Definition 2.5. *Let the notations be as above. An isocrystal on the log convergent site* $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ *is a sheaf* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) *For any enlargement* $\mathcal{Z}$, *the sheaf* $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ *on* $\mathcal{Z}$ *induced by* $\mathcal{E}$ *is an isocoherent sheaf.*

(2) *For any morphism $f : \mathcal{Z}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ of enlargements, the homomorphism $f^*\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ of sheaves on $\mathcal{Z}'$ induced by $\mathcal{E}$ is an isomorphism.*

We denote the category of isocrystals on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ by $I_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. When the log structures are trivial, we omit the superscript ${}^{\log}$.

Definition 2.6. *Let the notations be as above. Then an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be locally free if, for any enlargement $\mathcal{Z}$, the sheaf $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}$ induced by $\mathcal{E}$ is a locally free $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$-module in the sense of Definition 1.9.*

For a morphism $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and a (pre-)widening $\mathcal{Z}$ on $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$, we can define the notion of localized log convergent site $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and the category of isocrystals $I_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}})$ on it in the same way as [S2, 2.1.17, 2.1.19].

Note that, for a simplicial object $(\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}})$ in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and a morphism $(\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, we can define the log convergent topos $(\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ of $(\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ (cf. [S2, p.46–47]). We can prove the following proposition in the same way as [S2, 2.1.20] (we omit the proof):

Proposition 2.7. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be a morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and let τ be one of the words $\{\mathrm{Zar}(=\text{ Zariski}), \mathrm{et}(=\text{ etale})\}$. Let $g^{(\bullet)} : (\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a strict τ-hypercovering. Let $\theta := (\theta_*, \theta^{-1}) : (\mathcal{X}^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$ be the morphism of topoi characterized by $\theta^{-1}(E)^{(i)} := g^{(i),-1}(E)$. Then, for any abelian sheaf E on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\tau}$, the canonical homomorphism $E \longrightarrow R\theta_*\theta^{-1}E$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Remark 2.8. The description of θ^{-1} in [S2, 2.1.20] was wrong. The correct one is given above.

The equivalence of the category of isocrystals on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv,et}}$ and that on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}$ holds also in relative case ([S2, 2.1.21], we omit the proof):

Proposition 2.9. *Let $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be as above and let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a (pre-)widening of $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Let us denote the canonical morphism of topoi*

$$(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,et}} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}} \quad (\textit{resp. } (\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,et}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}\ )$$

by ϵ. Then:

(1) *for any $\mathcal{E} \in I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (resp. $\mathcal{E} \in I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}})$), we have $R\epsilon_*\mathcal{E} = \epsilon_*\mathcal{E}$.*

(2) *The functor $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \epsilon_*\mathcal{E}$ induces the equivalence of categories*

$$I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} I_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$
$$(\textit{resp. } I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} I_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}})\ ).$$

In the rest of this paper, we denote the category $I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) = I_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (resp. $I_{\mathrm{conv,et}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}}) = I_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}})$) simply by $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (resp. $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}|_{\mathcal{Z}})$) and call it an isocrystal on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ (resp. $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$), by abuse of terminology.

Next we introduce the notion of the exactification of a closed immersion of fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes. (This is essentially introduced in [C-F, 0.9] as log formal tube. We will not use this terminology because we would like to use the word 'tube' for the rigid analytic one.)

Proposition-Definition 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category of homeomorphic exact closed immersions $i : (Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ in* $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the category of closed immersions in* $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then the canonical inclusion functor $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ has a right adjoint of the form $((Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})) \mapsto ((Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}))$. We call this functor the exactification.*

Proof. Let $i : (Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ be a closed immersion in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and we prove the existence of $(Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}})$. To prove it, we may replace $\mathcal{Z}$ by the formal completion of $\mathcal{Z}$ along Z. Moreover, since it suffices to prove the claim etale locally (by etale descent), we may assume that i admits a chart $(P_{\mathcal{Z}} \to M_{\mathcal{Z}}, Q_Z \to M_Z, P \overset{\alpha}{\to} Q)$ such that α^{gp} is surjective. If we put $P' := \alpha^{\mathrm{gp},-1}(Q)$, $\mathcal{Z}' := \mathcal{Z} \hat{\times}_{\mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{Z}_p\{P\}} \mathrm{Spf}\,\mathbb{Z}_p\{P'\}$, $M_{\mathcal{Z}'} :=$ log structure associated to $P'_{\mathcal{Z}'} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}'}$, we obtain a factorization

$$(Z, M_Z) \xrightarrow{i'} (\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}) \xrightarrow{f} (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$$

such that i' is an exact closed immersion and f is formally log etale. (Here we define the formal log etaleness of a morphism in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ by infinitesimal lifting property.) If we define $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{Z}'$ along Z and $M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}$ to be the pull-back of $M_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ to $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}$, we have the diagram

$$(Z, M_Z) \xrightarrow{i^{\mathrm{ex}}} (\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}) \xrightarrow{g} (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$$

such that i^{ex} is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion and that g is formally log etale. We can check that $i \mapsto i^{\mathrm{ex}}$ is indeed the desired functor by this diagram. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let $i : (Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ be a closed immersion in* $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *and let $(Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}})$ be its exactification. Then the canonical morphism $(\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ defined by adjointness is formally log etale and affine.*

For a (pre-)widening $\mathcal{Z} = ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$, the quadruple $\mathcal{Z}^{ex} := ((\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}), (Z, M_Z), i^{\mathrm{ex}}, z)$ is a widening. It is called the exactification of $\mathcal{Z}$. Note that the widening obtained as the exactification of a pre-widening is equal to the exactification of the widening associated to the given pre-widening. The notion of the exactification was defined only under the existence of a chart in [S2] and this forced us to do complicated arguments at several parts of the paper [S2]. With this general definition of the exactification, we can slightly generalize some notions and simplify some arguments in [S2]. For example, we can define the notion of the system of universal enlargements of (not necessarily exact) (pre-)widenings as follows:

Definition 2.12. *Let $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ be a (pre-)widening. Let $((\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}), (Z, M_Z), i^{\mathrm{ex}}, z)$ be the exactification of $\mathcal{Z}$ and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z)$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_n(\mathcal{Z})$ be the formal blow-up of $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ with respect to the ideal $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}} + \mathcal{I}^n$, let $T'_n(\mathcal{Z})$ be the open sub formal scheme*

$$\{x \in B_n(\mathcal{Z}) \,|\, (p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}} + \mathcal{I}^n) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{B_n(\mathcal{Z}),x} = p\mathcal{O}_{B_n(\mathcal{Z}),x}\}$$

and let $T_n(\mathcal{Z})$ be the closed subscheme of $T'_n(\mathcal{Z})$ defined by the ideal $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{T'_n(\mathcal{Z})} \,|\, \exists n, p^n x = 0\}$. Let $\lambda_n : T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ be the canonical morphism and let $Z_n := \lambda_n^{-1}(Z)$. Then the quadruple

$$T_n(\mathcal{Z}) := ((T_n(\mathcal{Z}), M_{\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}}|_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})}), (Z_n, M_Z|_{Z_n}), Z_n \hookrightarrow T_n(\mathcal{Z}), (Z_n, M_Z) \xrightarrow{\lambda_n} (Z, M_Z) \xrightarrow{z} (X, M))$$

is an enlargement for each n and the family $\{T_n(\mathcal{Z})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ forms an inductive system of enlargements. The morphisms λ_n's define the morphisms of (pre-)widenings $T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ which is compatible with transition morphisms. We call this inductive system the system of universal enlargements of $\mathcal{Z}$.

We can see that the analogues of [S2, 2.1.23–27] are true also in relative situation. That is, we have the following (we omit the proof):

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a widening and let $\mathcal{Z}'$ be an enlargement. Then a morphism $\mathcal{Z}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ as quadruples factors through $T_n(\mathcal{Z})$ for some n. Moreover, such a factorization is unique as a morphism to the inductive system $\{T_n(\mathcal{Z})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.*

Lemma 2.14. *With the above notation, the morphism of sheaves $h_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})} \longrightarrow h_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is injective. (Here $h_?$ denotes the sheaf on relative log convergent site associated to ?.)*

Lemma 2.15. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a pre-widening and let $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the associated widening. Then we have the canonical isomorphism of enlargements $T_n(\hat{\mathcal{Z}}) \xrightarrow{=} T_n(\mathcal{Z})$.*

Lemma 2.16. *Let*

$$g : ((\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}), (Z', M_{Z'}), i', z') \longrightarrow ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$$

be a morphism of (pre-)widenings and assume that $(\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}) \times_{(\mathcal{Z},M_{\mathcal{Z}})} (Z, M_Z) = (Z', M_{Z'})$ holds naturally and that $\mathcal{Z}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ is flat. Then g induces the natural isomorphism of enlargements

$$T_n(\mathcal{Z}') \xrightarrow{\sim} T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \times_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{Z}'.$$

Lemma 2.17. *Let*

$$g : \mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}' := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z', M_{Z'}), i', z')$$

be a morphism of (pre-)widenings such that g is identity on $\mathcal{Z}$ and $(Z, M_Z) \longrightarrow (Z', M_{Z'})$ is an exact closed immersion. Assume that there exists an ideal J of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^{m+1} \subseteq \pi\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $I_Z \subseteq I_{Z'} + J$ holds, where $I_Z, I_{Z'}$ are the defining ideals of Z, Z' in $\mathcal{Z}$, respectively. Denote the morphism of enlargements $T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow T_n(\mathcal{Z}')$ induced by g by g_n. Then:

1. *There exists a homomorphism of formal schemes $h_n : T_n(\mathcal{Z}') \longrightarrow T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})$ such that the composites*

   $$T_n(\mathcal{Z}') \xrightarrow{h_n} T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{g_{m+n}} T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z}'), \quad T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \xrightarrow{g_n} T_n(\mathcal{Z}') \xrightarrow{h_n} T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})$$

   coincide with the canonical transition morphisms.
2. *For an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$, we have the natural isomorphism $\varphi_n : h_n^*\mathcal{E}_{T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}')}$ such that the composites*

   $$h_n^* \circ g_{m+n}^*\mathcal{E}_{T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z}')} \xrightarrow{h_n^*\mathcal{E}(g_{m+n})} h_n^*\mathcal{E}_{T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})} \xrightarrow{\varphi_n} \mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}')},$$

   $$g_n^* \circ h_n^*\mathcal{E}_{T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})} \xrightarrow{g_n^*\varphi_n} g_n^*\mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}')} \xrightarrow{E(g_n)} \mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})},$$

   coincide with the isomorphisms induced by the canonical morphism of enlargements $T_n(\mathcal{Z}') \longrightarrow T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z}')$ and $T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow T_{m+n}(\mathcal{Z})$, respectively.

Remark 2.18. In [S2, 2.1.25, 2.1.26], we forgot to assume that T is exact. However, now we do not need the exactness hypothesis (as written in Lemmas 2.15, 2.16), because now we can define the system of universal enlargements for (pre-)widenings which are not necessarily exact.

As another application of the exactification of a closed immersion of fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes, we define the notion of tubular neighborhood of fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes as follows (In [S2, 2.2.4], it was defined under certain assumption, but we can define it without any assumption here):

Definition 2.19. *For a closed immersion $(Z, M_Z) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ of fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes, we define the tubular neighborhood $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of (Z, M_Z) in $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ as the rigid analytic space $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}_K$. We define the specialization map*

$$\mathrm{sp} :]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Z}} (:= (\text{the completion of } \mathcal{Z} \text{ along } Z) \simeq Z)$$

by the composite $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}}_K \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}} \mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Z}}$, where the first map is the usual specialization map and the second map is the one induced by the canonical morphism $\mathcal{Z}^{\mathrm{ex}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$.

Remark 2.20. $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $\{T_n(\mathcal{Z})\}_n$ are related by $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n(\mathcal{Z})_K$.

Next, we recall the relation between the category of isocrystals and certain categories of stratifications and give the definition of the log de Rham complex on tubular neighborhood associated to an isocrystal. Let us consider the situation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \arrow[d, "g"] \\
(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

where (X, M_X) is an object in $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, f is a morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, i is a closed immersion in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and g is a formally log smooth morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathcal{P}(n), M_{\mathcal{P}(n)})$ be the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Then, we have a closed immersion $i(n) : (X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}(n), M_{\mathcal{P}(n)})$ and the quadruple $\mathcal{P}(n) := ((\mathcal{P}(n), M_{\mathcal{P}(n)}), (X, M_X), i(n), \mathrm{id})$ is a pre-widening of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. So we have a system of universal enlargements $\{T_m(\mathcal{P}(n))\}_m$. Moreover, we have the 'projections'

$$p_{i,m} : T_m(\mathcal{P}(1)) \longrightarrow T_m(\mathcal{P}) \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad p_{ij,m} : T_m(\mathcal{P}(2)) \longrightarrow T_{X,m}(\mathcal{P}(1)) \quad (1 \le i < j \le 3)$$

and the 'diagonal morphism' $\Delta_m : T_m(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow T_m(\mathcal{P}(1))$, which are morphisms of enlargements and compatible with respect to m. Let $\mathrm{Str}'((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ be the category of compatible family of isocoherent sheaves E_m on $T_m(\mathcal{P})$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ endowed with compatible isomorphisms $\epsilon_m : p_{2,m}^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{1,m}^* E$ satisfying $\Delta_m^*(\epsilon_m) = \mathrm{id}$, $p_{12,m}^*(\epsilon_m) \circ p_{23,m}^*(\epsilon_m) = p_{13,m}^*(\epsilon_m)$.

On the other hand, from the closed immersion $i(n)$, we can form the tubular neighbouhood $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(n)}$ and we have the projections

$$p_i :]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad p_{ij} :]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(2)} \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \quad (1 \le i < j \le 3)$$

and the diagonal morphism $\Delta :]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}$. Let $\mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ be the category of pairs (E, ϵ), where E is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module and ϵ is an $\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}}$-linear isomorphism

$p_2^*E \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*E$ satisfying $\Delta^*(\epsilon) = \mathrm{id}$, $p_{12}^*(\epsilon) \circ p_{23}^*(\epsilon) = p_{13}^*(\epsilon)$. Then we have the following proposition as in the absolute case ([S2, 2.2.7]):

Proposition 2.21. *With the above notation, we have the functorial equivalence of categories*

$$I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \simeq \mathrm{Str}'((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \simeq \mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}).$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an isocrystal on $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and let (E, ϵ) be the associated object in $\mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. If we denote the first log infinitesimal neighborhood of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into $(\mathcal{P}(1), M_{\mathcal{P}(1)})$ by $(\mathcal{P}^1, M_{\mathcal{P}^1})$, ϵ induces the $\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^1}}$-linear isomorphism

$$\epsilon_1 : \mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}} E \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}} \mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^1}}$$

and it induces the log connection

$$\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}} \omega^1_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K}$$

by $\nabla(e) := \epsilon_1(1 \otimes e) - e \otimes 1$. (Here $\omega^1_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K} := \omega^1_{\mathcal{P}_K/\mathcal{Y}_K}|_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$ and $\omega^1_{\mathcal{P}_K/\mathcal{Y}_K}$ is the coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_K}$-module associated to $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \omega^1_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}})$.) Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.22. *The log connection ∇ above is integrable.*

Note that the proof of this lemma is harder than the analogous one in the previous paper ([S2, 2.2.8]) due to the lack of the 'of Zarisiki type' hypothesis.

Proof. When there exists a chart of the log formal scheme $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$, we can prove the lemma in the same way as [S2, 1.2.7, 2.2.8]. So it suffices to prove that we may work etale locally on $\mathcal{P}$.

Let $\{(E_m, \epsilon_m)\}_m$ be the object in $\mathrm{Str}'((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ associated to $\mathcal{E}$, let $(T_m(\mathcal{P})^{n\prime}, M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^{n\prime}})$ be the n-th log infinitesimal neighborhood of $(T_m(\mathcal{P}), M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})})$ in $(T_m(\mathcal{P}), M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (T_m(\mathcal{P}), M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})})$ and let $(T_m(\mathcal{P})^n, M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^n}) \hookrightarrow (T_m(\mathcal{P})^{n\prime}, M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^{n\prime}})$ be the exact closed immersion defined by the ideal $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^n} \mid \exists n, p^n x = 0\}$. Then the canonical morphism

$$(T_m(\mathcal{P})^n, M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^n}) \longrightarrow (T_m(\mathcal{P}), M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (T_m(\mathcal{P}), M_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}(1), M_{\mathcal{P}(1)})$$

factors through $(T_{m+l}(\mathcal{P}(1)), M_{T_{m+l}(\mathcal{P}(1))})$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ by the universality of exactification and blow-up. So, by pulling-back ϵ_{m+l} to $T_m(\mathcal{P})^n$, we obtain the isomorphism

$$\epsilon'_{m,n} : \mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}} E_m \xrightarrow{\sim} E_m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}} \mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})^n}$$

and $\epsilon'_{m,1}$ induces the connection

$$\nabla_m : E_m \longrightarrow E_m \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{P})}} \omega^1_{T_m(\mathcal{P})/\mathcal{Y}}.$$

Then it is easy to see that the compatible family $\{(E_m, \nabla_m)\}$ induces (E, ∇) via the equivalence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{compatible family of} \\ \text{isocoherent sheaves on} \\ \{T_m(\mathcal{P})\}_m \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \text{coherent} \\ \mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}\text{-module} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So it suffices to prove the integrability of (E_m, ∇_m) to prove the lemma, and we may work etale locally on $\mathcal{P}$ to check it. So we are done. $\square$

Thanks to the above lemma, we can define the log de Rham complex

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) := [0 \to E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}} \omega^1_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}} \omega^q_{]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots]$$

on $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K$ associated to the isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ in standard way.

Remark 2.23. We can give the analogue of the categories $\mathrm{Str}'((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}), \mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ and the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$ in a slightly more generalized situation. Assume given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathfrak{P}, M_{\mathfrak{P}}) \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where (X, M_X) is an object in $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, f is a morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, i is a closed immersion in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and g is a morphism in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ satisfying the following condition $(*)$:

$(*)$ Zariski locally on $\mathfrak{P}$, there exists a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathfrak{P}, M_{\mathfrak{P}}) \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, "g"'] & (\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}}) \arrow[d, "g'"'] \\
(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where g' is a formally log smooth morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, i' is a morphism in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ such that $i' \circ i$ is again a closed immersion and that i' induces the isomorphism $(\mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}}) \xrightarrow{=} (\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}}^{\mathrm{ex}}})$, where ${}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ denotes the exactification of the closed immersion from (X, M_X).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathfrak{P}(n), M_{\mathfrak{P}(n)})$ be the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathfrak{P}, M_{\mathfrak{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and let $i(n) : (X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathfrak{P}(n), M_{\mathfrak{P}(n)})$ be the closed immersion induced by i. Then, if we denote the exactification of $i(n)$ by $(\mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}}})$, $((\mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}}}), (X, M_X))$ forms a widening of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$.

Using $T_m(\mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}})$ instead of $T_m(\mathcal{P}(n))$, we can define the category $\mathrm{Str}'((X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$, and using $]X[^{\log}_{\mathfrak{P}(n)} = \mathfrak{P}(n)^{\mathrm{ex}}_K$ instead of $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(n)}$, we can define the category $\mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. Then the analogue of Proposition 2.21 is true also in this situation. (We may work Zariski locally on $\mathfrak{P}$ and then we can reduce to Proposition 2.21 by the condition $(*)$.) Moreover, for an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, we can define the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathfrak{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$ on $]X[^{\log}_{\mathfrak{P}} = \mathfrak{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_K$ in the same way as above.

Next we give a sketch of the proof of log convergent Poincaré lemma in relative situation. Let (X, M_X) be an object in $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, $(X, M_X) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ be a morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and let $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ be a widening on $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Then we have morphisms of topoi

$$u : (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}} \longrightarrow X^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}, \quad j_{\mathcal{Z}} : (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}$$

and a morphism of ringed topoi

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Z}} : (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}},$$

as in the absolute case [S2, pp.90–91]. On the other hand, we can define the direct limit site $\vec{\mathcal{Z}}$ as follows, as in [S2, 2.1.28] (note that we do not need the exactness of $\mathcal{Z}$, because now we have the notion of system of universal enlargements in general):

Definition 2.24. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be as above. Then we define the direct limit site $\vec{\mathcal{Z}}$ as follows: Objects are the open sets of some $T_n(\mathcal{Z})$. For open sets $U \subseteq T_n(\mathcal{Z})$ and $V \subseteq T_m(\mathcal{Z})$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}(U,V)$ is empty unless $n \le m$ and in the case $n \le m$, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}(U,V)$ is defined to be the set of morphisms $f : U \longrightarrow V$ which commutes with the transition morphism $T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow T_m(\mathcal{Z})$. The coverings are defined by Zarisi topology for each object.*

We define the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}(U) := \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$. A sheaf of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}$-modules E is called crystalline, if, for any transition map $\psi : T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow T_m(\mathcal{Z})$, the induced map of sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})} \otimes_{\psi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{T_m(\mathcal{Z})}} \psi^{-1}E_m \longrightarrow E_n$$

is an isomorphism, where E_n, E_m denote the sheaves on $T_n(\mathcal{Z})$, $T_m(\mathcal{Z})$ induced by E.

We define the morphism of topoi $\gamma : \vec{\mathcal{Z}}^{\sim} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ in order that γ^* is the pull-back functor and that γ_* is the functor of taking inverse limit of the direct images. Then we have the following lemma, as [S2, 2.1.31] and [O2, 0.3.7]. (The proof is the same. Note that we use the affinity of $T_n(\mathcal{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ in the proof, which is true by Corollary 2.11 and Definition 2.12.)

Lemma 2.25. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an affine widening and let E be a crystalline sheaf of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}}}$-modules. Then we have $H^q(\vec{\mathcal{Z}}, E) = 0$ for $q > 0$.*

We can define the functor

$$\phi_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}},*} : (\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \vec{\mathcal{Z}}^{\sim}$$

as in [S2, p.91]. Then we have the equality $\phi_{\mathcal{Z},*} = \gamma_* \circ \phi_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}},*}$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \arrow[r, "\phi_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}},*}"] \arrow[d, "j_{\mathcal{Z},*}"'] & \vec{\mathcal{Z}}^{\sim} \arrow[r, "\gamma_*"] & \mathcal{Z}^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}} \arrow[d, equal] \\
(X/V)^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}} \arrow[r, "u_*"'] & X^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}} & Z^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}. \arrow[l, "z_*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

By the same argument as in the absolute case, we have the following ([S2, 2.3.1, 2.3.2], [O2, 0.4.1, 0.4.2]):

Lemma 2.26. *Let the notations be as above and assume that $\mathcal{Z}$ is an affine widening. Then:*

1. *The functor $\phi_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}},*}$ sends an injective sheaf to a flasque sheaf and exact.*
2. *For an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$, we have $H^q((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv},\mathrm{Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{E}) \cong H^q(\vec{\mathcal{Z}}, \phi_{\vec{\mathcal{Z}},*}\mathcal{E})$ for all $q \ge 0$ and these groups vanish for $q > 0$.*

Proof. We omit the proof of (1), since the proof is the same as that of [O2, 0.4.1, 0.4.2]. (2) is the immediate consequence of (1) and Lemma 2.25. □

By using these lemmas, we can deduce the following, as [S2, 2.3.3, 2.3.4]:

Proposition 2.27. *Let the notations be as above and let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an affine widening. Then, for an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ in $(X/V)^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$, we have $R^q(u \circ j_{\mathcal{Z}})_*\mathcal{E} = 0$ and $R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E} = 0$ for $q > 0$.*

Proof. We may assume X is affine. $R^q(u \circ j_{\mathcal{Z}})_*\mathcal{E}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto H^q((U/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{E})$$

and it vanishes for $q > 0$ by Lemma 2.26.

To prove the vanishing $R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E} = 0\ (q > 0)$, it suffices to show that the sheaf $(R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ on $\mathcal{Z}'_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ induced by $R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E}$ vanishes for any affine enlargement $\mathcal{Z}'$ and $q > 0$. Let $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}'$ be the direct product as widening (which is again affine), and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{Z}' \times \mathcal{Z})^{\mathrm{ex},\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}} \arrow[d, "\mathrm{pr}"'] & (\mathcal{Z}' \times \vec{\mathcal{Z}})^{\mathrm{ex},\sim} \arrow[l, "\gamma"'] & (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{(\mathcal{Z}' \times \mathcal{Z})^{\mathrm{ex}}} \arrow[l, "\phi_{(\mathcal{Z}' \overrightarrow{\times \mathcal{Z}})^{\mathrm{ex}},*}"'] \arrow[r, "j_{\mathcal{Z}'}|_{\mathcal{Z}}"] \arrow[d, "j_{\mathcal{Z}}|_{\mathcal{Z}'}"'] & (X/V)^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}} \arrow[d, "j_{\mathcal{Z}}"'] \\
\mathcal{Z}'^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathcal{Z}'^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}} & (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}'} \arrow[l, "\phi_{\mathcal{Z}'}"] \arrow[r, "j_{\mathcal{Z}'}"'] & (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

We can prove that the functors $j^*_{\mathcal{Z}'}, (j_{\mathcal{Z}'}|_{\mathcal{Z}})^*$ sends injectives and injectives (which can be shown as in [O2]), and the functors $(j_{\mathcal{Z}'}|_{\mathcal{Z}})^*$, $\phi_{\mathcal{Z}',*}$, $\phi_{(\mathcal{Z}' \overrightarrow{\times \mathcal{Z}})^{\mathrm{ex}},*}$, $j^*_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ are exact. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}'} &= \phi_{\mathcal{Z}',*} j^*_{\mathcal{Z}'} R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E} \\
&= \phi_{\mathcal{Z}',*} R^q (j_{\mathcal{Z}}|_{\mathcal{Z}'})_* (j_{\mathcal{Z}'}|_{\mathcal{Z}})^*\mathcal{E} \\
&= R^q(\mathrm{pr} \circ \gamma)_* \phi_{(\mathcal{Z}' \overrightarrow{\times \mathcal{Z}})^{\mathrm{ex}},*} (j_{\mathcal{Z}'}|_{\mathcal{Z}})^*\mathcal{E}
\end{aligned}$$

and the last term is equal to zero for $q > 0$ by Lemma 2.26. (Note that, for any affine open $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{Z}'$ (which we can regard naturally as an enlargement), $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z}$ is an affine widening.) So we have the vanishing $R^q j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E} = 0$ for $q > 0$. □

Corollary 2.28. *Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be an affine widening and $\mathcal{E}$ be an isocrystal on $(\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then $j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E}$ is u_*-acyclic.*

Proof.

$$Ru_*(j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E}) = R(u \circ j_{\mathcal{Z}})_*\mathcal{E} = u_* j_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathcal{E}$$

by Proposition 2.27. □

Now let us consider the situation

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \arrow[r, equal] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2}$$

where (X, M_X) is an object in $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, f is a morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, i is a closed immersion in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and g is a formally log smooth morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. Then $\mathcal{P} :=$

$((\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}), (X, M_X), i, z)$ is a pre-widening of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Denote the associated widening of $\mathcal{P}$ by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ and, for an isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, put

$$\omega^i_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E}) := j_{\hat{\mathcal{P}},*}(j^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}} \phi^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}|_{\hat{P}})).$$

Then we have the following theorem (relative version of [S2, 2.3.5,2.3.6]), which should be called as the relative log convergent Poincaré lemma:

Theorem 2.29. *Let the notations be as above. Then, there exists a canonical structure of complex on $\omega^\bullet_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$ and the adjoint homomorphism $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow j_{\hat{\mathcal{P}},*}j^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{E} = \omega^0_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$ induces the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \omega^\bullet_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$.*

Remark 2.30. Note that, in the above theorem, $\mathcal{E}$ is not assumed to be locally free: So it is slightly more general than [S2, 2.3.5, 2.3.6] even in the absolute case.

Proof. By definition, one can check the equality

$$\omega^i_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})(\mathcal{Z}) = \varprojlim{}_n(\mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}})(T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}))$$

for an enlargement $\mathcal{Z}$, where $\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}$ is the product of $\mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ taken in the category of pre-widenings and $\pi_{\mathcal{P},n}$ is the canonical map $T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$. Varying $\mathcal{Z}$, we see that the sheaf $\omega^i_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ induced by $\omega^\bullet_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}$ is given by

$$\omega^i_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} = \varprojlim{}_n\pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^i_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}),$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{Z},n}$ is the canonical map $T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. To define a canonical structure of a complex on $\omega^i_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$, it suffices to construct a canonical, functorial structure of a complex on $\omega^\bullet_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ for affine enlargements $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$. Let $(\mathcal{P}^m, M_{\mathcal{P}^m})$ be the m-th log infinitesimal neighborhood of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ in $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$, let $p_{i,m} : (\mathcal{P}^m, M_{\mathcal{P}^m}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ $(i = 1, 2)$ be the morphism induced by the i-th projection and put $X^m_i := p^{-1}_{i,m}(X), M_{X^m_i} := M_X|_{X^m_i}$. Then we have pre-widenings

$$\mathcal{P}^m := ((\mathcal{P}^m, M_{\mathcal{P}^m}), (X, M_X)), \quad \mathcal{P}^m_i := ((\mathcal{P}^m, M_{\mathcal{P}^m}), (X^m_i, M_{X^m_i})),$$

and the following diagram of pre-widenings (for $i = 1, 2$):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}^m \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}^m_i \arrow[d, "p_{i,m}"'] \\
\mathcal{P} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathcal{P}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

It induces the diagram of pre-widenings

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{P}^m \times \mathcal{Z} \arrow[r, "r_i"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}^m_i \times \mathcal{Z} \arrow[d, "q_i"'] \\
\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3}$$

for $i = 1, 2$. By taking the systems of universal enlargements of the above pre-widenings, we see that r_i $(i = 1, 2)$ induce the isomorphisms of inductive systems of p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes

$$\{T_n(\mathcal{P}^m \times \mathcal{Z})\}_n \overset{\simeq}{=} \{T_n(\mathcal{P}^m_i \times \mathcal{Z})\}_n \tag{2.4}$$

by Lemma 2.17 and that q_i $(i = 1, 2)$ induce the isomorphisms

(2.5)
$$T_n(\mathcal{P}^m_1 \times \mathcal{Z}) \cong T_n(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z}) \times_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P}^m, \quad T_n(\mathcal{P}^m_2 \times \mathcal{Z}) \cong \mathcal{P}^m \times_{\mathcal{P}} T_n(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z})$$

by Lemma 2.16. By evaluating $\mathcal{E}$ on $\{T_n(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z})\}_n$, we see that $\mathcal{E}$ naturally induces a coherent sheaf E on $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^m\times\mathcal{Z}}= \bigcup_n T_n(\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Z})_K$, and the isomorphisms (2.4), (2.5) induce the isomorphisms

$$\theta_m : \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^m} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} E \xrightarrow{\simeq} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^m} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

(Here $\pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}$ denotes the functor $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}})$ defined as composite

$$\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \simeq \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}_K}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}),$$

where the last arrow is the functor induced by the map $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_K$.) Then we can define the map $\widetilde{d} : E \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\omega^1_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}$ by $\widetilde{d}(e) := \theta_1(1 \otimes e) - e \otimes 1$, and extend it to the diagram

$$E \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\omega^1_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} \cdots$$

in standard way. By applying the direct image by the map $\pi_{\mathcal{Z}} :]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}\longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ to the above diagram, we obtain the diagram

$$\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} := [\omega^0_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} \omega^1_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \omega^q_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{d} \cdots],$$

since we have

$$\pi_{\mathcal{Z},*}(E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times\mathcal{Z}}}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P}}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}) = \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})}} \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}})$$

by definition. This construction is functorial with respect to affine enlargement $\mathcal{Z}$ and so it induces the diagram

$$\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E}) := [\omega^0_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d} \omega^1_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \omega^q_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots].$$

We prove that the diagram $\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$ forms a complex and that the adjoint map $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow j_{\hat{\mathcal{P}},*}j^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{E} = \omega^0_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$ induces the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})$. To prove it, it suffices to check it on an affine enlargement $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$. Note first that we have the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^q_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}} &= \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\mathcal{E}_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P})} \otimes \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}) \\
&= \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\pi^*_{\mathcal{Z},n}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}) \\
&\cong \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}\pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \omega^q_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}} :
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we see that the functor $\varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\pi^*_{\mathcal{Z},n}(-) \otimes \pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}})$ is exact on $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ by using Lemma 2.31 below and [Ba-Ch, 5.10.1], and the functor $(-) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}\pi^*_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^q_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}$ is right exact. So it suffices to check the isomorphism in the case $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}_{\mathcal{Z}}$, which is obvious. Then, by noting the fact that the diagram (2.3) is defined over $\mathcal{Z}$ and by definition of d, we see that the map d on $\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{E})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is compatible with the map $\mathrm{id} \otimes d$ on $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}} \omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ via the above isomorphism. So it suffices to show that the diagram

$\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ forms a complex and that the diagram $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a complex which is locally homotopic to zero.

By construction, the map

$$d : \omega^{0}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}} = \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\pi^{*}_{\mathcal{P},n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim{}_n \pi_{\mathcal{Z},n,*}(\pi^{*}_{\mathcal{P},n}\omega^{1}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}) = \omega^{1}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}}$$

is obtained by applying $\pi_{\mathcal{Z},*}$ to the relative differential

$$\widetilde{d} : \mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}} \longrightarrow \omega^{1}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}/\mathcal{Z}_K}.$$

Since $\widetilde{d}\circ\widetilde{d} = 0$ holds, we have $d\circ d = 0$. So the diagram $\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})$ forms a complex. Now let DR be the relative de Rham complex

$$\mathcal{O}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} \omega^{1}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}/\mathcal{Z}_K} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} \omega^{2}_{]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}/\mathcal{Z}_K} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{d}} \cdots .$$

Then the diagram $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\mathcal{K}_{X/\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the same as the diagram

$$\mathrm{sp}_*\mathcal{O}_{]Z[_{\mathcal{Z}}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{Z},*}\mathrm{DR}.$$

So it suffices to prove that this is a complex locally homotopic to zero. To prove this, we may assume that $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}\times_{\mathcal{Y}}\mathcal{Z}}$ is isomorphic to $]Z[_{\mathcal{Z}}\times D^r = \mathcal{Z}_K \times D^r$, where D^r is the r-dimensional open polydisc of radius 1 (where r is the rank of $\omega^{1}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}$), by Lemma 2.31 below. In this case, we can construct the desired homotopy by Lemma 2.32 below, as in the case of Poincaré lemma for a complex open polydisc. So the proof is finished (modulo the proof of Lemmas 2.31, 2.32 below). □

We give a proof of the following two lemmas which are used in the above proof:

Lemma 2.31. *Let (X, M_X) be a fine log $\mathcal{B}$-scheme and assume we are given the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} (X, M_X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \arrow[d, "f"'] \\ (X, M_X) \arrow[r] & (\mathcal{Q}, M_{\mathcal{Q}}), \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.6}$$

where $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}), (\mathcal{Q}, M_{\mathcal{Q}})$ are p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes, horizontal arrows are closed immersions and f is formally log smooth. Then, Zariski locally on $\mathcal{Q}$, we have the isomorphism $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}\cong]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Q}}\times D^r$ for some r (where D^r is the r-dimensional open polydisc of radius 1) *such that the morphism $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}\longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ induced by f is identified with the first projection $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Q}}\times D^r \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$ via the isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}$, $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{ex}}$ be the exactification of the horizontal arrows of the diagram (2.6). Then we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}} \arrow[d, "f^{\mathrm{ex}}"'] \\ X \arrow[r] & \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{ex}}. \end{tikzcd}$$

We can easily check that f^{ex} is formally smooth (that is, satisfies infinitesimal lifting property for nilpotent closed immersions of affine schemes). To prove the lemma, we may assume $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{ex}} =: \mathrm{Spf}\,A, \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}} =: \mathrm{Spf}\,B$ are affine. Let $f^* : A \longrightarrow B$ be the ring homomorphism associated to f^{ex}. By infinitesimal lifting property, there exists a ring homomorphism $s : B \longrightarrow A$ satisfying $s \circ f^* = \mathrm{id}$. Put $I := \mathrm{Ker}\, s$. Then, by [Gr-D2, 19.5.3], I/I^2 is finitely generated formally projective A-module and we have the canonical isomorphism $\varphi_n : \mathrm{Sym}^n_A(I/I^2) \cong \mathrm{gr}^n_I B\, (n \in \mathbb{N})$. By localizing A, we may assume that I/I^2 is a free A-module of finite rank. The canonical inclusion $I/I^2 \hookrightarrow B/I^2$ lifts by formal projectivity to a homomorphism $I/I^2 \longrightarrow B/I^{n+1}$ and it induces the ring homomorphism $\psi_n : \mathrm{Sym}^{\bullet \le n}_A(I/I^2) \longrightarrow B/I^{n+1}$. This is isomorphism since φ_n's are isomorphisms. By taking inverse limit, we obtain the ring isomorphism $\varprojlim_n(\mathrm{Sym}^{\bullet\le n}_A(I/I^2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} B$, and the left hand side is isomorphic to $A[[x_1, \cdots, x_r]] = \varprojlim_n A[x_1, \cdots, x_r]/(x_1, \cdots, x_r)^{n+1}$. (Here r is the rank of I/I^2.) So we have

$$]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} = (\mathrm{Spf}\, B)_K = (\mathrm{Spf}\, A[[x_1, \cdots, x_r]])_K = (\mathrm{Spf}\, A)_K \times D^r =]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Q}} \times D^r.$$

□

Lemma 2.32. *Let* $\mathcal{Z} := \mathrm{Spf}\, A_0$ *be a* p*-adic affine formal* $\mathcal{B}$*-scheme and* $\pi : \mathcal{Z}_K \times D^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_K$ *the projection.* (*Here* D^r *denotes the* r*-dimensional open unit polydisc of radius* 1.) *Then the complex*

$$\mathrm{sp}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_K} \longrightarrow (\mathrm{sp} \circ \pi)_* \Omega^\bullet_{\mathcal{Z}_K \times D^r/\mathcal{Z}_K} \tag{2.7}$$

is homotopic to zero.

Proof. Let us put $A := \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A_0$, $B := \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_K \times D^r, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_K \times D^r})$. Let $x_1, \cdots, x_r$ be the coordinate of D^r and for $q \ge 0$, let us define B^q by

$$B^q := \bigoplus_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_q \le r} B dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}.$$

Then, if we apply $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, -)$ to the complex (2.7), we obtain the complex $\mathcal{C} := [A \to B^0 \to B^1 \to \cdots]$. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the complex $\mathcal{C}$ is homotopic to zero via a homotopy which is functorial on A.

For $1 \le j \le r$ and $q \ge 1$, let $\alpha^q_j : B^q \longrightarrow B^{q-1}$ be the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^q_j(f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_r) dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}) \\ &:= \begin{cases} 0, \qquad \text{if } j \notin \{i_1, \cdots, i_q\}, \\ (-1)^{k-1} \left(\displaystyle\int_0^{x_j} f(x_1, \cdots, \underset{\widehat{j}}{t}, \cdots x_r) dt \right) dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_{i_k}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}, \qquad \text{if } j = i_k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and let $\alpha^0_j : B^0 \longrightarrow A$ be the zero map. Let $\beta_j : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the map defined by $\beta := \mathrm{id} - (d \circ \alpha^\bullet_j + \alpha^{\bullet+1}_j \circ d)$, where d denotes the differential of $\mathcal{C}$. Then, by definition, β_j is homotopic to id. Moreover, $\beta_j(B dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q})$ is zero if $j = i_k$ for some $1 \le k \le q$ and we have $\beta_j(B dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}) \subseteq B dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_q}$ in general. We have $\beta_j(a) = a$ for $a \in A$ and $\beta_j(f(x_1, \cdots, x_r)) = f(x_1, \cdots, \underset{\widehat{j}}{0}, \cdots, x_r)$ for $f \in B^0$.

Next let us define $\beta : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\beta := \beta_r \circ \beta_{r-1} \circ \cdots \circ \beta_1$. Then β is homotopic to id and we have $\beta(B^q) = 0\, (q \ge 1)$, $\beta(a) = a\, (a \in A)$, $\beta(f(x_1, \cdots, x_r)) = f(0, \cdots, 0)\, (f \in B^0)$. Now we define $\gamma^q : B^q \longrightarrow B^{q-1}\, (q \ge 1)$, $\gamma^0 : B^0 \longrightarrow A$ by $\gamma^q = 0\, (q \ge 1)$, $\gamma^0(f(x_1, \cdots, x_r)) := f(0, \cdots, 0)$. Then $\{\gamma^q\}_q$ gives a homotopy between β and zero map on $\mathcal{C}$. So the identity map on $\mathcal{C}$, being homotopic to β, is homotopic to zero map. So the complex $\mathcal{C}$ is homotopic to zero. Moreover, it is easy to see that the homotopies we constructed are functorial on A. So we are done. □

Let $\widetilde{u} : (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,et}} \longrightarrow X^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$ be the composite $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,et}} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} (X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log,\sim}_{\mathrm{conv,Zar}} \xrightarrow{u} X^{\sim}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$. Then we can prove the following in the same way as [S2, 2.3.8]:

Corollary 2.33. *Assume the diagram* (2.2) *is given and let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be an isocrystal on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$. *Then we have the canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$R\widetilde{u}_*\mathcal{E} = \mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. Here we only sketch the proof. Since we may work Zariski locally, we may assume that $((\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}), (X, M_X))$ is an affine widening. By Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.29, we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$R\widetilde{u}_*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} Ru_*\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}).$$

Next, by Proposition 2.28, we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} Ru_*\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}) &= Ru_*j_{\hat{\mathcal{P}},*}(\phi^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}) \otimes j^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}) \\ &\xleftarrow{=} u_*j_{\hat{\mathcal{P}},*}(\phi^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}) \otimes j^*_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}) = u_*\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can prove the isomorphism (not only the quasi-isomorphism)

$$\widetilde{u}_*\omega^{\bullet}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(\epsilon_*\mathcal{E}) \overset{\sim}{=} \mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{E})$$

in the same way as [S2, 2.3.8]. Combining these, we obtain the assertion. □

We can prove the following in the same way as [S2, 2.3.9] (we omit the proof).

Corollary 2.34. *Let* (X, M_X) *be an object in* $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, *let* $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *be a morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *and let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be an isocrystal on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$. *If we take an embedding system*

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}),$$

we have the isomorphism

$$R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \overset{\sim}{=} R^q(f \circ g)_*\mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}).$$

Now we would like to compare relative log convergent cohomology and relative log crystalline cohomology when we are given a diagram as in (1.1) with f log smooth. To do this, first we construct a functor from the category of isocrystals on relative log convergent site to that on log crystalline site:

Proposition 2.35. *Assume we are given the diagram* $(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *as in* (1.1) *such that* f *is log smooth. Then we have the canonical functor*

$$\Phi : I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$

such that Φ *sends locally free isocrystals on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ *to locally free isocrystals on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

Proof. We only sketch the outline because the proof is similar to [S1, 5.3.1] (although the proof here is much simpler.)

First we assume that (X, M_X) admits a closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and we construct a functor $\Psi : I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPDI}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. Denote the $(i+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by $(\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)})$ and let $(\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}, M_{\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}})$ be the exactification of the closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)})$. Put $\mathcal{I}(i) := \mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$, let $B_n(i)$ be the formal blow-up of $\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}$ with respect to the ideal $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}} + \mathcal{I}(i)^n$, let $T'_n(i)$ be the open sub formal scheme of $B_n(i)$ defined as the set of points $x \in B_n(i)$ satisfying $(p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}(i)^{\mathrm{ex}}} + \mathcal{I}(i))\mathcal{O}_{B_n(i),x} = p\mathcal{O}_{B_n(i),x}$ and let $T_n(i)$ be the closed sub formal scheme of $T_n(i)$ defined by the ideal $\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{T_n(i)} \,|\, p^n x = 0 \,\text{for some}\, n > 0\}$. (Then $\{T_n(i)\}_n$ is a system of universal enlargements of the pre-widening $((\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)}), (X, M_X))$. See Definition 2.12.) On the other hand, let $D(i)$ be the p-adically completed log PD-envelope of (X, M_X) in $(\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)})$. Then, if $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}(i)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X)$ is generated by m elements $(i = 0, 1, 2)$, there exist canonical diagrams $D(i) \longrightarrow T'_n(i) \hookleftarrow T_n(i)\ (i = 0, 1, 2)$ for $n = (p-1)m + 1$, where the second map is the canonical closed immersion. Since we have the canonical equivalences of categories $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T_n(i)}) \cong \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T'_n(i)})$, we can define, by the 'pull-back by $D(i) \longrightarrow T'_n(i)$', the functor

$$\Psi : I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Str}''((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPDI}((X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}).$$

Next we construct the desired functor Φ in general case. Take an embedding system

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g^{(\bullet)}} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

over $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that $X^{(0)}$ is affine, $(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X^{(0)}, M_{X^{(0)}})$ holds and that g is a Zariski Čech hypercovering. (The existence of such an embedding system follows from [Ka, 3.14].) Let Δ_{conv} be the category of descent data on $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X^{(i)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ (that is, the category of objects in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X^{(0)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ endowed with isomorphism of glueing in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X^{(1)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ satisfying the cocycle condition in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}(X^{(2)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$.) Similarly, let Δ_{crys} be the category of descent data on $I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X^{(i)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ and let Δ_{HPDI} be the category of descent data on $\mathrm{HPDI}((X^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(i)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$. Then we have the diagram

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{conv}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Delta_{\mathrm{HPDI}} \xleftarrow{\Lambda} \Delta_{\mathrm{crys}}$$

and Λ is an equivalence of categories because the functor

$$I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HPDI}((X^{(n)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$

is an equivalence of catogories if $n = 0$ and fully faithful in general. (See Proposition 1.22 and the paragraph before it.) So we can define the desired functor Φ by

$$\Phi : I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\mathrm{conv}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \Delta_{\mathrm{HPDI}} \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{-1}} \Delta_{\mathrm{crys}} \xrightarrow{\sim} I_{\mathrm{crys}}((X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}).$$

We can also check that the functor Φ defined above is independent of the choice of the embedding system chosen above, by a standard argument (cf. [S1, 5.3.1 Step 3]). Hence we have constructed the functor Φ. It is easy to see that Φ preserves the local freeness. So we are done. □

Now we prove the comparison theorem between relative log convergent cohomology and relative log crystalline cohomology. Assume we are given the diagram (1.1) such that f is log smooth and assume for the moment that (X, M_X) admits a closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an isocrystal on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ and let $\Phi(\mathcal{E})$ be the associated isocrystal on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{crys}}$. Then we have the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$ on $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$

associated to $\mathcal{E}$. On the other hand, if we denote the p-adically completed log PD-envelope of $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ by (D, M_D), we have the log de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}(D/\mathcal{Y}, \Phi(\mathcal{E}))$ associated to $\Phi(\mathcal{E})$. Moreover, by the same method as [S2, 3.1.3], we have the canonical morphism of complexes

$$\mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Y}, \Phi(\mathcal{E})).$$

Since we have the quasi-isomorphisms $Rf_*\mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) = Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ and $Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Y}, \Phi(\mathcal{E})) = Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\Phi(\mathcal{E})$, we have the map $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\Phi(\mathcal{E})$ in this case. Even if (X, M_X) does not admit the closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ as above, we can define the map $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\Phi(\mathcal{E})$ by taking an embedding system. Then we have the following:

Theorem 2.36. *Assume we are given the diagram* (1.1) *with* (X, M_X) *log smooth over* (Y, M_Y) *and let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be a locally free isocrystal on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$*. Then the homomorphism*

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{crys}*}\Phi(\mathcal{E})$$

defined above is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. It suffices to prove that, under the condition of the existence of the closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ as above, the homomorphism $Rf_*\mathrm{sp}_*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Rf_*\mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Y}, \Phi(\mathcal{E}))$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We can reduce it to the case where $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$ holds, because both hand sides are known to be independent of the choice of the closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ up to quasi-isomorphism. In this case, the both hand sides are the same. □

As a corollary, we have the following, which are the starting points of the argument in the next section:

Corollary 2.37. *Assume we are given the diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),$$

where f *is a proper log smooth integral morphism in* (LS/B), $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *is an object in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *and* ι *is the exact closed immersion defined by the ideal sheaf* $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ *is a perfect complex of* $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$*-modules on* $\mathcal{Y}_{\mathrm{Zar}}$*.*

Proof. It is immediate from Theorems 1.16 and 2.36. □

Corollary 2.38. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, M_Y) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.8}$$

where f *is a proper log smooth integral morphism in* (LS/B), ι *is the exact closed immersion defined by the ideal sheaf* $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ *and the squares are Cartesian. Then, for a locally free isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$*, we have the quasi-isomorphism*

$$L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. It is immediate from Theorems 1.19 and 2.36. □

3. Relative log convergent cohomology (II)

Assume we are given a diagram

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{3.1}$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism in (LS/B), $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is an object in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ and ι is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. In this section, we prove the coherence and the base change property of relative log convergent cohomology of (X, M_X) over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ when 'f has log smooth parameter' (for definition, see Definition 3.4). Note that, in the above situation, ι is not assumed to be defined by $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. So ι does not necessarily admit a PD-structure. Nevertheless, we can prove them by reducing to Corollaries 2.37, 2.38 (that is, the case where ι admits canonical PD-structure).

The first proposition we need is the topological invariance of the category of isocrystals on log convergent site and that of relative log convergent cohomology.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume we are given an object* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *and a homeomorphic exact closed immersion* $i : (X_1, M_{X_1}) \hookrightarrow (X_2, M_{X_2})$ *in* $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$ *over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. *Then*:

(1) *The restriction functor*

$$I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X_2/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X_1/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

(2) *For* $\mathcal{E} \in I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X_2/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$, *the restriction*

$$Rf_{X_2/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow Rf_{X_1/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}i^*\mathcal{E}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. To prove (1), we may assume the existence of the closed immersion $(X_2, M_{X_2}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal scheme $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Let $(\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)})$ be the $(i+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. Then, since the natural morphism $]X_1[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(i)} \longrightarrow]X_2[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(i)}$ is an isomorphism by definition of log tubular neighborhood, the restriction functor

$$\mathrm{Str}''((X_2 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Str}''((X_1 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$$

is an equivalence of categories. The assertion (1) follows from this.

Let us prove the assertion (2). Take an embedding system

$$(X_2, M_{\mathcal{X}_2}) \longleftarrow (X_2^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_2^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

of $(X_2, M_{\mathcal{X}_2})/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and put $(X_1^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_1^{(\bullet)}}) := (X_2^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_2^{(\bullet)}}) \times_{(X_2, M_{X_2})} (X_1, M_{X_1})$. Then the diagram

$$(X_1, M_{\mathcal{X}_1}) \longleftarrow (X_1^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_1^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

is also an embedding system. Then, since the natural morphism $]X_1^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]X_2^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}$ is an isomorphism, we have the isomorphism of the associated log de Rham complexes

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X_2^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{=} \mathrm{DR}(]X_1^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, i^*\mathcal{E}).$$

The assertion of the lemma follows from this and Corollary 2.34. □

By combining Proposition 3.1 and Corollaries 2.37, 2.38, we immediately obtain the following:

Corollary 3.2. *Assume we are given the diagram* (3.1) *and a locally free isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$. *Put* $(Y_1, M_{Y_1}) := (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ *and assume that there exists a proper log smooth integral morphism* $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \longrightarrow (Y_1, M_{Y_1})$ *satisfying* $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \times_{(Y_1, M_{Y_1})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$. *Then* $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ *is a perfect complex of* $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$*-modules.*

Corollary 3.3. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r] & (Y, M_Y) \arrow[r] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.2}$$

where the horizontal lines are as in the diagram (3.1) *and the left square is Cartesian. Let* $(Y_1, M_{Y_1}) := (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ *and assume that there exists a proper log smooth integral morphism* $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \longrightarrow (Y_1, M_{Y_1})$ *satisfying* $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \times_{(Y_1, M_{Y_1})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$. *Then, for a locally free isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, *we have the canonical quasi-isomorphism*

$$L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Now we introduce the notion of 'having log smooth parameter':

Definition 3.4. *We say that a proper log smooth integral morphism* $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y)$ *of fine log* B*-schemes has log smooth parameter in strong sense* (*over* (B, M_B)), *if there exists a diagram of fine log formal* B*-schemes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[d, "f"'] & (X', M_{X'}) \arrow[l] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & (X_0, M_{X_0}) \arrow[d, "f_0"'] \\
(Y, M_Y) & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[l, "g"'] \arrow[r, "g'"] & (Y_0, M_{Y_0}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.3}$$

where two squares are Cartesian, g *is strict etale and surjective,* f_0 *is proper log smooth integral and* (Y_0, M_{Y_0}) *is log smooth over* (B, M_B). *We say a proper log smooth morphism* $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y)$ *of fine log* B*-schemes has log smooth parameter if we have a decomposition* $X := \coprod_i X_i$ *into open and closed subschemes such that the composite*

$$(X_i, M_X|_{X_i}) \hookrightarrow (X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y)$$

has log smooth parameter in strong sense for each i.

Remark 3.5.
1. Let $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y)$ be a morphism of fine log B-schemes having log smooth parameter. Then any base change of f in the category (LS/B) also has log smooth parameter.
2. If Let $f : (X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y)$ is a morphism of fine log B-schemes having log smooth parameter in strong sense, we can take a diagram (3.3) with Y_0 affine.

Then we have the following theorem, which is one of the main results in this section:

Theorem 3.6. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{3.4}$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter in (LS/B) *and ι is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/S)^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules.*

Lemma 3.7. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 3.6 and assume moreover that f has log smooth parameter in strong sense. Then there exists a strict etale surjective morphism $\varphi : (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that, if we denote the base change of the diagram* (3.4) *by φ by*

$$(X'', M_{X''}) \longrightarrow (Y'', M_{Y''}) \overset{\iota''}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''})$$

and if we put $(Y''_1, M_{Y''_1}) := (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a proper log smooth integral morphism $(X''_1, M_{X''_1}) \longrightarrow (Y''_1, M_{Y''_1})$ satisfying $(X''_1, M_{X''_1}) \times_{(Y''_1, M_{Y''_1})} (Y'', M_{Y''}) = (X'', M_{X''})$.

Proof. Let us take a diagram (3.3) with Y_0 affine and let $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ be the fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme which is strict formally etale over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ satisfying $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (Y', M_{Y'})$. Then, since Y_0 is affine and (Y_0, M_{Y_0}) is log smooth over (B, M_B), we can lift (Y_0, M_{Y_0}) to a fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0})$ which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}})$. Then, by the infinitesimal lifting property of log smooth morphism, there exists a strict etale surjective morphism $\psi : (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ and a morphism $h : (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0})$ which fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(Y'', M_{Y''}) \arrow[r, "\iota''"] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \arrow[d, "h"'] \\
(Y_0, M_{Y_0}) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where ι'' denotes the base change of ι by the composite

$$(\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \xrightarrow{\psi} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$$

(which we denote by φ) and the left vertical arrow is the composite

$$(Y'', M_{Y''}) = (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) \xrightarrow{\psi\times\mathrm{id}} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) = (Y', M_{Y'}) \xrightarrow{g'} (Y_0, M_{Y_0}).$$

Then, if we denote the base change of

$$(X_0, M_{X_0}) \longrightarrow (Y_0, M_{Y_0}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0})$$

by h by

$$(X''_1, M_{X''_1}) \longrightarrow (Y''_1, M_{Y''_1}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}),$$

we have $(Y''_1, M_{Y''_1}) = (\mathcal{Y}'', M_{\mathcal{Y}''}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ and $(X''_1, M_{X''_1}) \times_{(Y''_1, M_{Y''_1})} (Y'', M_{Y''}) = (X'', M_{X''})$. So we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We may assume that X has log smooth parameter in strong sense. By Lemma 3.7, we have a strict formally etale Čech hypercovering $\epsilon : (\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$, such that, if we denote the base change of the diagram (3.4) by $(\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by

$$(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (Y^{(\bullet)}, M_{Y^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}})$$

and if we put $(Y_1^{(\bullet)}, M_{Y_1^{(\bullet)}}) := (\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, there exists a proper log smooth morphism $(X_1^{(n)}, M_{X_1^{(n)}}) \longrightarrow (Y_1^{(n)}, M_{Y_1^{(n)}})$ satisfying $(X_1^{(n)}, M_{X_1^{(n)}}) \times_{(Y_1^{(n)}, M_{Y_1^{(n)}})} (Y^{(n)}, M_{Y^{(n)}}) = (X^{(n)}, M_{X^{(n)}})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, if we denote the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)})^{\log})$ by $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$, $Rf_{X^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(n)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(n)}$ is a perfect complex for each n, by Corollary 3.2.

Now we claim that the canonical morphism

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow R\epsilon_* Rf_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. We can reduce the claim to the case where X is affine. So we may assume that (X, M_X) admits a closed immersion $(X, M_X) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ to a fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ which is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and satisfies $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$. In this case, we have the strict formally etale Čech hypercovering $\delta : (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ satisfying $(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (Y, M_Y) = (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}})$ since we have $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{et}} \simeq X_{\mathrm{et}}$. If we denote the morphism $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by h, we have

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} = Rh_* \mathrm{sp}_* \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_K/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}),$$

$$R\epsilon_* Rf_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} = Rh_* R\delta_* \mathrm{sp}_*^{(\bullet)} \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_K^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_K^{(\bullet)}, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}),$$

where $\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{sp}^{(\bullet)}$ is the spacialization map $\mathcal{P}_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_K^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}$, respectively. So, to prove the claim, it suffices to show that the map

$$\mathrm{sp}_* \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_K/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow R\delta_* \mathrm{sp}_*^{(\bullet)} \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_K^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_K^{(\bullet)} \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}) = R\mathrm{sp}_* R\delta_{K,*} \mathrm{DR}(\mathcal{P}_K^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_K^{(\bullet)}, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism and it is reduced to the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{=} R\delta_{K,*}\delta_K^* \mathcal{F}$ for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{P}_K$. This is true by [C-T, 7.1.2]. So we proved the claim.

By the above claim, we have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = R^s \epsilon_* R^t f_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} \Longrightarrow R^{s+t} f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

Note that $R^t f_{X^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(n)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(n)}$ is known to be isocoherent and that they are compatible with respect to n by Corollary 3.3. So there exists (by etale descent of isocoherent sheaves) an isocoherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}^t$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{F}^t \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}} = R^t f_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$ holds. Then we have

$$R^s \epsilon_* R^t f_{X^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} = \mathcal{F}^t \ (s = 0), \ \ 0 \ (s > 0).$$

So we have $R^t f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}^t$ and it is isocoherent. Moreover, $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ is bounded and it has finite tor-dimension Zariski locally because so does $Rf_{X^{(0)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(0)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(0)} = Rf_{X^{(0)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(0)},\mathrm{crys}*}\Phi(\mathcal{E}^{(0)})$. Therefore $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a perfect complex of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$-modules. □

Remark 3.8. In the above proof, we have shown the isomorphism

$$R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}} = R^q f_{X^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y}^{(n)},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}^{(n)}.$$

Next we prove the base change property.

Theorem 3.9. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, M_Y) \arrow[r] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd}\tag{3.5}$$

where the horizontal lines are as in Theorem 3.6 and the left square is Cartesian. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$*, we have the quasi-isomorphism*

$$L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. We may assume that f has log smooth parameter in strong sense and it suffices to prove the induced morphisms on cohomologies are isomorphisms etale locally. Let us take a strict formally etale surjective morphism $(\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that, if we denote the base change of the bottom horizontal line in (3.5) by $(\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by

$$(X_0, M_{X_0}) \longrightarrow (Y_0, M_{Y_0}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}),$$

there exists a proper log smooth morphism $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \longrightarrow (Y_1, M_{Y_1})$ saisfying $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \times_{(Y_1, M_{Y_1})} (Y, M_Y) = (X_0, M_{X_0})$, where $(Y_1, M_{Y_1}) := (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Put $(\mathcal{Y}'_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}) := (\mathcal{Y}_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$, denote the projection $(\mathcal{Y}'_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_0}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ by φ', denote the base change of the top horizontal line by φ' by

$$(X'_0, M_{X'_0}) \longrightarrow (Y'_0, M_{Y'_0}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}'_0, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_0})$$

and denote the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X_0/\mathcal{Y}_0)^{\log})$ by $\mathcal{E}_0$. Then we have, by Remark 3.8, the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{H}^q(L\varphi^* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{Y}'_0} = \mathcal{H}^q(L\varphi'^* Rf_{X_0/\mathcal{Y}_0,\mathrm{conv}}\mathcal{E}_0),$$

$$\mathcal{H}^q(Rf_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{Y}'_0} = \mathcal{H}^q(Rf_{X'_0/\mathcal{Y}'_0,\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi'^*\mathcal{E}_0).$$

So it suffices to prove the quasi-isomorphism

$$L\varphi'^* Rf_{X_0/\mathcal{Y}_0,\mathrm{conv}}\mathcal{E}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} Rf_{X'_0/\mathcal{Y}'_0,\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi'^*\mathcal{E}_0,$$

and it follows from Corollary 3.3. □

Let us denote the category of p-adic fine log formal schemes over $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}})$ of the form $\coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\mathcal{X}_\lambda, M_\lambda)$ $((\mathcal{X}_\lambda, M_\lambda) \in (p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B}))$ by $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$. For $(\mathcal{X}, M_{\mathcal{X}}) = \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\mathcal{X}_\lambda, M_\lambda) \in (p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$ with $(\mathcal{X}_\lambda, M_\lambda) \in (p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})\,(\lambda \in \Lambda)$, we put $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) := \prod_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\lambda})$. A morphism $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$ is said to be analytically flat if the induced functor $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ is exact. Then, as a corollary of Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.9, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.10. *Assume we are given a diagram in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (Y', M_{Y'}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "f"] & (Y, M_Y) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where f *is a proper log smooth integral morphism in* (LS/B)*,* ι *is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion and the left square is Cartesian. Let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be a locally free isocrystal on*

$(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ *and assume one of the conditions* (1), (1)′ *below and one of the conditions* (2), (2)′ *below are true*:
(1) φ *is analytically flat.*
(1)′ $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ *is a locally free* $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$*-module for any* q.
(2) f *has log smooth parameter.*
(2)′ *If we put* $(Y_1, M_{Y_1}) := (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, *there exists a proper log smooth integral morphism* $(X_1, M_{X_1}) \longrightarrow (Y_1, M_{Y_1})$ *satisfying* $(X_1, M_{X_1})\times_{(Y_1, M_{Y_1})}(Y, M_Y) = (X, M_X)$.
Then we have the canonical isomorphism

$$\varphi^* R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^q f_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\varphi^*\mathcal{E} \quad (q\in\mathbb{N}).$$

In the case where the condition (1) is satisfied, we call the result of Corollary 3.10 ‘the analytically flat base change theorem’.

In the rest of this section, we give some examples of analytically flat morphisms. Let us call a morphism $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$ analytically faithfully flat if the induced functor $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ is exact and faithful. First we prove a lemma, which provides the first examples of analytically (faithfully) flat morphisms:

Lemma 3.11. (1) *A morphism* $\varphi : (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *is analytically flat* (*resp. analytically faithfully flat*) *if* $\mathcal{Y}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ *is flat* (*resp. faithfully flat*).

(2) *A morphism* $\varphi : (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) = \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$ *is analytically flat if and only if each* $\varphi|_{(\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda})}$ *is analytically flat.*

(3) *Let us assume given the commutative diagram in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}) \arrow[r, "\varphi'"] \arrow[d, "f'"'] & (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}) \arrow[d, "f"'] \\
(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \arrow[r, "\varphi"] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

and assume that f *is analytically flat and that* f' *is analytically faithfully flat. Then, if* φ' *is analytically flat, so is* φ.

(4) *A morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$ *of the form* $\varphi : (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) = \coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ $((\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda, M_{\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda}), (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \in (p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B}))$ *is analytically flat* (*resp. analytically faithfully flat*) *if the morphisms* $\varphi_K : \mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda,K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_K$ $(\lambda\in\Lambda)$ *induced by* φ *are admissible open immersions* (*resp. if the morphisms* $\varphi_K : \mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda,K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_K$ $(\lambda\in\Lambda)$ *induced by* φ *are admissible open immersions and if the morphism* $\coprod_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda,K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_K$ *is surjective*).

Proof. First we prove (1). If $\mathcal{Y}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is flat, $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\varphi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}$ is flat and so $\varphi^* : \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ is exact. We prove φ^* is faithful if φ is faithfully flat. To prove this, we may assume that $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'$ are in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. Let us take a morphism $f : \mathbb{Q}\otimes M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\otimes N$ such that $\varphi^* f : \mathbb{Q}\otimes\varphi^* M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\otimes\varphi^* N$ is zero. It suffices to prove that f is zero. To prove this, we may assume that f comes from a morphism $M \longrightarrow N$. Then there exists a non-zero integer n such that $n\varphi^* f : \varphi^* M \longrightarrow \varphi^* N$ is zero. Then we have $nf = 0$ by the fully-faithfulness of φ and so $f = 0$ as the morphism $\mathbb{Q}\otimes M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\otimes N$.

(2) and (3) are immediate. (4) follows from the equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_K}), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'_\lambda}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'_{\lambda,K}})$. □

The following proposition gives an important example of analytically flat morphisms, which is useful in the next section:

Proposition 3.12. *Assume we are given a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}(X', M_{X'}) \arrow[r, "\iota'"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'}) \arrow[d, "g"] \\ (X, M_X) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}),\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.6}$$

where f is a strict morphism in (LS/B), *ι, ι' are closed immersions and g is a formally log smooth morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$. *Let $\{(T_{X,m}, M_{T_{X,m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}, \{(T_{X',m}, M_{T_{X',m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the system of universal enlargements of $((\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}), (X, M_X)), ((\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'}), (X', M_{X'}))$ respectively and let $g_m : (T_{X',m}, M_{T_{X',m}}) \longrightarrow (T_{X,m}, M_{T_{X,m}})$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$ be the morphisms induced by g. Then g_m's are analytically flat.*

Proof. For any closed point x' in X', let us put $x := f(x')$ and take a finite morphism of spectra of fields $\widetilde{x} \longrightarrow x$ such that, if we put $\widetilde{x}' := \widetilde{x} \times_x x'$, $\widetilde{x}_{\mathrm{red}}$ is isomorphic (over $\widetilde{x}$) to the disjoint union of finite number of $\widetilde{x}$'s. (This is possible because the morphism $x' \longrightarrow x$ corresponds to a finite extension of fields.) Also, take a strict morphism $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ with $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ flat which fits into the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}(\widetilde{x}, M_X|_{\widetilde{x}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}) \arrow[d] \\ (x, M_X|_x) \arrow[r] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}),\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.7}$$

where the lower horizontal arrow is the composite $(x, M_X|_x) \hookrightarrow (X, M_X) \xrightarrow{\iota} (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$. (This is possible: Indeed, we may reduce to the case where $\widetilde{x} \longrightarrow x$ corresponds to a monogenic extension and it is easy in this case.) Then let us put $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}', M'_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}) := (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}) \times_{(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})} (\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'})$.

Let $\{(T_{x,m}, M_{T_{x,m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{(T_{x',m}, M_{T_{x',m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{(T_{\widetilde{x},m}, M_{T_{\widetilde{x},m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{(T_{\widetilde{x}',m}, M_{T_{\widetilde{x}',m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{(T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},m}, M_{T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},m}})\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the system of universal enlargements of $(x, M_X|_x)$, $(x', M_{X'}|_{x'})$, $(\widetilde{x}, M_X|_{\widetilde{x}})$, $(\widetilde{x}', M_{X'}|_{\widetilde{x}'})$, $(\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}, M_{X'}|_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}})$ in $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$, $(\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'})$, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}})$, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}', M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'})$, $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}', M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'})$, respectively.

First let us consider the canonical morphism

$$\coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} T_{x',n,K} \longrightarrow]X'[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

It is surjective and each component of this map is an admissible open immersion. (In this proof, we call such a morphism a surjective map by admissible open sets.) By pulling back this morphism by $T_{X',m,K} \overset{\subset}{\longrightarrow}]X'[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'}$, we obtain a surjective map by admissible open sets

$$\alpha'_K : \coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} (T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m})_K \longrightarrow T_{X',m,K}$$

which comes from the morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$

$$\alpha' : \coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \longrightarrow T_{X',m}.$$

By the same argument, we obtain another surjective map by admissible open sets

$$\alpha_K : \coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} (T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m})_K \longrightarrow T_{X,m,K}$$

which again comes from the morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$

$$\alpha : \coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m} \longrightarrow T_{X,m}.$$

It is easy to see that the morphisms α, α' fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha'"'] & \coprod_{n=m}^{\infty} \coprod_{x' \in X'} T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m} \arrow[d, "\alpha"] \\
T_{X',m} \arrow[r, "g_m"] & T_{X,m}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

By Lemma 3.11 (4), α, α' are analytically faithfully flat, and by Lemma 3.11 (1), (2), (3) and the above diagram, g_m is analytically flat if the map $T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \longrightarrow T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m}$ (which we denote by β) is analytically flat for any $n \geq m$ and x'. So we are reduced to showing that the morphism β is analytically flat.

Next, let us note that the morphisms

$$T_{\widetilde{x}',n} \longrightarrow T_{x',n}, \quad T_{\widetilde{x},n} \longrightarrow T_{x,n}$$

induced by (3.7) is faithfully flat: Indeed, since $T_{\widetilde{x}',n}, T_{x',n}, T_{\widetilde{x},n}, T_{x,n}$ are unchanged if we shrink $\mathcal{P}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ as long as $\mathcal{P}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$) contains x (resp. $\widetilde{x}$), we may assume that $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is faithfully flat to prove this claim. In this case, the claim follows from the isomorphisms $T_{\widetilde{x}',n} = T_{x',n} \times_{\mathcal{P}} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, T_{\widetilde{x},n} = T_{x,n} \times_{\mathcal{P}} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, which is true by Lemma 2.16. Hence the morphisms

$$\gamma' : T_{\widetilde{x}',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \longrightarrow T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m}, \quad \gamma : T_{\widetilde{x},n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m} \longrightarrow T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m}$$

are faithfully flat and they fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_{\widetilde{x}',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\gamma'"'] & T_{\widetilde{x},n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m} \arrow[d, "\gamma"] \\
T_{x',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \arrow[r, "\beta"] & T_{x,n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

So, by Lemma 3.11 (3), we are reduced to prove that the morphism $T_{\widetilde{x}',n} \times_{T_{X',n}} T_{X',m} \longrightarrow T_{\widetilde{x},n} \times_{T_{X,n}} T_{X,m}$ (which we denote by δ) is analytically flat.

Next let us consider the morphism

$$\coprod_{l=n}^{\infty} (T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l})_K \longrightarrow]\widetilde{x}'[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'}.$$

It is a surjective map by admissible open sets since we have $]\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'}=]\widetilde{x}'[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'}$. By pulling back this morphism by $(T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m})_K \overset{\subset}{\longrightarrow}]\widetilde{x}'[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'}$, we obtain a surjective map by admissible open sets

$$\delta'_K : \coprod_{l=n}^{\infty}(T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}T_{\widetilde{x}',n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m})_K \longrightarrow (T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m})_K$$

which comes from the morphism in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})'$

$$\delta' : \coprod_{l=n}^{\infty}T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}T_{\widetilde{x}',n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m} \longrightarrow T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m}.$$

So, again by Lemma 3.11, we are reduced to showing that, for any $l\geq n\geq m$, the composite morphism

$$T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}T_{\widetilde{x}',n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m}\xrightarrow{\delta'}T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m}\xrightarrow{\delta}T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X,n}}T_{X,m},$$

which we denote by ϵ, is analytically flat.

Now let us put $S:=T_{\widetilde{x},n}\times_{T_{X,n}}T_{X,m}$. Then the morphism $\epsilon : T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}T_{\widetilde{x}',n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m}\longrightarrow S$ is factorized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}T_{\widetilde{x}',n}\times_{T_{X',n}}T_{X',m}\\ &\longrightarrow T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x}',l}}(T_{\widetilde{x}',l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}}T_{\widetilde{x},n})\times_{T_{X',n}}(T_{X',n}\times_{T_{X,n}}T_{X,m})\\ &=T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}}S\longrightarrow S.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first arrow is analytically flat, since the associated morphism between rigid analytic spaces is an admissible open immersion. Hence we are reduced to showing that the projection $T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}}S\longrightarrow S$ (which we denote by π) is analytically flat. Now let us consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}(T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}}S)_K \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "\pi_K"'] &]\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'} \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\ S_K \arrow[r, "\subset"] &]\widetilde{x}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}},\end{tikzcd}$$

where φ is the morphism induced by $\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}\longrightarrow\widetilde{x}'\longrightarrow\widetilde{x}$ and the horizontal arrows are natural admissible open immersions. Since $\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of finite number of $\widetilde{x}$'s and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}',M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'})\longrightarrow(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}},M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}})$ is formally log smooth, there exists an isomorphism $]\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}'}\cong\coprod_{i=1}^{n}]\widetilde{x}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}\times D^{r_i}$ for some $n,r_i\in\mathbb{N}$ such that φ is identified with the projection

$$\coprod_{i=1}^{n}]\widetilde{x}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}\times D^{r_i}\longrightarrow\coprod_{i=1}^{n}]\widetilde{x}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}\longrightarrow]\widetilde{x}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}$$

via this isomorphism. Then the morphism π_K factors as

$$(T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l}\times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}}S)_K\overset{\subset}{\longrightarrow}\varphi^{-1}(S_K)=\coprod_{i=1}^{n}S_K\times D^{r_i}\longrightarrow S_K,$$

where the first map is an admissible open immersion and the second map is the projection. So it is easy to see that the functor

$$\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{S_K}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon_K^*} \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{(T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l} \times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}} S)_K}) = \mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{T_{\widetilde{x}'_{\mathrm{red}},l} \times_{T_{\widetilde{x},l}} S}),$$

which is the same as ϵ^*, is exact. So we have proved that ϵ is analytically flat and so we are done. □

4. Relative log analytic cohomology

In this section, first we introduce a rigid analytic variant of relative log convergent cohomology, which we call relative log analytic cohomology. It is regarded as a relative version of analytic cohomology introduced in [S2]. Then we will prove a relation between relative log convergent cohomology and relative log analytic cohomology for proper log smooth integral morphisms having log smooth parameter. This implies the coherence of relative log analytic cohomology. After that, we prove the existence of a canonical structure of an isocrystal on relative log analytic cohomology.

First we give a definition of relative log analytic cohomology.

Definition 4.1. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{4.1}$$

where f is a morphism in (LS/B) and ι is a closed immersion in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an isocrystal on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$. Take an embedding system over $\mathcal{Y}$

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g} (X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}), \tag{4.2}$$

let $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}})$, let $\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)})$ be the log de Rham complex associated to $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$ and let h be the morphism $]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ induced by the embedding system (4.2). Then we define $Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$, $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ by

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} := Rh_*\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}), \quad R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} := R^q h_*\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)})$$

and we call $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ the q-th relative log analytic cohomology of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ with coefficient $\mathcal{E}$. It is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}}}$-modules.

Remark 4.2. With the above notation, let $\{(\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m})\}_m$ be the system of universal enlargements of $((\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), (Y, M_Y), \iota, \mathrm{id})$ and denote the base change of the diagrams (4.1), (4.2) by $(\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by

$$(X_m, M_{X_m}) \longrightarrow (Y_m, M_{Y_m}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m}), \tag{4.3}$$

$$(X_m, M_{X_m}) \longleftarrow (X_m^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_m^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}}), \tag{4.4}$$

respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}_m$, $\mathcal{E}_m^{(\bullet)}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to (X_m, M_{X_m}), $(X_m^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_m^{(\bullet)}})$ respectively, let $\mathrm{DR}(]X_m^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_{m,K}, \mathcal{E}_m^{(\bullet)})$ be the log de Rham complex associated to $\mathcal{E}_m^{(\bullet)}$ and let h_m be the morphism $]X_m^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}_m} = \mathcal{Y}_{m,K}$. Then we have $]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{Y}_{m,K}$, $h_m = h|_{]X_m^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}}}$, $]X_m^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}} = h_m^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_{m,K})$ and

$$R^q f_{X_m/\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}_m = R^q h_{m*}\mathrm{DR}(]X_m^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_{m,K}, \mathcal{E}_m^{(\bullet)})$$

is nothing but the restriction of $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ to $\mathcal{Y}_{m,K}$.

In order to assure that Definition 4.1 is well-defined, we should prove the following proposition:

Proposition 4.3. *Let the notations be as in Definition 4.1. Then the definition of the relative log analytic cohomology $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ of $(X, M_X)/(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ with coefficient $\mathcal{E}$ is independent of the choice of the embedding system.*

The method of proof is similar to that of [S2, 2.2.14]. First we prove the following descent property:

Lemma 4.4. *Assume we are given the Cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X^{(\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.5}$$

where (X, M_X) is an object in $(\mathrm{LS}/\mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is an object in $(\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$, ι is a closed immersion and g is a strict formally etale hypercovering. Let $g_K :]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$ be the morphism induced by g and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a coherent sheaf on $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{=} Rg_{K,*}g_K^*\mathcal{E}.$$

Proof. Let $\{(\mathcal{P}_m, M_{\mathcal{P}_m})\}_m$ be the system of universal enlargements of the pre-widening $((\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}), (X, M_X), \iota, i)$ and let

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X_m^{(\bullet)}, M_{X_m^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_m^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[d, "g_m"'] \\
(X_m, M_{X_m}) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{P}_m, M_{\mathcal{P}_m})
\end{tikzcd}$$

be the diagram obtained by applying $\times_{(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})}(\mathcal{P}_m, M_{\mathcal{P}_m})$ to the diagram (4.5). Let $g_{m,K} : P^{(\bullet)}_{m,K} \longrightarrow P_{m,K}$ be the map induced by g_m. Then we have

$$]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{P}_{m,K},\ ]X^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_{m,K},\ g_K^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{m,K}) = \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_{m,K},\ g_{m,K} = g_K|_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_{m,K}}.$$

So we have $(Rg_{K,*}g_K^*\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{P}_{m,K}} = Rg_{m,K,*}g_{m,K}^*(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{P}_{m,K}})$ and so it suffices to prove the quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{P}_{m,K}} \xrightarrow{=} Rg_{m,K,*}g_{m,K}^*(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathcal{P}_{m,K}})$. This is already proven in [C-T, 7.3.3]. So we are done. □

Next we prove a lemma which corresponds to [S2, 2.2.15]:

Lemma 4.5. *Let* $(X, M_X) \longrightarrow (Y, M_Y) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ *as in Definition 4.1 and assume we are given a commutative diagram over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "\iota_1"] \arrow[d, equal] & (\mathcal{P}_1, M_{\mathcal{P}_1}) \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] \\
(X, M_X) \arrow[r, "\iota_2"] & (\mathcal{P}_2, M_{\mathcal{P}_2}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.6}$$

where $(\mathcal{P}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}_j})$ *are objects in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ *which are formally log smooth over* $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$*,* ι_j *are closed immersions* $(j = 1, 2)$ *and* φ *is a formally log smooth morphism. Let* $\varphi_K :]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1} \longrightarrow]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_2}$ *be the morphism induced by* φ *and let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be an isocrystal on* $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$*. Then we have a quasi-isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_2}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{=} R\varphi_{K,*}\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}).$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2$, let $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_j$ be the exactification of the closed immersion ι_j. Then it suffices to prove the lemma Zariski locally on $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_2$. So we may assume that $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K} \times D^r$ and the morphism φ_K is equal to the projection $\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K} \times D^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K}$. Let $(t_1, \cdots, t_r)$ be the coordinate of D^r and let $\Omega^\bullet$ be the complex

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}} dt_i \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le r} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}} dt_{i_1} \wedge dt_{i_2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}} dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_r].$$

Then $\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E})$ is equal to the total complex associated to the double complex $\varphi_K^*\mathrm{DR}(]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_2}/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}}} \Omega^\bullet$. So, to prove the lemma, it suffices to prove the quasi-isomorphism

$$E \xrightarrow{\simeq} R\varphi_{K,*}(\varphi_K^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}}} \Omega^\bullet) = \varphi_{K,*}(\varphi_K^* E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{1,K}}} \Omega^\bullet) = E \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K}}} \varphi_{K,*}\Omega^\bullet$$

for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K}}$-module E. This is reduced to showing that the complex $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{ex}}_{2,K}} \longrightarrow \varphi_{K,*}\Omega^\bullet$ is homotopic to zero. We can prove it in the same way as the proof of Lemma 2.32. □

Proof of Proposition 4.3. The proof is similar to [S2, 2.2.14].

Let

$$(X, M_X) \xleftarrow{g_j} (X^{(\bullet)}_j, M_{X^{(\bullet)}_j}) \overset{\iota^{(\bullet)}_j}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j}) \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

be embedding systems and denote the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ to $(X^{(\bullet)}_j/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ by $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}_j$ $(j = 1, 2)$. Put $(X^{(m,n)}, M_{X^{(m,n)}}) := (X^{(m)}_1, M_{X^{(m)}_1}) \times_{(X, M_X)} (X^{(n)}_2, M_{X^{(n)}_2})$ and $(\mathcal{P}^{(m,n)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m,n)}}) := (\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_1, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}_1}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{P}^{(n)}_2, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}_2})$. ($(X^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, M_{X^{(\bullet,\bullet)}})$ forms a bisimplicial fine log B-scheme and $(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}})$ forms a bisimplicial p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme.) Let $\varphi_{j,K} :]X^{(\bullet,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]X^{(\bullet)}_j[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j}$ be the morphism induced by $(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j})$ and denote the pull-back of $\mathcal{E}$ to $(X^{(\bullet,\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ by $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}$. Then, to prove the proposition, it suffices to show the quasi-isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet)}_j[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}_j}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}_j) \stackrel{\simeq}{=} R\varphi_{j,K,*}\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(\bullet,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet,\bullet)}) \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

and it suffice to treat the case $j = 1$. Let us fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\varphi_K^{(n)} :]X^{(n,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]X^{(n)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}}$ be the morphism induced by the first projection $(\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}})$. Then, to prove the above quasi-isomorphism (for $j = 1$), it suffices to prove the quasi-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X_1^{(n)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}_1^{(n)}) \cong R\varphi^{(n)}_{K,*}\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(n,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(n,\bullet)}). \tag{4.7}$$

In the following, we give a proof of the quasi-isomorphism (4.7). Let $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}$ along $X_1^{(n)}$, put $M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}} := M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}}|_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}}$ and let $h_n : (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}})$ be the unique strict formally etale hypercovering satisfying

$$(\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}}) \times_{(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}})} (X_1^{(n)}, M_{X_1^{(n)}}) \cong (X^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{X^{(n,\bullet)}}).$$

Let $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}})$ be $(\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}})$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(X_1^{(n)}, M_{X_1^{(n)}}) \arrow[d] & (X^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{X^{(n,\bullet)}}) \arrow[l, "g_n"'] \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & (X^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{X^{(n,\bullet)}}) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & (X^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{X^{(n,\bullet)}}) \arrow[d] \\
(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n)}}) & (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}}) \arrow[l, "h_n"'] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}}) \arrow[l, "\mathrm{pr}_1^{(\bullet)}"'] \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}_2^{(\bullet)}"] & (\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical closed immersions. Then, by the claim shown in [S2, p.81], Zariski locally on $X^{(n,m)}$ there exists an exact closed immersion

$$(X^{(n,m)}, M_{X^{(n,m)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}})$$

of $(X^{(n,m)}, M_{X^{(n,m)}})$ into an object in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ which is strict formally etale over $(\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}})$ such that $(\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,m)}})$ is nothing but the completion of $(\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}})$ along $(X^{(n,m)}, M_{X^{(n,m)}})$. Then we have the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m),\mathrm{ex}} \cong \hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,m),\mathrm{ex}}$ of exactifications. On the other hand, if we put $(\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}}) := (\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\mathcal{P}^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,m)}})$, we have the canonical isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m),\mathrm{ex}} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m),\mathrm{ex}}$. So, by Remark 2.23, we can define log de Rham complexes

$$\mathrm{DR}(]X^{(n,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(n,\bullet)}) =: \widehat{\mathrm{DR}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}, \qquad \mathrm{DR}(]X^{(n,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(n,\bullet)}) =: \widetilde{\mathrm{DR}}^{(n,\bullet)}.$$

Put $\mathrm{DR}^{(n,\bullet)} := \mathrm{DR}(]X^{(n,\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(n,\bullet)}), \mathrm{DR}_1^{(n)} := \mathrm{DR}(]X_1^{(n)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(n)})$. Then the proof of the isomorphism (4.7) is reduced to the three quasi-isomorphisms

$$R\mathrm{pr}^{(\bullet)}_{1,K,*}\widetilde{\mathrm{DR}}^{(n,\bullet)} = \widehat{\mathrm{DR}}_1^{(n,\bullet)}, \quad R\mathrm{pr}^{(\bullet)}_{2,K,*}\widetilde{\mathrm{DR}}^{(n,\bullet)} = \widehat{\mathrm{DR}}^{(n,\bullet)}, \quad Rh_{n,K,*}\widehat{\mathrm{DR}}_1^{(n,\bullet)} = \mathrm{DR}_1^{(n)}.$$

The first and the second quasi-isomorphisms follow from Lemma 4.5 and the fact that the morphisms

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^{(m)} : (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}}) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1^{(n,m)}}),$$

$$\mathrm{pr}_2^{(m)} : (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}}) \longrightarrow (\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}})$$

are locally the completions of the formally log smooth morphisms

$$(\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}_1^{(n,m)}}),$$

$$(\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}, M_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}^{(n,m)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(n,m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n,m)}}),$$

respectively. The third quasi-isomorphism follows from Lemma 4.4. So we are done. □

The next theorem establishes the relation of relative log convergent cohomology and relative log analytic cohomology:

Theorem 4.6. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{4.8}$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter in (LS/B) *and ι is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion in* (pLFS/$\mathcal{B}$). *Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ and $q \geq 0$, $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf on $]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{Y}_K$ and we have the isomorphism $\mathrm{sp}_* R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. By definition of relative log analytic cohomology and relative log convergent cohomology, we have the quasi-isomorphism

$$Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} = R\,\mathrm{sp}_* Rf_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

So we have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = R^s \mathrm{sp}_* R^t f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} \Longrightarrow R^{s+t} f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

Now we prove the theorem by induction on q. Assume the theorem is true up to $q-1$. Then we have $R^s\mathrm{sp}_* R^t f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = 0$ for $s > 0, t < q$. So we have

$$\mathrm{sp}_* R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}. \tag{4.9}$$

Now let us take a strict morphism $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that the induced morphism $\mathcal{Y}'_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_K$ is an affinoid admissible open immersion and denote the base change of (4.8) by the morphism $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by

$$(X', M_{X'}) \longrightarrow (Y', M_{Y'}) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}). \tag{4.10}$$

Let us denote the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X'/\mathcal{Y}')^{\log})$ by $\mathcal{E}'$. Then we have, by the above argument and analytically flat base change theorem of relative log convergent cohomology, the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{sp}_*((R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E})|_{\mathcal{Y}'_K}) = R^q f_{X'/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}' = R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}.$$

So $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ is the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_K}$-modules whose value at $\mathcal{Y}'_K$ is equal to $\Gamma(\mathcal{Y}', R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*} \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ for any affinoid admissible open set of the form $\mathcal{Y}'_K \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_K$. This shows that $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ is the coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}_K$ induced by $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$. So the assertion is proved. □

Corollary 4.7. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{4.11}$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter in (LS/B) *and ι is a closed immersion in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$, $R^q f_{X/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf on $]Y[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.*

Proof. By the argument in Remark 4.2, we can reduce to the case where ι is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion, and in this case, the claim is already proven in Theorem 4.6. □

Next we prove the existence of a structure of isocrystal on relative log analytic cohomology. To prove this, first we discuss a structure of an isocrystal on relative log convergent cohomology.

Theorem 4.8. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \xrightarrow{g} (\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}}),$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter in (LS/B) *and g is a morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ and a non-negative integer q, there exists a unique isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ satisfying the following condition: For any pre-widening $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ such that z is a strict morphism and that $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \cong \mathrm{Str}'((Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ is functorially given by $\{(R^q f_{X\times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_n)\}_n$, where $\{T_n(\mathcal{Z})\}_n := \{((T_n(\mathcal{Z}), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})}), (Z_n, M_{Z_n}))\}_n$ is the system of universal enlargements of $\mathcal{Z}$ and ϵ_n is the isomorphism*

$$p_{2,n}^* R^q f_{X\times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\simeq} R^q f_{X\times_Y Z(1)_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1)),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{\simeq} p_{1,n}^* R^q f_{X\times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

(Here $(\mathcal{Z}(1), M_{\mathcal{Z}(1)}) := (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}) \times_{(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})} (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$,

$$\{T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1))\}_n := \{((T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1))}), (Z(1)_n, M_{Z(1)_n}))\}_n$$

is the system of universal enlargements of $((\mathcal{Z}(1), M_{\mathcal{Z}(1)}), (Z, M_Z))$ and $p_{i,n}$ is the morphism $(T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z}(1))}) \longrightarrow (T_n(\mathcal{Z}), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})})$ induced by the i-th projection.)

Proof. First let us define $\mathcal{F}$ in the case where there exists a closed immersion $(Y, M_Y) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$ such that $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$. Let $(\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)})$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ be the $(i+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$. Let $\{T_n(\mathcal{P}(i))\} := \{((T_n(\mathcal{P}(i)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{P}(i))}), (Z_n(i), M_{Z_n(i)}))\}_n$ be the system of universal enlargements of $((\mathcal{P}(i), M_{\mathcal{P}(i)}), (Y, M_Y))$ and let us put $\mathcal{F}_n(i) := R^q f_{X\times_Y Z_n(i)/T_n(\mathcal{P}(i)),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$. Let us note first that the transition morphisms $(T_n(\mathcal{P}(0)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{P}(0))}) \longrightarrow (T_{n'}(\mathcal{P}(0)), M_{T_{n'}(\mathcal{P}(0))})$ $(n < n')$ are analytically flat by Lemma 3.11 (2). So, by analytically flat base change theorem, the family $\{\mathcal{F}_n(0)\}_n$ defines a compatible family of isocoherent sheaves on $\{T_n(\mathcal{P}(0))\}_n$. Let us note next that the projections $(T_n(\mathcal{P}(i+1)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{P}(i+1))}) \longrightarrow (T_n(\mathcal{P}(i)), M_{T_n(\mathcal{P}(i))})$ are analytically flat by Proposition 3.12. So, again by analytically flat base change theorem, $\{\mathcal{F}_n(1)\}_n$ and $\{\mathcal{F}_n(2)\}_n$ induces a structure of compatible family of stratifications on $\{\mathcal{F}_n(0)\}_n$. In this way, $\{\mathcal{F}_n(i)\}_{n,i}$ induces an object $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{Str}'((Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) = I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$.

Now we define the isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ in general case. Take an embedding system

$$(Y, M_Y) \xleftarrow{g} (Y^{(\bullet)}, M_{Y^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \tag{4.12}$$

such that g is a strict formally etale Čech hypercovering and that $(\mathcal{P}^{(i)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}})$ is the $(i+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(0)}})$ over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$. Then, by the construction in the previous paragraph for $(Y^{(i)}, M_{Y^{(i)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(i)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}})$, we have $\mathcal{F}^{(i)} \in I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y^{(i)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ and they are compatible thanks to Proposition 3.12 and analytically flat base change theorem. So, by etale descent of isocrystals on relative log convergent site, $\{\mathcal{F}^{(i)}\}_{i=0,1,2}$ descents to an isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$.

Note that the isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ constructed in the previous paragraph satisfies the required property for $\mathcal{Z} = ((\mathcal{P}^{(i)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(i)}}), (Y^{(i)}, M_{Y^{(i)}}))$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$. By etale descent for isocrystals on relative log convergent site, this property characterizes $\mathcal{F}$. So we have proved the uniqueness of $\mathcal{F}$.

Next, let us take a pre-widening $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ as in the statement of the theorem and we check the required property for $\mathcal{F}$. Let us consider the following diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \arrow[r, "g'^{*}"] & I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \\
I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \arrow[r, "g^{*}"] \arrow[u, "z^{*}"] & I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}), \arrow[u, "z'^{*}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.13}$$

where $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}), I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ denotes the category of descent data with respect to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z \times_Y Y^{(n)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}), I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y^{(n)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ $(n = 0, 1, 2)$ respectively and g^*, g'^* (resp. z^*, z'^*) are the functors induced by g (resp. z). Then g^*, g'^* are equivalence of categories. Let

$$\{T_n(\mathcal{Z})\} = \{((T_n(\mathcal{Z}), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z})}), (Z_n, M_{Z_n}))\},$$
$$\{T_n(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})\} = \{((T_n(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}), M_{T_n(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})}), (Y^{(\bullet)}_n, M_{Y^{(\bullet)}_n}))\},$$
$$\{T_n(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})\} = \{((T_n(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}), M_{T_n(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})}), ((Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)})_n, M_{(Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)})_n}))\}$$

be the system of universal enlargements of the widenings $\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}$ (the product is taken in the category of widenings) respectively. Then, by definition, $\mathcal{F}$ is sent by g^* to the object $\{(Rf_{X \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}_n/T_n(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_{Y^{(\bullet)},n})\}_n$ (where $\epsilon_{Y^{(\bullet)},n}$ is defined as in ϵ_n in the statement of the theorem), and by analytically flat base change, it is sent by z'^* to the object $\{(Rf_{X \times_Y (Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)})_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_{Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)},n})\}_n$ (where $\epsilon_{Z \times Y^{(\bullet)},n}$ is also defined as in ϵ_n in the statement of the theorem). On the other hand, we have an object $\{(R^q f_{X \times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_n)\}_n$ in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$, and by analytically flat base change theorem, it is sent by g'^* also to $\{(Rf_{X \times_Y (Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)})_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_{Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)},n})\}_n$. So, by the above diagram, we conclude that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ (by z) is given by $\{(R^q f_{X \times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_n)\}$, as required.

Finally we prove the functoriality of the above expression. Let

$$\varphi : \mathcal{Z}' := ((\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}), (Z', M_{Z'}), i', z') \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$$

be a morphism of pre-widenings such that z, z' are strict and that $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'})$ are formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$, and we put $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} := \{(R^q f_{X \times_Y Z_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon_n)\}_n$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'}$ $:= \{(R^q f_{X \times_Y Z'_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}'),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon'_n)\}_n$, where $Z'_n, T_n(\mathcal{Z}'), \epsilon'_n$ are defined from $\mathcal{Z}'$ in analogous way as $Z_n, T_n(\mathcal{Z}), \epsilon_n$. Then, we have two isomorphisms of the form

$$\varphi^* \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'} : \tag{4.14}$$

One is the isomorphism induced by the functoriality of relative log convergent cohomology, and the other is the isomorphism induced by the isocrystal structure of $\mathcal{F}$. We should prove the coincidence of them. Let us put $(\mathcal{Z}'', M_{\mathcal{Z}''}) := (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}) \times_{(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})} (\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'})$ and denote the projections $(\mathcal{Z}'', M_{\mathcal{Z}''}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (\mathcal{Z}'', M_{\mathcal{Z}''}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'})$ by $\mathrm{pr}_1, \mathrm{pr}_2$, respectively. Let $\gamma : (\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}'', M_{\mathcal{Z}''})$ be the graph of φ and put $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}''} := \{(R^q f_{X\times_Y Z''_n/T_n(\mathcal{Z}''),\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon''_n)\}$ (where $Z''_n, T_n(\mathcal{Z}''), \epsilon''_n$ are defined from $((\mathcal{Z}'', M_{\mathcal{Z}''}), (Z', M_{\mathcal{Z}'}))$ in analogous way to $Z_n, T_n(\mathcal{Z}), \epsilon_n$). Then we have two diagrams of the form

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \gamma^*\mathrm{pr}_1^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \gamma^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}''} \xleftarrow{\simeq} \gamma^*\mathrm{pr}_2^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'} : \tag{4.15}$$

One is the diagram induced by the functoriality of relative log convergent cohomology, and the other is the isomorphism induced by the isocrystal structure of $\mathcal{F}$. In both cases, the composite is equal to the isomorphism (4.14). So, to prove the coincidence of the maps (4.14), we may replace φ by pr_i $(i = 1, 2)$, that is, we may assume that $(\mathcal{Z}', M_{\mathcal{Z}'})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$. In this case, we have the following diagram of functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z'/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \arrow[r, "g'^*"] & I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z' \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \\
I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \arrow[r, "g^*"] \arrow[u, "\varphi^*"] & I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}), \arrow[u, "\varphi'^*"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal lines are as the top horizontal line in the diagram (4.13) and φ^*, φ'^* are induced by φ^*. Let us define $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \in I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'\times\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \in I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z' \times_Y Y^{(\bullet)}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ in the same way as $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'}$. Then we have the two commutative diagrams of the form

$$\begin{tikzcd}
g'^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}'\times\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \\
g'^*\varphi^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & \varphi'^*\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}\times\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}, \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.16}$$

where the left vertical arrow is given by applying g'^* to the maps (4.14), that is, the map in one diagram is given by the isocrystal structure and the map in another diagram is given by the functoriality of relative log analytic cohomology. Other arrows in the diagrams (4.16) are given by either of them, which coincide by definition of $\mathcal{F}$ given above. Since all the arrows in (4.16) are isomorphisms and g'^* is an equivalence, we conclude from two diagrams (4.16) that the two isomorphisms (4.14) are equal. So we have proved the functoriality and the proof of the theorem is now finished. □

Remark 4.9. In the above situation, we do not claim that the value $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ on any enlargement $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ is given by $R^q f_{X\times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$. If we know that $R^q f_{X\times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ is locally free for any enlargement $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$, the equality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}} = R^q f_{X\times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{conv}*}\mathcal{E}$ holds for any enlargement $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$. (The proof is similar to the above proof, using Corollary 3.10 under the assumption (1)' and (2).) This is the case if $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}}) = (\mathrm{Spf}\, V, \text{triv. log str.})$ (where V is a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with residue field k) and M_Y is trivial (cf. [O2]).

As a corollary, we have the existence of a structure of an isocrystal on relative log analytic cohomology.

Corollary 4.10. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(X, M_X) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, M_Y) \xrightarrow{g} (\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}}),$$

where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter in (LS/B) *and g is a morphism in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$ and a non-negative integer q, there exists a unique isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ such that, for any pre-widening $\mathcal{Z} := ((\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}), (Z, M_Z), i, z)$ of $(Y, M_Y)/(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$ such that z is strict and $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})$, $\mathcal{F}$ induces, via the functor*

$$I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathrm{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \simeq \mathrm{Str}''((Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}),$$

an object of the form $(R^q f_{X \times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$, where ϵ is the canonical isomorphism

$$p_2^* R^q f_{X \times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q f_{X \times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z}(1), \mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{\sim} p_1^* R^q f_{X \times_Y Z/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

(Here $(\mathcal{Z}(1), M_{\mathcal{Z}(1)}) := (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}) \times_{(\mathcal{S}, M_{\mathcal{S}})} (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ and p_i denotes the i-th projection $]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}(1)} \longrightarrow]Z[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.)

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 4.8 and the relation between relative log convegent cohomology and relative log analytic cohomology. □

5. Relative log analytic cohomology and relative rigid cohomology

In this section, we prove a comparison theorem between relative log analytic cohomology and relative rigid cohomology (defined in [Be2] and [C-T]) for certain proper log smooth integral morphism having log smooth parameter. By using it, we prove a version of a conjecture of Berthelot on the coherence and the overconvergence of relative rigid cohomology with coefficient in special case, that is, the case where the given situation admits a 'nice' log structure. We also discuss on the Frobenius structure on relative rigid cohomology when a given coefficient admits a Frobenius structure.

Throughout this section, we assume that the log structure $M_{\mathcal{B}}$ on the base log formal scheme $\mathcal{B}$ is trivial.

First let us recall some terminologies of [C-T] and the definition of relative rigid cohomology given in [C-T]. (See also [Be3], [S2]. Note that some notations here are different from those in [C-T].) A pair $(X, \overline{X})$ is, by definition, a pair of schemes $X, \overline{X}$ of characteristic p endowed with an open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$. A map of pairs $f : (X, \overline{X}) \longrightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$ is a morphism of schemes $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ satisfying $f(X) \subseteq Y$. f is called separated of finite type if so is $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$. A pair $(Y, \overline{Y})$ over a given pair $(X, \overline{X})$ is a pair endowed with structure morphism $(Y, \overline{Y}) \longrightarrow (X, \overline{X})$. In this paper, a pair is always assumed to be a pair over (B, B) whose structure morphism is separated of finite type and all the morphisms of pairs are assumed to be separated morphisms of finite type over (B, B). A morphism of pairs $f : (X, \overline{X}) \longrightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$ is called strict if $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ holds. A triple $(X, \overline{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a pair $(X, \overline{X})$ endowed with a p-adic formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and a closed immersion $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. A map of triples $f : (X, \overline{X}, \mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow (Y, \overline{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$ is defined in an obvious way. f is called separated of finite type if so is $\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. A triple $(Y, \overline{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$ over a given triple $(X, \overline{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a triple endowed with structure morphism $(Y, \overline{Y}, \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow (X, \overline{X}, \mathcal{X})$. In this paper, all the triples are assumed to be treiples over $(B, B, \mathcal{B})$ whose structure morphism is separated of finite type and all the morphisms of triples are assumed to be separated morphisms of finite type over $(B, B, \mathcal{B})$. For a triple

$(S, \overline{S}, \mathcal{S})$ and a pair $(X, \overline{X})$ over $(S, \overline{S})$, an $(X, \overline{X})$-triple over $(S, \overline{S}, \mathcal{S})$ is a triple $(Y, \overline{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$ over $(S, \overline{S}, \mathcal{S})$ endowed with a morphism of pairs $(Y, \overline{Y}) \longrightarrow (X, \overline{X})$ over $(S, \overline{S})$.

Now let us assume given morphisms

$$f : (X, \overline{X}) \longrightarrow (Y, \overline{Y}), \qquad \iota : \overline{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}, \tag{5.1}$$

where f is a morphism of pairs and ι is a closed immersion of $\overline{Y}$ into a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $\mathcal{Y}$ over $\mathcal{B}$. Assume for the moment that the above morphism fits into a diagram

(5.2)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X} \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathcal{P} \arrow[d, "f'"] \\
\overline{Y} \arrow[r, "\iota"] & \mathcal{Y},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is a closed immersion of $\overline{X}$ into a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $\mathcal{P}$ and f' is a morphism which is formally smooth on a neighborhood of X. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(n)$ the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$ and by $i(n) : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ the natural closed immersion induced by i. Then we can form admissible open immersions $j_X :]X[_{\mathcal{P}(n)} \hookrightarrow]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}(n)}$ of tubular neighborhoods and we have the projections

$$p_i \ :]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}} \ (i = 1, 2), \qquad p_{ij} \ :]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}(2)} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \ (1 \leq i < j \leq 3)$$

and the diagonal morphism $\Delta \ :]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}} \hookrightarrow]X[_{\mathcal{P}(1)}$. Then the category $I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P})$ of realization of overconvergent isocrystals of $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K$ over $\mathcal{P}$ is defined to be the category of pairs $(\mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent $j^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module and ϵ is a $j^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}(1)}}$-linear isomorphism $p_2^*\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^*\mathcal{E}$ satisfying $\Delta^*(\epsilon) = \mathrm{id}, p_{12}^*(\epsilon) \circ p_{23}^*(\epsilon) = p_{13}^*(\epsilon)$. (This definition is different from that given in [C-T, 10.2], but they are equivalent by [C-T, Lemma 10.2.2].) When we are given an object $\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{E}, \epsilon) \in I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P})$, we can form an integrable connection of the form $\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes j_X^{\dagger}\Omega^1_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K}$ by using ϵ, and it induces the de Rham complex of the form $\mathcal{E} \otimes j_X^{\dagger}\Omega^{\bullet}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K}$, which we denote by $\mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$.

In the case where there does not necessarily have a diagram (5.2), we can always find a diagram

$$\overline{X} \xleftarrow{g} \overline{X}^{(\bullet)} \overset{i^{(\bullet)}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, \tag{5.3}$$

where g is a Zariski hypercovering, $i^{(\bullet)}$ is a closed immersion over $\mathcal{Y}$ such that each $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ is a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme which is formally smooth on a neighborhood of $X^{(n)} := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}^{(n)}$. Then, we can form the category $I^{\dagger}((X^{(\bullet)}, \overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})$ of descent data with respect to the categories $I^{\dagger}((X^{(n)}, \overline{X}^{(n)})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P}^{(n)})$ $(n = 0, 1, 2)$ and it is known that this category is independent of the choice of the diagram (5.3). The category of overconvergent isocrystals on $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K$ is defined to be this category and it is simply denoted by $I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K)$.

For an object $\mathcal{E} := (\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}, \epsilon^{(\bullet)})$ in $I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K) = I^{\dagger}((X^{(\bullet)}, \overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})$, we have the associated de Rham complex $\mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}(\bullet)}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)})$ on $]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}(\bullet)}$. Let h be the morphism $]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}(\bullet)} \longrightarrow]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}$ induced by $f \circ g$. Then we define $Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}$, $R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}$

by

$$Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} := Rh_*\mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}),$$
$$R^qf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} := R^qh_*\mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}),$$

respectively and we call $R^qf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}$ the q-th relative rigid cohomology of $(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}$ with coefficient $\mathcal{E}$. It is a sheaf of $j_Y^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_\mathcal{Y}}$-modules, where j_Y denotes the admissible open immersion $]Y[_\mathcal{Y}\hookrightarrow]\overline{Y}[_\mathcal{Y}$.

The category of overconvergent isocrystals $I^\dagger((X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y})$ satisfies the descent property for Zariski covering of $\overline{X}$ (it is rather easy consequence from the definition given above). Here we give a proof of the descent property of $I^\dagger((X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y})$ for etale covering of $\overline{X}$:

Proposition 5.1. *Assume we are given morphisms* (5.1) *and let* $\overline{X}^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow \overline{X}$ *be an etale hypercovering. Let us put* $X^{(\bullet)} := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}^{(\bullet)}$ *and let us denote the category of descent data with respect to the categories* $I^\dagger((X^{(n)},\overline{X}^{(n)})/\mathcal{Y}_K)$ $(i=0,1,2)$ *by* $I^\dagger((X^{(\bullet)},\overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}_K)$. *Then the restriction functor*

$$I^\dagger((X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K) \longrightarrow I^\dagger((X^{(\bullet)},\overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}_K) \tag{5.4}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Remark 5.2. In the case where $(Y,\overline{Y},\mathcal{Y}) = (\operatorname{Spec} k, \operatorname{Spec} k, \operatorname{Spf} V)$ (where V is a complete discrete valuation ring of mixed characteristic with residue field k) and $\overline{X}$ is proper over k, this is a special case of a result of Etesse [E]. (Etesse treats the category of overconvergent F-isocrystals, but his argument works also in the case without Frobenius structure.)

Proof. By using [SD, 3.3.4.2], one can reduce the proposition to the case where $\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}$ is the Čech hypercovering associated to the etale surjective morphism $\overline{X}^{(0)} \longrightarrow \overline{X}$. Since the assertion is Zariski local on $\overline{X}$, we may assume the existence of the diagram (5.2) such that $\overline{X}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ are affine. Moreover, since one can replace $\overline{X}^{(0)}$ by a Zariski refinement of it, we may assume (by [S2, claim in p.81]) the existence of the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X}^{(0)} \arrow[r, "i^{(0)}"] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P}^{(0)} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r, "i"] & \mathcal{P}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.5}$$

for some affine p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $\mathcal{P}^{(0)}$ formally etale over $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}$ be the Čech hypercovering associated to $\mathcal{P}^{(0)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ and define $A, B^{(\bullet)}$ by $\mathcal{P} = \operatorname{Spf} A, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)} = \operatorname{Spf} B^{(\bullet)}$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a set of generators of the ideal $\operatorname{Ker}(A \longrightarrow \Gamma(\overline{X},\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}))$, let $\{\overline{g}_j\}_{j\in J}$ be a set of generators of the ideal $\operatorname{Ker}(\Gamma(\overline{X},\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\overline{X}-X,\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}-X}))$ and let g_j $(j \in J)$ be a lift of $\overline{g}_j$ to A.

Under the above notation, first we prove that the restriction functor

(5.6)

$$(\text{coherent } j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_\mathcal{P}}\text{-modules}) \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix}\text{compatible family of coherent}\\ j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}}\text{-modules } (n=0,1,2)\end{pmatrix}$$

is an equivalence of categories. Fix a strictly increasing sequence $\underline{\eta} := \{\eta_m\}$ in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} |K^{\times}|$ converging to 1. For another strictly increasing sequence $\underline{\nu} := \{\nu_m\}$ in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} |K^{\times}|$ converging to 1 with $\nu_m > \eta_m$ and $J' \subseteq J$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'} &:= \{x \in]X[_{\mathcal{P}} \,|\, |f_i(x)| \leq \eta_m \,(i \in I), |g_j(x)| \geq \nu_m \,(j \in J')\}, \\ V_{\eta_m,\nu_m} &:= \bigcup_{j \in J} V_{\eta_m,\nu_m,\{j\}}, \qquad V_{\underline{\eta},\underline{\nu}} := \bigcup_{m} V_{\eta_m,\nu_m} \end{aligned}$$

and let $V^{(\bullet)}_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'}, V^{(\bullet)}_{\eta_m,\nu_m}, V^{(\bullet)}_{\underline{\eta},\underline{\nu}}$ be the pull-back of $V_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'}, V_{\eta_m,\nu_m}, V_{\underline{\eta},\underline{\nu}}$ by $]X^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$. Assume given an object $\{E^{(n)}\}_n$ in the right hand side (5.6). Then $\{E^{(n)}\}_n$ comes from a compatible family of coherent modules on $V^{(n)}_{\underline{\eta},\underline{\nu}}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2)$ for some $\underline{\nu}$. So we obtain the compatible family of coherent modules $\{\widetilde{E}^{(n)}_{m,J'}\}_{n,m,J'}$ on $V^{(\bullet)}_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'}$. Now let us note that the morphism $V^{(\bullet)}_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'} \longrightarrow V_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'}$ comes from a formally etale Čech covering of certain p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes. So, by rigid analytic faifufully flat descent, the family of modules $\{\widetilde{E}^{(n)}_{m,J'}\}_n$ is obtained as the pull-back of a coherent module $\widetilde{E}_{m,J'}$ on $V_{\eta_m,\nu_m,J'}$ (for each m, J'). Since the family $\{\widetilde{E}_{m,J'}\}_{m,J'}$ are compatible with respect to m, J', it is obtained as the pull-back of the module $\widetilde{E}$ on $V_{\underline{\eta},\underline{\nu}}$ and $E := j^{\dagger}\widetilde{E}$ is the object in the left hand side of (5.6) which restricts to $E^{(\bullet)}$. So we proved the essential surjectivity of the functor (5.6). One can prove the full-faithfulness in the same way. So the functor (5.6) is an equivalence of categories.

Now let us prove the proposition. Let us given an object $\{E^{(n)}\}_n := \{(E^{(n)}, \epsilon^{(n)})\}_n$ in $I^{\dagger}((X^{(\bullet)}, \overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}) = I^{\dagger}((X^{(\bullet)}, \overline{X}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)})$. Then $\{E^{(n)}\}_n$ defines a compatible family of coherent $j^{\dagger}_X \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}}$-modules $(n = 0, 1, 2)$. So it descents to a coherent $j^{\dagger}_X \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module E. On the other hand, $\epsilon^{(n)}$ is an isomorphism $p_2^* E^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} p_1^* E^{(n)}$ of $j^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}^{(n)}}}$-modules and we know that $\{p_i^* E^{(n)}\}_n$ $(i = 1, 2)$ descents to the $j^{\dagger}_X \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}}}$-module $p_i^* E$. Now note that $]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}$ is isomorphic to $]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}$ and that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{X}^{(\bullet)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)} \arrow[d] \\ \overline{X} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P} \end{tikzcd}$$

is Cartesian. So, by the equivalence of categories (5.6) ($\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}$ replaced by $\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}$ respectively), $\{\epsilon^{(n)}\}_n$ descents to an isomorphism $\epsilon : p_2^* E \longrightarrow p_1^* E$ of $j^{\dagger}_X \mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{P}}}$-modules. In this way we obtain an object (E, ϵ) in $I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K)$. So we have shown the essential surjectivity of the functor (5.4). The full-faithfulness can be shown in the same way. So we have proved the assertion of the proposition. □

Now we recall the statement of the conjecture of Berthelot ([Be2]). Note that we need some discussion here because we would like to introduce several versions of the conjecture. First we quote [Be2, 4.3], where the conjecture is stated:

Soient S un k-schéma, $f : X \longrightarrow S$ un morphisme propre et lisse: supposons pour simplifier que k soit parfait, et qu'il existe une compactification $S \hookrightarrow \overline{S}$ possédant un plongement $\overline{S} \hookrightarrow T$ dans un schéma formel lisse T sur l'anneau $\mathcal{W}$ de vecteurs de Witt à coefficients dans k (par exemple si S est quasi projectif sur k). On peut alors former, comme on l'a vu en (2.5) c), les faisceaux de cohomologie rigide relative $R^q f_{\mathrm{rig}*}(X/T)$; Si $j^\dagger$ est associé comme (2.2) à l'inclusion $]S[_T \hookrightarrow]\overline{S}[_T$, ce sont de façon naturelle des $j^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{S}[}$-modules. Je conjecture que les $R^q f_{\mathrm{rig}*}(X/T)$ possédent une structure canonique de F-cristal surconvergent (Φ étant induit par fonctorialité par le Frobenius de X). ...

(Here, $R^q f_{\mathrm{rig}*}(X/T)$ is the relative rigid cohomology of the structure overconvergent isocrystal on $(X, \overline{X})/T$, where $\overline{X}$ denotes any compactification of X over $\overline{S}$.) In the above form of the conjecture, $\overline{X}, \overline{S}$ is assumed to be complete, the coefficient is assumed to be trivial and the base formal scheme is assumed to be $\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{W}$.

Next, take a triple of the form $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ and assume that we are given a diagram of pairs

$$(X, \overline{X}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \overline{Y}) \xrightarrow{g} (S, S).$$

Then, based on [Be2, 4.3], Tsuzuki gives a generalized form of the conjecture (he calls it Berthelot's conjecture) in [Ts2, §4], whose statement is as follows: Assume that $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ is proper, $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ and $f|_X : X \longrightarrow Y$ is smooth. Then, for an overconvergent (F-)isocrystal $\mathcal{E}$ on $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$ and $q \geq 0$, 'the q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent (F-)isocrystal $Rf_{\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}$' on $(Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K$ (for definition, see [Ts2, 3.3.1] and Remark 5.6 below) exists. By examining the property which 'the q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent (F-)isocrystal' should satisfy, we arrive at the following version of Berthelot's conjecture, which is stronger than the above-mentioned forms of Berthelot's conjecture:

Conjecture 5.3. *Let us take a triple of the form* $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ (*over* $(B, B, \mathcal{B})$) *and assume we are given a diagram of pairs*

$$(X, \overline{X}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \overline{Y}) \xrightarrow{g} (S, S)$$

such that $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ *is proper,* $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ *and that* $f|_X : X \longrightarrow Y$ *is smooth. Then, for an overconvergent* (F-)*isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$ *and* $q \geq 0$*, there exists uniquely an overconvergent* (F-)*isocrystal* $\mathcal{F}$ (*which is called the q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent isocrystal*) *on* $(Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K$ *satisfying the following condition: For any* $(Y, \overline{Y})$*-triple* $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ *over* $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ *with* $\mathcal{Z}$ *formally smooth over* $\mathcal{S}$ *on a neighborhood of* Z*, the restriction of* $\mathcal{F}$ *to* $I^\dagger((Z, \overline{Z})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Z})$ *is given functorially by* $(R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$*, where* ϵ *is given by*

$$p_2^* R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} \overset{\simeq}{\leftarrow} p_1^* R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

(*Here* p_i *is the morphism* $]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z}}$ *induced by the i-th projection.*)

Remark 5.4. The conjecture contains the claim that the two morphisms used in the definition of ϵ are isomorphisms.

The above conjecture is so strong that we do not know any non-trivial example for which Conjecture 5.3 is proved. We would like to consider the following version of Berthelot's conjecture, which is slightly weaker than Conjecture 5.3 but strong enough to assure the unique existence of 'the q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent isocrystal':

Conjecture 5.5. *Take a triple of the form* $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ (*over* $(B, B, \mathcal{B})$) *and assume we are given a diagram of pairs*

$$(X, \overline{X}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \overline{Y}) \xrightarrow{g} (S, S)$$

such that $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ *is proper,* $f^{-1}(Y) = X$ *and that* $f|_X : X \longrightarrow Y$ *is smooth. Then there exists a subcategory* $\mathcal{C}$ *of the category of* $(Y, \overline{Y})$*-triples over* $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ *such that, for an overconvergent* (F-)*isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$ *and* $q \in \mathbb{N}$, *there exists uniquely an overconvergent* (F-)*isocrystal* $\mathcal{F}$ (*which is called the* q*-th rigid cohomology overconvergent isocrystal*) *on* $(Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K$ *satisfying the following condition*: *For any* $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathcal{C}$ *with* $\mathcal{Z}$ *formally smooth over* $\mathcal{S}$ *on a neighborhood of* Z, *the restriction of* $\mathcal{F}$ *to* $I^{\dagger}((Z, \overline{Z})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Z})$ *is given functorially by* $(R^q f_{(X\times_Y Z, \overline{X}\times_{\overline{Y}}\overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$, *where* ϵ *is given by*

$$p_2^* R^q f_{(X\times_Y Z, \overline{X}\times_{\overline{Y}}\overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} \overset{\simeq}{\rightarrow} R^q f_{(X\times_Y Z, \overline{X}\times_{\overline{Y}}\overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}\times_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E} \overset{\simeq}{\leftarrow} p_1^* R^q f_{(X\times_Y Z, \overline{X}\times_{\overline{Y}}\overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z},\mathrm{rig}*}\mathcal{E}.$$

(*Here* p_i *is the morphism* $]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z}\times_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z}}$ *induced by the* i*-th projection.*)

The difference of Conjecture 5.5 compared to Conjecture 5.3 is the introduction of the category $\mathcal{C}$: Conjecture 5.5 is weaker than Conjecture 5.3 in the sense that $\mathcal{C}$ need not be equal to the category of all $(Y, \overline{Y})$-triples $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ over $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$. However, Conjecture 5.5 is strong enough because it requires the unique existence of $\mathcal{F}$: In other words, the category $\mathcal{C}$ must be big enough to characterize $\mathcal{F}$.

Remark 5.6. In the situation of Conjecture 5.5, let us take a $(Y, \overline{Y})$-triple $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ over $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ such that $(Z, \overline{Z}) \longrightarrow (Y, \overline{Y})$ is a strict Zariski open covering and that $\mathcal{Z}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{S}$ on a neighborhood of Z, and let us define the category $\mathcal{C}_0$ as the category of triples $(Z', \overline{Z}', \mathcal{Z}')$ over $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ such that $(Z', \overline{Z}') \longrightarrow (Z, \overline{Z})$ is a strict open immersion and that $\mathcal{Z}'$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{Z}$ on a neighborhood of Z'. By looking at the definition of 'q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent isocrystal' in [Ts2, 3.3.1], we see that, in the conjecture given in [Ts2], Tsuzuki allows only the category of the form $\mathcal{C}_0$ (for some $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$) as the category $\mathcal{C}$ in Conjecture 5.5. In this sense, Conjecture 5.5 is slightly weaker than the conjecture given in [Ts2].

Remark 5.7. Conjecture 5.5 is proved in the case where there exists a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{X} \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d] & \overline{Y} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d] & S \arrow[d] \\
\mathcal{P} \arrow[r, "f'"] & \mathcal{Y} \arrow[r, "g'"] & \mathcal{S},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where lower horizontal lines are morphisms in (pLFS/$\mathcal{B}$) such that f' is formally smooth on a neighborhood of X and g' is formally smooth on a neighborhood of Y ([Be2, Thm 5], [Ts2, 4.1.4]). A weaker result (generic overconvergence) is proved in the case of relative dimension 1 ([Ts2, 4.2.7]). In the case $\mathcal{E}$ is trivial, a result closely related to Conjecture 5.5 is proved when $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ is an abelian scheme ([E]) and when Y is a smooth curve ([M-Tr], using [Ke1]).

The main purpose of this section is to prove Conjecture 5.5 in the case where a given morphism $f : \overline{X} \longrightarrow \overline{Y}$ admits a nice log structure such that the coefficient $\mathcal{E}$ extends to $\overline{X}$ logarithmically. (For precise statement, see Theorem 5.14, Corollary 5.15.) To do this, we should relate overconvergent isocrystals to isocrystals on log convergent site. So, we give some preliminary results which are needed to construct a functor from the category of isocrystals on relative log convergent site to the category of overconvergent isocrystals.

In the following, for a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{A}$ on a site, we denote the category of $\mathcal{A}$-modules by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{A})$ and the category of coherent $\mathcal{A}$-modules by $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{A})$.

First let us assume given a diagram

(5.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{P}_0,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the notations are as follows: $(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})$ is a fine log B-scheme, $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme and $\mathcal{P}_0$ is a p-adic formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme. The horizontal arrows are closed immersions and the left vertical arrow is the 'forgetting log' morphism. (Here we regard (formal) schemes naturally as fine log (formal) schemes with trivial log structures.) Moreover, let us assume given an open immersion $j_X : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ satisfying $j_X(X) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ (this implies $j_X(X) \subseteq (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$) such that the morphism $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$ in the diagram (5.7) is formally etale on a neighborhood of X. Then we have a commutative diagram

(5.8)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\,]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \arrow[r, "j_X^{\log}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_X"'] & \,]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \arrow[d, "\varphi_X"'] \\
\,]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0} \arrow[r, "j_X"] & \,]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}
\end{tikzcd}$$

induced by (5.7) and j_X.

For the moment, we assume moreover that the closed immersion i admits a factorization

$$(*): \qquad (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}),$$

where the first map is an exact closed immersion and the second map is formally log etale morphism of p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-schemes. Let us recall the following:

Lemma 5.8. *Under the above assumption, ψ_X is an isomorphism and φ_X induces an isomorphism between some strict neighborhood of $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and some strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$ is formally etale on a neighborhood of X, there exists an isomorphism of a strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}$ and a strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ by strong fibration theorem. So we may reduce to the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0$ to prove the lemma. In this case, the upper horizontal line of (5.8) is rewritten as $]X[_{\mathcal{P}'} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}'}$. Since the log structures on $(\mathcal{P}', M_{\mathcal{P}'})$ and $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ are trivial on a neighborhood of X, the map $\mathcal{P}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ is formally etale on a neighborhood of X. So ψ_X is an isomorphism by weak fibration theorem and φ_X induces an isomorphism of some strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}'}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}'}$ and some strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}$, by strong fibration theorem. $\square$

For two strict neighborhoods $V \subseteq W$ of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ (resp. $]X[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$), we denote the admissible open immersion $V \hookrightarrow W$ by α_{VW} (resp. $\alpha^{\log}_{VW}$) and we denote $\alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$ (resp. $\alpha^{\log}_{V]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$) simply by α_V (resp. $\alpha^{\log}_V$). Then the admissible open immersions $j_X, j_X^{\log}$ induce the functors $j_X^\dagger, j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ which are defined by $j_X^\dagger := \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_V^{-1}, j_X^\dagger := \varinjlim_V \alpha^{\log}_{V,*}\alpha_V^{\log,-1}$. It is known that $j_X^\dagger$ (resp. $j_X^{\log,\dagger}$) sends $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$-modules (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-modules) to $j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$-modules (resp. $j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-modules) and coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$-modules (resp. coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-modules) to coherent $j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$-modules (resp. coherent $j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-modules). Then we have the following:

Lemma 5.9. *The functor* $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}})$ *is exact and send coherent modules to coherent modules. We have the equalities of functors*

$$j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} = R(j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*}) = j_X^\dagger \circ R\varphi_{X,*} : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow D^+(\mathrm{Mod}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}})),$$

$$j_X^\dagger = j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} \circ \varphi_X^* : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}),$$

$$\varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger} = j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} : \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the functor $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*}$ is left exact. To show the right exactness, let us take a strict neighborhood V_0 of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ such that $\varphi_X^{-1}(V_0)$ is isomorphic to V_0 via φ_X. Then, for any surjection $\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ of $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-modules, $(\varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{F}_1)|_{V_0} \longrightarrow (\varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{F}_2)|_{V_0}$ is surjective. Now recall that the functor $\varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_{VV_0}^{-1}$ (where V runs through strict neighborhoods contained in V_0) is exact ([Be3, 2.1.3]). By applying this functor, we obtain the surjection $(j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*})\mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow (j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*})\mathcal{F}_2$. So $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*}$ is exact. Moreover, for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module $\mathcal{F}$, $(\varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{F})|_{V_0}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{V_0}$-modules and so $(j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*})\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_{VV_0}^{-1}((\varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{F})|_{V_0})$ is a coherent $j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module. So $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*}$ sends coherent modules to coherent modules.

The first equalities in the lemma follows from the exactness of $j_X^\dagger$ and $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*}$. The second equality of the lemma is proved as

$$\begin{aligned}
j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} \circ \varphi_X^* &= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_V^{-1}\varphi_{X,*}\varphi_X^* \\
&= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}(\varphi_X|_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)})_*\alpha^{\log,-1}_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)}\varphi_X^* \\
&= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}(\varphi_X|_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)})_*(\varphi_X|_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)})^*\alpha_V^{-1} = \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_V^{-1} = j_X^\dagger,
\end{aligned}$$

where the fourth equality follows from Lemma 5.8.

Finally we prove the last equality of the lemma. The map of functors $j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} \longrightarrow \varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
j_X^\dagger \circ \varphi_{X,*} &= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\alpha_V^{-1}\varphi_{X,*} = \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}(\varphi_X|_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)})_*\alpha^{\log,-1}_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)} \\
&= \varinjlim_V \varphi_{X,*}\alpha^{\log}_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V),*}\alpha^{\log,-1}_{\varphi_X^{-1}(V)} \longrightarrow \varphi_{X,*}\varinjlim_V \alpha^{\log}_{\varphi^{-1}(V),*}\alpha^{\log,-1}_{\varphi^{-1}(V)} = \varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}.
\end{aligned}$$

We prove the exactness of $\varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ on $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}})$. Since φ_X is isomorphic on $\varphi_X^{-1}(V)$, the restriction functor $\varphi_X^* : \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^{\log,\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}})$ is an equivalence of categories by [Be3, 2.1.4, 2.1.10]. Moreover, since $\mathcal{P}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ (where $\mathcal{P}'$ is as in the diagram $(*)$ before Lemma 5.8) is formally etale on a neighborhood of X, we have, for any $E \in \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^\dagger\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}})$, the equality $R\varphi_{X,*}\varphi_X^*E = E$ by a special case of [C-T, 8.3.5]. Hence, for any $F \in \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^{\log,\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, we have $R\varphi_{X,*}F = \varphi_{X,*}F$. Since $j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ is exact, we can conclude that the functor $\varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ is exact on $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}})$. So, to prove that the map $j_X^{\dagger} \circ \varphi_{X,*} \longrightarrow \varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}$ is isomorphic on $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}})$, it suffices to check the isomorphism

$$j_X^{\dagger} \circ \varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}.$$

It is true since the left hand side is calculated as

$$j_X^{\dagger} \circ \varphi_{X,*}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}} = \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V,*}\mathcal{O}_V = j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}$$

and the right hand side is calculated as

$$\varphi_{X,*} \circ j_X^{\log,\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}} = \varphi_{X,*}\varphi_X^{*} j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}} = j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}.$$

So we are done. □

Definition 5.10. *With the above notation, we define the functor* $j_X^{\ddagger} : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}})$ *by* $j_X^{\ddagger} := j_X^{\dagger} \circ \varphi_{X,*}$.

Next let us consider the case where the closed immersion $i : (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ does not necessarily admit a factorization $(*)$. Also in this case, we can define the functor $j_X^{\ddagger} : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}(j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}})$ by $j_X^{\ddagger} := j_X^{\dagger} \circ \varphi_{X,*}$. Then we have the following:

Proposition 5.11. *With the above assumption, the functor* $j_X^{\ddagger}$ *sends coherent modules to coherent modules and we have* $Rj_X^{\ddagger}E = j_X^{\ddagger}E$ *for a coherent* $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$*-module* E.

Proof. First, let us factorize the map $\varphi_X :]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ as $]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\varphi'_X}]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}} \xrightarrow{\varphi''_X}]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$. Then there exists a strict neighborhood V_0 of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ such that ${\varphi''_X}^{-1}(V_0)$ is isomorphic to V_0 via φ''_X. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} j_X^{\ddagger} &= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0},*}\alpha_{VV_0}^{-1}\alpha_{V_0]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0}}^{-1}\varphi''_{X,*}\varphi'_{X,*} \\ &= \varinjlim_V \alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0},*}\alpha_{VV_0}^{*}(\varphi''_X|_{{\varphi''_X}^{-1}(V_0)})_*\alpha^{*}_{{\varphi''_X}^{-1}(V_0)]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}\varphi'_{X,*}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\varphi''_X|_{{\varphi''_X}^{-1}(V_0)}$ is an isomorphism, $\varinjlim_V \alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0},*}\alpha_{VV_0}^{-1}$ is an exact functor sending coherent modules to coherent modules and the same is true if we replace V_0 by a smaller strict neighborhood. So it suffices to prove that, for some strict neighborhood V of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}$ contained in ${\varphi''}_X^{-1}(V_0)$, the functor $\alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}^{-1}\varphi'_{X,*}$ sends coherent modules to coherent modules and that $\alpha_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}^{-1}R^q\varphi'_{X,*}E = 0$ holds for any coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module E and $q \geq 1$.

Next, let us take a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[r, "i^{(\bullet)}"] \arrow[d] & (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \arrow[d, "g"] \\
(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[r, "i"] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}),
\end{tikzcd}$$

where g is a strict formally etale hypercovering such that each $i^{(n)}$ admits a factorization like $(*)$ and that each $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ is affine over $\mathcal{P}$. Then g induces a morphism $\widetilde{g} :]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \longrightarrow$

$]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then, for a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}$-module E, we have $\alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q\varphi'_{X,*}E = \alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q(\varphi'_X \circ \widetilde{g})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E)\,(q \geq 0)$ by Lemma 4.4. Let us consider the following factorization of $\varphi'_X \circ \widetilde{g}$:

$$]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \xrightarrow{\gamma^{(\bullet)}}]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \xrightarrow{\beta^{(\bullet)}}]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Then we have $\alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q(\varphi'_X \circ \widetilde{g})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E) = \alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)} \circ \gamma^{(\bullet)})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E)$ for $q \geq 0$.

Let us fix $q \geq 0$ and put $q' := \max(2, q(q+1)/2)$. By Lemma 5.8, there exist a strict neighborhood $V^{(n)}$ of $]X^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}$ in $]\overline{X}^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}$ (here $X^{(n)} := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}^{(n)}$) for each $n \leq q'$ such that $\gamma^{(n),-1}(V^{(n)})$ is isomorphic to $V^{(n)}$ via $\gamma^{(n)}$. Since a standard strict neighborhood of $]X^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}$ in $]\overline{X}^{(n)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}}$ is the inverse image of a standard strict neighborhood of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}$, we can assume by shrinking $V^{(n)}$ that $\beta^{(n),-1}(V) = V^{(n)}$ holds (for $n \leq q'$) for some strict neighborhood V of $]X[_{\mathcal{P}}$ in $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}$. Then we put $V^{(\bullet)} := \beta^{(\bullet),-1}(V), \widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)} := \gamma^{(\bullet),-1}(V^{(\bullet)})$. (Then we have the isomorphism $\gamma^{(n)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(n)}} : \widetilde{V}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{(n)}$ for $n \leq q'$.) If we put $F^{(\bullet)} := \alpha^{-1}_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}}}\widetilde{g}^*E$, we have $\alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)} \circ \gamma^{(\bullet)})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E) = R^q((\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}}) \circ (\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}}))_*F^{(\bullet)}$.

Let us consider the morphism $\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}} : V^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow V$: For any sufficiently small affinoid admissible open set $U := \mathrm{Spm}\,(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A) \hookrightarrow V$, the morphism $V^{(\bullet)} \times_V U \longrightarrow U$ induced by $\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}}$ is the one induced by some affine formally etale hypercovering of $\mathrm{Spf}\,A$. So we have the descent property and the cohomological descent property for coherent modules for the morphism $\beta|_{V^{(\bullet)}}$ ([C-T, 7.3.3]). Since $\gamma^{(n)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(n)}}$ is an isomorphism for $n \leq q'$, $\{(\gamma^{(n)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(n)}})_*F^{(n)}\}_{n \leq q'}$ forms a compatible family of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{V^{(n)}}$-modules. So there exists a coherent $\mathcal{O}_V$-module G and a homomorphism $(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})^*G \longrightarrow (\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)}$ which is an isomorphism for $\bullet \leq q'$.

Since we have $R^t(\gamma^{(u)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(u)}})_*F^{(u)} = 0$ for $u \leq q'$ and $t > 0$, we have the equality $R^v(\beta^{(u)}|_{V^{(u)}})_*R^t(\gamma^{(u)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(u)}})_*F^{(u)} = 0$ for $u \leq q', v \geq 0, t > 0$. By the spectral sequence

$$E_1^{u,v} := R^v(\beta^{(u)}|_{V^{(u)}})_*R^t(\gamma^{(u)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(u)}})_*F^{(u)} \implies R^{u+v}(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*R^t(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)},$$

we obtain the equality $R^s(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*R^t(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)} = 0$ for $s \leq q', t > 0$. Then, by the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} := R^s(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*R^t(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)} \implies R^{s+t}((\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}}) \circ (\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}}))_*F^{(\bullet)},$$

we obtain the equality $R^q((\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}}) \circ (\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}}))_*F^{(\bullet)} = R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)}$. Now let us consider the commutative diagram

(5.9)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E_1^{u,v} := R^v(\beta^{(u)}|_{V^{(u)}})_*(\gamma^{(u)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(u)}})_*F^{(u)} \arrow[r, Rightarrow] & R^{u+v}(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)} \\
E_1^{u,v} := R^v(\beta^{(u)}|_{V^{(u)}})_*(\beta^{(u)}|_{V^{(u)}})^*G \arrow[r, Rightarrow] \arrow[u] & R^{u+v}(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})^*G. \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism for $u \leq q'$, the morphism

$$R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})^*G \longrightarrow R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)}$$

induced by the right vertical arrow in (5.9) is an isomorphism and by the cohomological descent property for $\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}}$, we have $(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})^*G = G$, $R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})^*G = 0\,(q \geq 1)$. So we have $(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)} = G$ and $R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)}|_{V^{(\bullet)}})_*(\gamma^{(\bullet)}|_{\widetilde{V}^{(\bullet)}})_*F^{(\bullet)}$

$= 0\,(q \geq 1)$. These imply the equalities $\alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}}(\beta^{(\bullet)} \circ \gamma^{(\bullet)})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E) = G$, $\alpha^{-1}_{V]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}} R^q(\beta^{(\bullet)} \circ \gamma^{(\bullet)})_*(\widetilde{g}^*E) = 0\,(q \geq 1)$. So we are done. □

Now assume that we are given a diagram

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \xrightarrow{f} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}), \tag{5.10}$$

where f is a morphism in (LS/$\mathcal{B}$), $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is an object in (pLFS/$\mathcal{B}$) and ι is a closed immersion. Assume also that we are given open immersions $X \subseteq \overline{X}, Y \subseteq \overline{Y}$ satisfying $X \subseteq (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$, $Y \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ and $f(X) \subseteq Y$.

Assume for the moment that the above diagram fits into the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \arrow[r, "i"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}}) \arrow[d, "g"'] \\
(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.11}$$

where $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ is a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme, g is a formally log smooth morphism and i is a closed immersion satisfying $X \subseteq (\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(\mathcal{P}(n), M_{\mathcal{P}(n)})$ be the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\mathcal{P}, M_{\mathcal{P}})$ over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and let $\mathcal{P}_0(n)$ be the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $\mathcal{P}$ over $\mathcal{Y}$. Then we have the exact functors

$$j_X^{\ddagger} : \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(n)}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(j_X^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}_0(n)}})$$

which are compatible with pull-backs by the projections

$$p_i :]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(0)} \;\; (i = 1, 2), \qquad p_{ij} :]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(2)} \longrightarrow]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)} \;\; (1 \leq i < j \leq 3)$$

and the diagonal $\Delta :]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(0)} \hookrightarrow]\overline{X}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}(1)}$. So they naturally induce the functor

$$I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Str}''((\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{P}) = I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}_K),$$

which we denote also by $j_X^{\ddagger}$.

In the case where there does not necessarily exist the diagram (5.11), we can construct the diagram

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \xleftarrow{h} (\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \overset{i^{(\bullet)}}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}),$$

where h is a strict etale hypercovering, $i^{(\bullet)}$ is a closed immersion over ι such that each $(\mathcal{P}^{(n)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(n)}})$ is formally log smooth over $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and $X^{(\bullet)} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ holds, where $X^{(\bullet)} := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}^{(\bullet)}$. If we denote the category of descent data with respect to $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\overline{X}^{(n)}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$ (resp. $I^{\dagger}((X^{(n)}, \overline{X}^{(n)})/\mathcal{Y})$) for $n = 0, 1, 2$ simply by Δ_{conv} (resp. $\Delta^{\dagger}$), the functor $j_X^{\ddagger}$ in the previous paragraph naturally induces the functor $\Delta_{\mathrm{conv}} \longrightarrow \Delta^{\dagger}$. So we have the canonical functor

$$I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Delta_{\mathrm{conv}} \longrightarrow \Delta^{\dagger} \xleftarrow{\sim} I^{\dagger}((X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}),$$

which we denote by $j_X^{\ddagger}$. It is easy to check that the definition of the functor $j_X^{\ddagger}$ is independent of any choice which we have made.

Remark 5.12. Let the situation be as above and let $\mathcal{E}$ be an object in $I_{\mathrm{conv}}((\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log})$. Then, associated to $\mathcal{E}$, we have a coherent $\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}}$-module $\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)}$ with integrable log connection

$$\nabla : \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}}} \omega^1_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K}.$$

On the other hand, associated to $j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}$, we have a coherent $j^{\dagger}_X\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}}$-module with integrable connection of the form

$$\nabla' : j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}^{(\bullet)} \otimes_{j^{\dagger}_X\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}}} j^{\dagger}_X\Omega^1_{]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K}.$$

By construction of the functor $j^{\ddagger}_X$, we can see that $j^{\ddagger}_X(\nabla) = \nabla'$ holds. So we have the canonical isomorphism of de Rham complexes $j^{\ddagger}_X\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E})$. Note that, since each term of $\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$ is coherent, we have the quasi-isomorphism $j^{\ddagger}_X\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) = Rj^{\ddagger}_X\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E})$ by Proposition 5.11.

Now we prove our first main result in this section:

Theorem 5.13. *Assume we are given a diagram*

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \xrightarrow{f} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \tag{5.12}$$

and open immersions $j_X : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, j_Y : Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ *satisfying* $X \subseteq (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})_{\mathrm{triv}}, Y \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ *and* $f^{-1}(Y) = X$*, where* f *is a proper log smooth integral morphism in* (LS/B) *having log smooth parameter and* ι *is a closed immersion in* $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$*. Then, for a locally free isocrystal* $\mathcal{E}$ *on* $(\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ *and* $q \geq 0$*, we have the isomorphism*

$$j^{\ddagger}_Y R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}$$

of $j^{\dagger}_Y\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}}$*-modules. In particular,* $R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}$ *is a coherent* $j^{\dagger}_Y\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}}$*-module.*

Proof. First we construct the map $j^{\ddagger}_Y R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j^{\ddagger}_X\mathcal{E}$. Let us take an embedding system

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \longleftarrow (\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})$$

satisfying $X^{(\bullet)} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$, where $X^{(\bullet)} := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}^{(\bullet)}$. Then we have the diagram of rigid analytic spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \arrow[r, "h^{\log}"] \arrow[d] &]\overline{Y}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}} \arrow[d] \\
]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}} \arrow[r, "h"] &]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\spadesuit)\quad Rj_Y^{\ddagger}Rf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} &= Rj_Y^{\ddagger}Rh_*^{\log}\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K,\mathcal{E}) \\
&\longrightarrow Rh_*Rj_X^{\ddagger}\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K,\mathcal{E}) \ \ \text{(induced by } j_Y^{\ddagger}\circ h_*^{\log}\to h_*\circ j_X^{\ddagger}) \\
&\overset{\sim}{\longleftarrow} Rh_*j_X^{\ddagger}\mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K,\mathcal{E}) \ \ \text{(Remark 5.12)} \\
&= Rh_*\mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K,j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}) \ \ \text{(Remark 5.12)} \\
&= Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E},
\end{aligned}
$$

and by taking the q-th cohomology sheaf of the above map, we obtain the map

$$j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}\longrightarrow R^qf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*}j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}. \tag{5.13}$$

(We have $\mathcal{H}^q(Rj_Y^{\ddagger}Rf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}) = j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ by Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 5.11.) We will prove that the map (5.13) is an isomorphism.

Let us take a strict formally etale hypercovering $\epsilon : (\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ such that, if we put $(Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}) := (Y, M_Y)\times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})}(\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}})$, the closed immersion $(\overline{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\overline{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}})$ admits a factorization

$$(\overline{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\overline{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}'^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}'^{\langle m\rangle}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}})$$

for each m, where the first map is an exact closed immersion and the second map is formally log etale. Then, since $R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ is coherent, we have $R\epsilon_*\epsilon^*j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ $= \epsilon_*\epsilon^*j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ by [C-T, 7.3.3], where we denoted the morphism $]\overline{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}\longrightarrow]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}$ also by ϵ. Moreover, we have the equality of functors $j_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}^{\ddagger}\epsilon^* = \epsilon^*j_Y^{\ddagger}$ for coherent modules and the base change isomorphism $\epsilon^*R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = R^qf_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}$, where $\mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{E}$ to $\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}$. (This follows from the analytically flat base change theorem, Theorem 4.6, Remark 4.2 and Lemma 2.16.) So we have the isomorphism

$$\epsilon_*j_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle} = j_Y^{\ddagger}R^qf_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}. \tag{5.14}$$

On the other hand, let

$$(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}) \hookleftarrow (\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}) \longrightarrow (\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}) \longrightarrow (\overline{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\overline{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}})$$

be the pull-back of the diagram

$$(\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \hookleftarrow (\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \longrightarrow (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$$

by ϵ and denote the induced morphisms

$$]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}\longrightarrow]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}, \qquad]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}\longrightarrow]\overline{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}$$

by $\widetilde{h}, \breve{h}$, respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E} &= Rh_* \mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}) \\
&= R\widetilde{h}_* \mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}) \qquad \text{([C-T, 7.3.3, 9.1.1])} \\
&= R\widetilde{h}_* \mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}/\mathcal{Y}_K^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}) \\
&= R\epsilon_* R\breve{h}_* \mathrm{DR}^\dagger(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)\langle\bullet\rangle}}/\mathcal{Y}_K^{\langle\bullet\rangle}, j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}) \\
&= R\epsilon_* Rf_{(X^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle})/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, if we have the isomorphism

$$j_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^q f_{(X^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle})/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E} \qquad (q \geq 0), \tag{5.15}$$

we have

$$R^s\epsilon_* R^q f_{(X^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle})/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E} = R^s\epsilon_* j_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E} = R^s\epsilon_*\epsilon^* j_Y^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E} = 0$$

for $s > 0$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E} &= \epsilon_* R^q f_{(X^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle})/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E} \\
&\cong \epsilon_* j_{Y^{\langle\bullet\rangle}}^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}^{\langle\bullet\rangle}/\mathcal{Y}^{\langle\bullet\rangle},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E} = j_Y^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the theorem is reduced to the isomorphism (5.15). To prove the isomorphism (5.15), we may replace $\bullet$ by $m \in \mathbb{N}$. So, to prove the theorem, we may replace $(Y, M_Y) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_\mathcal{Y})$ by $(Y^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{Y^{\langle m\rangle}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{\langle m\rangle}})$, that is, we may assume that $(Y, M_Y) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_\mathcal{Y})$ admits a factorization

$$(Y, M_Y) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_\mathcal{Y}),$$

where the first map is an exact closed immersion and the second map is formally log etale.

Next, let us put $(\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}) := (\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_\mathcal{Y})} (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$. Then the second projection $(\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'})$ and the map $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_\mathcal{Y})$ induce the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}} \arrow[r, "h'^{\log}"] \arrow[d] &]\overline{Y}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}'} \arrow[r, "\gamma^{\log}"] \arrow[d, "\varphi'_Y"'] &]\overline{Y}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Y}} \arrow[d, "\varphi_Y"'] \\
]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}} \arrow[r, "h'"] &]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}'} \arrow[r, "\gamma"] &]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and by definition of log tubular neighborhood, $\gamma^{\log}, \varphi'_Y$ are isomorphisms. (Hence we have $\gamma = \varphi_Y$.) Then we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}
j_Y^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E} &= j_Y^\ddagger \gamma_*^{\log} R^q h'^{\log}_* \mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \qquad (*) \\
&= j_Y^\ddagger \gamma_*^{\log} R^q h'^{\log}_* \mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}'_K, \mathcal{E}) \qquad (**) \\
&= \gamma_* j_Y^\ddagger R^q h'^{\log}_* \mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}'_K, \mathcal{E}) \qquad (***) \\
&= \gamma_* j_Y^\ddagger R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{an}*} \mathcal{E},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(*), (**), (***)$ are isomorphisms by the following reasons: $(*)$ is an isomorphism since we can calculate the log analytic cohomology by using the formally log smooth morphism $(\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$. $(**)$ is an isomorphism because $(\mathcal{Y}', M_{\mathcal{Y}'}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is formally log etale. $(***)$ is an isomorphism because we have

$$j_Y^{\ddagger} \circ \gamma_*^{\log} = \varphi_{Y,*} \circ j_Y^{\dagger,\log} \circ \gamma_*^{\log} = \gamma_* \circ j_Y^{\dagger} \circ \varphi'_{Y,*} = \gamma_* \circ j_Y^{\ddagger}$$

for coherent modules by Lemma 5.9. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} &= R\gamma_* Rh'_* \mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}) \\ &= R\gamma_* Rh'_* \mathrm{DR}^{\dagger}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[_{\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}'_K, j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}) \\ &= R\gamma_* Rf_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} \end{aligned}$$

because we can calculate the relative rigid cohomology by using $\mathcal{P}'^{(\bullet)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Y}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is formally etale on a neighborhood of Y. So, if we have the isomorphism

$$j_Y^{\ddagger} R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\cong} R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}, \tag{5.16}$$

we have $R^s\gamma_* R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} = 0$ for $s > 0$ (which follows from [C-T, 8.3.5] and the fact that $\gamma^* : \mathrm{Coh}(j_Y^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(j_Y^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}'}})$ is an equivalence of categories) and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} &= \gamma_* R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} \\ &\cong \gamma_* j_Y^{\ddagger} R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y}',\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E} = j_Y^{\ddagger} R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

So the theorem is reduced to the isomorphism (5.16). Hence, to prove the theorem, we may assume that the map $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is an exact closed immersion.

Next, let $\{(\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m})\}_m$ be the system of universal enlargements of $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and let

$$(\overline{X}_m, M_{\overline{X}_m}) \longrightarrow (\overline{Y}_m, M_{\overline{Y}_m}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m})$$

be the pull-back of the diagram (5.12) by $(\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ and put $X_m := X \times_{\overline{X}} \overline{X}_m$. Then we have the admissible covering $]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{Y}_{m,K}$ and it is easy to see that the restriction of $j_Y^{\ddagger} R^q f_{\overline{X}/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ (resp. $R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$) to $\mathcal{Y}_{m,K}$ is naturally isomorphic to $j_Y^{\ddagger} R^q f_{\overline{X}_m/\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathrm{an}*}\mathcal{E}$ (resp. $R^q f_{(X_m,\overline{X}_m)/\mathcal{Y}_m,\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$). So, we may replace $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ by $(\overline{Y}_m, M_{\overline{Y}_m}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}_m, M_{\mathcal{Y}_m})$ to prove the theorem: So we can reduce to the case where $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion.

Now we prove that the map (5.13) is an isomorphism under the assumption that $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is a homeomorphic exact closed immersion. To show this, it suffices to prove that the map

$$Rj_Y^{\ddagger} Rh_*^{\log} \mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Rh_* Rj_X^{\ddagger} \mathrm{DR}(]\overline{X}^{(\bullet)}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{P}^{(\bullet)}}/\mathcal{Y}_K, \mathcal{E}) \tag{5.17}$$

in (♠) is a quasi-isomorphism. We may replace $\bullet$ by $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and by taking a Zariski covering of $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ (and the induced covering of $\overline{X}^{(m)}$), we may reduce to the case where $\overline{X}^{(m)}$ is affine. Then there exists a formally log smooth morphism $(\mathcal{Q}, M_{\mathcal{Q}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ with $(\mathcal{Q}, M_{\mathcal{Q}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) = (\overline{X}^{(m)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(m)}})$. Then, the both hand sides and the map in (5.17) (with $\bullet = m$) are unchanged in derived category if we replace $(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}})$ by $(\mathcal{Q}, M_{\mathcal{Q}})$. So we can reduce to the case that the morphism $(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ satisfies the equality $(\mathcal{P}^{(m)}, M_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}) \times_{(\mathcal{Y},M_{\mathcal{Y}})} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) = (\overline{X}^{(m)}, M_{\overline{X}^{(m)}})$. In this case, we can easily see that the map (5.17) (with $\bullet = m$) is an isomorphism, because we can see the equality of functors $j_Y^\dagger \circ h_*^{\log} \xrightarrow{=} h_* \circ j_X^\dagger$ in this case, by the quasi-compactness and quasi-separatedness of the morphism $]\overline{X}[_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = \mathcal{P}_K^{(m)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_K =]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}$. So we have finished the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Now let us take a triple of the form $(S, S, \mathcal{S})$ and assume that we are given a diagram

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \xrightarrow{f} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \xrightarrow{g} S$$

and open immersions $j_X : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, j_Y : Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ with $X \subseteq (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})_{\text{triv}}, Y \subseteq (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}})_{\text{triv}}$ and $f^{-1}(Y) = X$, where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism in (LS/B) having log smooth parameter and g is a morphism in (LS/B). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free isocrystal on $(\overline{X}/\mathcal{S})_{\text{conv}}^{\log}$. Then we have the following theorem, which is the second main result in this section.

Theorem 5.14. *Let the notation be as above. Then, for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists uniquely an overconvergent isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ on $(Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K$ satisfying the following condition: For any strict morphism $(\overline{Z}, M_{\overline{Z}}) \longrightarrow (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}})$, open immersion $Z \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$ with $Z \subseteq Y \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z}$ and a closed immersion $(\overline{Z}, M_{\overline{Z}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ into a p-adic fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ formally log smooth over $\mathcal{S}$ satisfying $Z \subseteq (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})_{\text{triv}}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to the category $I^\dagger((Z, \overline{Z})/\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Z})$ is given functorially by $(R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \text{rig}*} j_X^\dagger \mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$, where ϵ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} p_2^* R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \text{rig}*} j_X^\dagger \mathcal{E} &\xrightarrow{\sim} R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}, \text{rig}*} j_X^\dagger \mathcal{E} \\ &\xleftarrow{\sim} p_1^* R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \text{rig}*} j_X^\dagger \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here $p_i \ (i = 1, 2)$ denotes the i-th projection $]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow]\overline{Z}[_{\mathcal{Z}}$.)

Proof. By Corollary 4.10, there exists an isocrystal $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ on $(\overline{Y}/\mathcal{S})_{\text{conv}}^{\log}$ such that, for the closed immersion $(\overline{Z}, M_{\overline{Z}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ as above, $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ induces via the functor

$$I_{\text{conv}}((Y/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I_{\text{conv}}((Z/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \simeq \text{Str}''((Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$$

the object $(R^q f_{\overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z}/\mathcal{Z}, \text{an}*} \mathcal{E}, \widetilde{\epsilon})$, where $\widetilde{\epsilon}$ is the canonical isomorphism

$$p_2^* R^q f_{\overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z}/\mathcal{Z}, \text{an}*} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q f_{\overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z}/\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}, \text{an}*} \mathcal{E} \xleftarrow{\sim} p_1^* R^q f_{\overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z}/\mathcal{Z}, \text{an}*} \mathcal{E}.$$

(Here p_i denotes the i-th projection $]\overline{Z}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z} \times_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{Z}} \longrightarrow]\overline{Z}[^{\log}_{\mathcal{Z}}$.) Then, by Theorem 5.13, one can see that the overconvergent isocrystal $\mathcal{F} := j_Y^\dagger \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the one which satisfies the condition of the theorem.

We prove the uniqueness of $\mathcal{F}$. Take an embedding system

$$(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \longleftarrow (\overline{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(\bullet)}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}}) \tag{5.18}$$

satisfying $Y^{(\bullet)} \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}})_{\text{triv}}$, where $Y^{(\bullet)} := Y \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Y}^{(\bullet)}$. Let us denote the category of descent data with respect to $I^\dagger((Y^{(n)}, \overline{Y}^{(n)})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}) \ (n = 0, 1, 2)$ by $I^\dagger((Y^{(\bullet)}, \overline{Y}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)})$. Then, by the condition required for $\mathcal{F}$ in the case where $Z, (\overline{Z}, M_{\overline{Z}}), (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ are equal to $Y^{(n)}, (\overline{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}}), (\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}})$ respectively (for $n = 0, 1, 2$), the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ by the functor

$$I^\dagger((Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K) \longrightarrow I^\dagger((Y^{(\bullet)}, \overline{Y}^{(\bullet)})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}) \tag{5.19}$$

is uniquely determined. Since the functor (5.19) is an equivalence of categories by Proposition 5.1, we have the uniqueness of $\mathcal{F}$. So the proof of the theorem is finished. $\square$

Corollary 5.15. *Let* $(X, \overline{X}) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \overline{Y}) \xrightarrow{g} (S, S)$ *be the morphism of pairs induced by the situation in Theorem 5.14 and let* $\mathcal{E}$ *be as in Theorem 5.14. Then Conjecture 5.5 (the version without Frobenius structure) is true for the overconvergent isocrystal* $j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}$*.*

Proof. Let us take a diagram

$$(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \xleftarrow{g^{(0)}} (\overline{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}}) \overset{i^{(0)}}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}), \tag{5.20}$$

where $g^{(0)}$ is a strict etale surjective morphism, $i^{(0)}$ is a closed immersion in $(p\mathrm{LFS}/\mathcal{B})$ with $Y^{(0)} \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ (where $Y^{(0)} := Y \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Y}^{(0)}$), $(\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}})$ is formally log smooth over $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{S}$. Using this diagram, we define the category $\mathcal{C}$ as the category of triples $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ over $(Y^{(0)}, \overline{Y}^{(0)}, \mathcal{Y}^{(0)})$ such that $\mathcal{Z}$ is formally smooth over $\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}$.

Let us take an object $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and let $M_{\overline{Z}}, M_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the log structure on $\overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z}$ defined as the pull-back of $M_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}$, respectively. Then the open immersion $Z \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$ and the closed immersion $(\overline{Z}, M_{\overline{Z}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, M_{\mathcal{Z}})$ satisfies the condition required in the statement of Theorem 5.14. So, by Theorem 5.14, the restriction of the overconvergent isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ by $I^\dagger((Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K) \longrightarrow I^\dagger((Z, \overline{Z})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Z})$ is given by $(R^q f_{(X \times_Y Z, \overline{X} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Z})/\mathcal{Z}, \mathrm{rig}*} j_X^\ddagger \mathcal{E}, \epsilon)$ as in the statement of Theorem 5.14. Moreover, if we define $(\overline{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}})$) to be the $(n+1)$-fold fiber product of $(\overline{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}})$ (resp. $(\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}})$) over $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}$) and if we put $Y^{(n)} := Y \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{Y}^{(n)}$, we have the embedding system (5.18) and the triple $(Y^{(n)}, \overline{Y}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n)})$ is contained in the category $\mathcal{C}$. From these facts, we can deduce the uniqueness of the overconvergent isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ in the same way as the proof of Theorem 5.14. So we are done. $\square$

Finally, we give a result on Frobenius structure on the overconvergent isocrystal which is constructed in Theorem 5.14.

In the following, let us assume that k is perfect and that $(\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}})$ is the formal scheme $\operatorname{Spf} W$ endowed with trivial log structure. (So $(B, M_B) := (\mathcal{B}, M_{\mathcal{B}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p$ is the scheme $\operatorname{Spec} k$ endowed with trivial log sturucture.) Let V be a finite totally ramified extension of W, let π be a uniformizer of V and put $\mathcal{S} := \operatorname{Spf} V$, $S := \operatorname{Spec} V/\pi V = B$.

Let us fix an integer q which is a power of p. For a fine log scheme (X, M_X) over $\mathbb{F}_p$, let F_X be the q-th power Frobenius (the endomorphism $(X, M_X) \longrightarrow (X, M_X)$ induced by the q-th power endomorphism of the structure sheaf). Assume that we have an endomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ which lifts $F_S : S \longrightarrow S$ and fix it. Then, if we have a pair $(X, \overline{X})$ over (S, S), we have the canonical functor

$$F_X^* : I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{S})^{\log}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathrm{conv}}((X/\mathcal{S})^{\log})$$

induced by the morphisms F_X and σ. An overconvergent F-isocrystal on $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$ is defined to be a pair $(\mathcal{G}, \alpha)$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is an overconvergent isocrystal on $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$ and α is an isomorphism $F_X^* \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}$. α is called a Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{G}$.

Now assume that we are given a diagram

$$(\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}}) \xrightarrow{f} (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \xrightarrow{g} S$$

and open immersions $j_X : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, j_Y : Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ with $X \subseteq (\overline{X}, M_{\overline{X}})_{\mathrm{triv}}, Y \subseteq (\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$ and $f^{-1}(Y) = X$, where f is a proper log smooth integral morphism in (LS/B) having log smooth parameter and g is a morphism in (LS/B). Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a locally free isocrystal on $(\overline{X}/\mathcal{S})^{\log}_{\mathrm{conv}}$ such that $j^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$ (which is an overconvergent isocrystal on $(X, \overline{X})/\mathcal{S}_K$) admits a Frobenius structure $\alpha : F_X^* j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\simeq} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$. By Corollary 5.15, we have the q-th rigid cohomology overconvergent isocrystal $\mathcal{F}$ of $j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$, which is an overconvergent isocrystal on $(Y, \overline{Y})/\mathcal{S}_K$. Then we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.16. *With the above notation, there exists the canonical Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{F}$ which is induced by the Frobenius structure α on $j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. Let us take a diagram

$$(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \xleftarrow{g^{(0)}} (\overline{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}}) \overset{i^{(0)}}{\hookrightarrow} (\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}})$$

as in the proof of Corollary 5.15 endowed with the endomorphism $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}} : (\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}, M_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}})$ which is compatible with $F_{\overline{Y}^{(0)}}$ and σ, and let us define the category $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as the category of triples $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$ in $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with an endomorphism $\sigma_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ compatible with $F_{\overline{Z}}, \sigma$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}$. For $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z}, \sigma_{\mathcal{Z}})$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $I^{\dagger}((Z, \overline{Z})/\mathcal{S}_K, \mathcal{Z})$. Then it suffices to introduce a Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ in functorial way: Indeed, if we define $(\overline{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}})$, $(\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}})$, $Y^{(n)}$ as in the proof of Corollary 5.15 and if we define $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}} : (\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, M_{\overline{Y}^{(n)}})$ as the morphism induced by $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(0)}}$, we have the embedding system (5.18) endowed with $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(\bullet)}}$ and we have $(Y^{(n)}, \overline{Y}^{(n)}, \mathcal{Y}^{(n)}, \sigma_{\mathcal{Y}^{(n)}}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$. So we can define the Frobenius structure on the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ by the equivalence of categories (5.19).

So let us take $(Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z}, \sigma_{\mathcal{Z}})$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and rewrite $Z \times_Y X, \overline{Z} \times_{\overline{Y}} \overline{X}, Z, \overline{Z}, \mathcal{Z}, \sigma_{\mathcal{Z}}$ as $X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}, \mathcal{Y}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}$, respectively. Then we are in the situation where there exists a closed immersion $(\overline{Y}, M_{\overline{Y}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ into a fine log formal $\mathcal{B}$-scheme $(\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ formally log smooth over $\mathcal{S}$ with $Y \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})_{\mathrm{triv}}$, endowed with an endomorphism $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}} : (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{Y}, M_{\mathcal{Y}})$ compatible with $F_{\overline{Y}}$ and σ. In this situation, we have the canonical homomorphism of $j_Y^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}}$-modules

(5.21)
$$\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}^* R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{F^*} R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y},\mathrm{rig}*} F_X^* j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\alpha} R^q f_{(X,\overline{X})/\mathcal{Y}\mathrm{rig}*} j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E}$$

(where F^* is induced by F_X^* and $\sigma_{\mathcal{Y}}$) and it suffices to prove that this homomorphism is an isomorphism.

We prove the above claim, following partly [Ts2, 3.3.3, 4.1.4]. By using the faithfulness of the restriction functor $\mathrm{Coh}(j_Y^{\dagger}\mathcal{O}_{]\overline{Y}[_{\mathcal{Y}}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{O}_{]Y[_{\mathcal{Y}}})$, we can reduce to the case $Y = \overline{Y}$ (and $X = \overline{X}, j_X^{\ddagger}\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$) to prove the claim. Moreover, by using [Bo-Gu-Re, 9.4.7], we may reduce to the case where $Y =: y$ is a closed point. Let k' be a finite Galois extension of k containing the function field of y, let $S' := \mathrm{Spec}\, k', \mathcal{S}' := \mathrm{Spf}\, V'$ (where V' is the unramified extension of V with residue field k') and let us denote the base change of the diagram $X \xrightarrow{f} y \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Y}$ by $\mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $X' \xrightarrow{f} y' \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{Y}'$. Then, since $\mathcal{S}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is finite etale, we may replace $X, y, \mathcal{Y}, S, \mathcal{S}$ by $X', y', \mathcal{Y}', S', \mathcal{S}'$ to prove the claim. Since y' is a disjoint union of finite number of the schemes isomorphic to S', we may assume that the function field of y is equal to k to prove the claim (for $X \longrightarrow y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$). Then we can form the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, equal] & y \arrow[r, "\iota"] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{Y} \arrow[d] \\
X \arrow[r, "f"] & y \arrow[r] & \mathcal{S},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the lower right horiozontal arrow is the closed immersion induced by the surjection $V \longrightarrow k$. Then, by the analytically flat base change theorem, it suffices to prove the claim for the lower horizontal line in the above diagram, that is, we may assume $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{S}$. In this case, the homomorphism (5.21) is nothing but the homomorphism of convergent cohomologies

$$H^q((X/\mathcal{S})_{\mathrm{conv}}, \mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^q((X/\mathcal{S})_{\mathrm{conv}}, F_X^*\mathcal{E}) \overset{\alpha}{\cong} H^q((X/\mathcal{S})_{\mathrm{conv}}, \mathcal{E}) \tag{5.22}$$

induced by $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha)$. Moreover, by [Ts1, 5.1], we have the direct image functor $\tau_* : I_{\mathrm{conv}}(X/\mathcal{S}) \longrightarrow I_{\mathrm{conv}}(X/\mathcal{B})$ which sends convergent F-isocrystals $(\mathcal{E}, \alpha)$ on $(X/\mathcal{S})_{\mathrm{conv}}$ to convergent F-isocrystals $(\tau_*\mathcal{E}, \tau_*\alpha)$ on $(X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{conv}}$, and the homomorphism (5.22) is rewritten as

$$H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{conv}}, \tau_*\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{conv}}, F_X^*\tau_*\mathcal{E}) \overset{\tau_*\alpha}{\cong} H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{conv}}, \mathcal{E}).$$

So we are reduced to the case $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B}$. In this case, the homomorphism (5.22) is identical with the following homomorphism of crystalline cohomologies

(5.23)
$$H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, \Phi(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{F^*} H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, F^*\Phi(\mathcal{E})) \overset{\alpha}{\cong} H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, \Phi(\mathcal{E})).$$

So we are reduced to showing that the first map in the diagram (5.23) is an isomorphism. To prove this assertion, we may reduce to the case $q = p$. Denote the fiber product $B \times_{F_B, B} X$ by X' and factorize the Frobenius map $F_X : X \longrightarrow X$ as $X \xrightarrow{F_{X/B}} X' \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} X$, where $F_{X/B}$ is relative Frobenius and pr is the projection. Then, if we denote $\mathrm{pr}^*\Phi(\mathcal{E})$ by $\mathcal{E}'$, the first map in (5.23) factors as

(5.24)
$$H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, \Phi(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}^*} H^q((X'/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, \mathcal{E}') \xrightarrow{F_{X/B}^*} H^q((X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}, F_{X/B}^*\mathcal{E}').$$

The first map in (5.24) is an isomorphism by base change theorem (Theorem 1.19). So it suffices to prove that the second map is an isomorphism. In this case, note that $\mathcal{E}'$ has the form $\mathcal{E}' = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a p-torsion free crystal on $(X/\mathcal{B})_{\mathrm{crys}}$ in the sense in [O4, 5.1]. (It follows from the fact that L'' in Lemma 1.23 is p-torsion free. See also [O2, 0.7.5].) So the triple $(\mathcal{F}, F_{X/B}^*\mathcal{F}, \mathrm{id} : F_{X/B}^*\mathcal{F} \to F_{X/B}^*\mathcal{F})$ forms an F-span on $X/\mathcal{B}$ in the sense in [O4, 5.2.1]. Moreover, by [O4, 5.2.9], this triple is automatically an admissible F-span, because we have $R_{X/\mathcal{B}} = 0$ in the notation there since the log structures on $X, \mathcal{B}$

are trivial. Then, by [O4, 7.3.1], we can conclude that the second map in (5.24) is an isomorphism. So we have finished the proof of the theorem. ☐

References

[Ba-Ch] F. Baldassarri and B. Chiarellotto, *Algebraic versus rigid Cohomology with logarithmic coefficients*, in Barsotti Symposium in Algebraic Geometry, Academic Press.

[Be1] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique* $p > 0$, Lecture Note in Math. **407**, Springer-Verlag (1974).

[Be2] P. Berthelot, *Géométrie rigide et cohomologie des variétés algébriques de caractéristique* p, Bull. Soc. Math. de France, Mémoire **23**(1986), 7–32.

[Be3] P. Berthelot, *Cohomologie rigide et cohomologie rigide à supports propres première partie*, prépublication de l'IRMAR 96-03.

[Be-O] P. Berthelot and A. Ogus, *Notes on crystalline cohomology*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, 1978.

[Bo-Gu-Re] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften **261**, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[C-F] B. Chiarellotto and M. Fornasiero, *Logarithmic de Rham, infinitesimal and Betti cohomologies*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **13**(2006), 205–257.

[C-T] B. Chiarellotto and N. Tsuzuki, *Cohomological descent of rigid cohomology for etale coverings*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **109**(2003), 63–215.

[E] J.-E. Etesse, *Descente étale des F-isocristaux surconvergents et rationalité des fonctions L de schémas abéliens*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **35**(2002), 575–603.

[Ga-Ra] O. Gabber and L. Ramero, *Almost ring theory*, Lecture Note in Math. **1800**, Springer Verlag, 2003.

[Gr-D1] A. Grothendieck and J. A. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géometrie Algébrique I*, Springer Verlag, 1971.

[Gr-D2] A. Grothendieck and J. A. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géometrie Algébrique IV*, Pub. Math. IHES **20**.

[H-Ka] O. Hyodo and K. Kato, *Semi-stable reduction and crystalline cohomology with logarithmic poles*, Astérisque **223**(1994), 221–268.

[Ka] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, in Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory, J-I.Igusa ed., 1988, Johns Hopkins University, pp. 191–224.

[Ke1] K. S. Kedlaya, *Descent of morphisms of overconvergent F-crystals*, arXiv:math/0105244v1.

[Ke2] K. S. Kedlaya, *Finiteness of rigid cohomology with coefficients*, Duke Mathematical Journal **134**(2006), 15–97.

[Ke3] K. S. Kedlaya, *Semistable reduction for overconvergent F-isocrystals, I: Unipotence and logarithmic extensions*, Compositio Math. **143**(2007), 1164-1212.

[M-Tr] S. Matsuda and F. Trihan, *Image directe supérieure et unipotence*, J. für die reine und angew. Math. **569**(2004), 47–54.

[N-S] Y. Nakkajima and A. Shiho, *Weight-filtered convergent complex*, preprint.

[O1] A. Ogus, *F-isocrystals and de Rham Cohomology II — Convergent isocrystals*, Duke Math. J., **51**(1984), 765–850.

[O2] A. Ogus, *The convergent topos in characteristic* p, in Grothendieck Festschrift, Progress in Math., Birkhäuser.

[O3] A. Ogus, *F-crystals on schemes with constant log structure*, Compositio Math., **97**(1995), 187–225.

[O4] A. Ogus, *F-crystals, Griffiths transversality, and the Hodge decomposition*, Astérisque **221**, 1994.

[SD] B. Saint-Donat, *Techniques de descente cohomologique*, Exposé V bis in Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Lecture Note in Math. **270**, Springer-Verlag.

[S1] A. Shiho, *Crystalline fundamental groups I — Isocrystals on log crystalline site and log convergent site*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **7**(2000), 509–656.

[S2] A. Shiho, *Crystalline fundamental groups II — Log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology.* J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **9**(2002), 1–163.

[Ts1] N. Tsuzuki, *On the Gysin isomorphism of rigid cohomology*, Hiroshima Math. J., **29**(1999), 479–527.

[Ts2] N. Tsuzuki, *On base change theorem and coherence in rigid cohomology*, Documenta Math., Extra Volume Kato (2003), 891–918.

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, JAPAN.